

the basis for the Court's sentence and includes certain personal identifying information about Defendant Petraeus.

Based on the authorities cited by the News Media Intervenors, the United States does not oppose the unsealing of the Statement of Reasons. *See, e.g., Company Doe v. Pub. Citizen*, 749 F.3d 246, 267-68 (4th Cir. 2014); *Va Dep't of State Police v. Wash. Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004); *In re Time Inc.*, 182 F.3d 270, 271 (4th Cir. 1999) (citing *In re Washington Post Co.*, 807 F.2d 383, 390 (4th Cir. 1986)). Further, the United States will defer to Defendant Petraeus's reasonable request regarding proposed redactions of personal identifying information contained in the Statement of Reasons.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the United States does not oppose the unsealing of the Statement of Reasons or a reasonable request to redact Defendant Petraeus's personal identifying information.

This the 14th day of May, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

JILL WESTMORELAND ROSE
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

s/James P. Melendres
JAMES P. MELENDRES
Trial Attorney
National Security Division
600 E Street N.W., 10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
james.p.melendres@usdoj.gov

s/Richard S. Scott
RICHARD S. SCOTT
Trial Attorney
National Security Division
600 E Street N.W., 10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
richard.s.scott@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of May, 2015, the foregoing document was served electronically through the CM/ECF filing system on the defendant and his counsel as well as the News Media Intervenors and their counsel.

s/Jill Westmoreland Rose
Acting United States Attorney