

Introduction and Methodology

This chart attempts to comprehensively survey every federal case involving an effort by law enforcement, an executive branch agency, the courts, or Congress to formally investigate or prosecute someone for, or compel the disclosure of information about, the unauthorized disclosure of government information to the news media.

In short, it is our attempt at an exhaustive list of "leak" cases.

Please note that, in addition to the cases one would usually think of as "leaks" matters—that is, cases arising out of the disclosure of national defense information, such as those involving Daniel Ellsberg, Chelsea Manning, and Edward Snowden—we include cases where courts have ordered an investigation into grand jury leaks (e.g., BALCO, Taricani, and Walters), where Congress has formally investigated a leak (e.g., Nugent, Schorr, and Phelps/Totenberg), where the leak involved non-national security information (e.g., Agnew and Lacker), where the surveillance or targeting of reporters was conducted as part of domestic espionage activity (e.g., Project Mockingbird), and where a Privacy Act plaintiff who has had information leaked to the press about a pending investigation seeks a subpoena to uncover the source (e.g., Lee and Hatfill).

This chart excludes cases involving purely internal unauthorized disclosure inquiries within the government (such as the Raj Rajaratnam inquiry at the SEC¹), unless they involve the formal investigation of members of the news media. We include the two cases involving U.S. submarine-based surveillance (Operations Holystone and Ivy Bells), where senior officials actively discussed using the 1950 amendment to the Espionage Act regarding the publication of communications intelligence to prosecute the outlet.

Please also note that one legal term of art that we refer to throughout—"national defense information"—is abbreviated "NDI."*

This chart was primarly authored by Gabe Rottman, director of the Technology and Press Freedom Project at the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press; Victoria Noble, the 2018 Google Policy Fellow at the Reporters Committee and a student in the class of 2020 at Stanford Law School; Linda Moon, RCFP's 2018-2020 Stanton Foundation Free Press-National Security Legal Fellow; and Daniel Jeon, the 2018-2019 Jack Nelson/Dow Jones Foundation Legal Fellow at RCFP. We will continue to update the chart with new cases or developments. Any comments, questions, or suggestions for addition are very welcome at ground-ref ground-ref.

-

The Espionage Act (with the exception of a 1950 amendment, see *infra* text accompanying note 476 about 18 U.S.C. § 798) speaks in terms not of "classified information," but of material or information "relating to the national defense," which is often referred to with the shorthand "national defense information," or NDI. It's an important distinction given that the system for classifying information is a creature of the executive branch; Congress has never passed a law defining "classified information," and the creation of the classification system actually antedates the Espionage Act. Consequently, classified information does not necessarily qualify as NDI under the Espionage Act, and leaking classified information is not necessarily a violation of the Espionage Act. That said, the fact that something is classified is often a relevant factor in determining whether something is, in fact, national defense information. *See generally* Stephen P. Mulligan and Jennifer K. Elsea, Cong. Research Serv., Criminal Prohibitions on Leaks and Other Disclosures of Classified Defense Information, Mar. 7, 2017, https://fas.org/sgp/crs/secrecy/R41404.pdf.



Table of Cases (Names are Hyperlinked)

- 1. Thomas Paine (1778)
- 2. Sen. Ben Tappan (1844)
- 3. Jesse Dow and Hiram H. Robinson (1846)
- 4. Oregon Treaty Investigation (1846)
- 5. John Nugent (1848)
- 6. Zebulon White and Hiram Ramsdell (1871)
- 7. The Dolph "Smelling Committee" (1890)
- 8. The Bering Sea Treaty Investigation (1892)
- 9. The Irvine Lenroot Nomination (1929)
- 10. Herbert Yardley (1933)
- 11. Stanley Johnston (1942)
- 12. The Amerasia Case (1945)
- 13. Jack Nickerson (1957)
- 14. Project Mockingbird (1963)
- 15. The Codebreakers (1966)
- 16. Michael Getler (Celotex I) (1971)
- 17. Daniel Ellsberg (1971)
- 18. Anthony Russo (1971)
- 19. Jack Anderson (Celotex II) (1972)
- 20. Victor Marchetti (Butane) (1972)
- 21. Les Whitten (1973)
- 22. Spiro Agnew (1973)

- 23. Operation Holystone (1975)
- 24. Daniel Schorr (1976)
- 25. Samuel Morison (1984)
- 26. Thomas Brandt (1984)
- 27. Operation Ivy Bells (1986)
- 28. Timothy Phelps and Nina Totenberg (1992)
- 29. The Starr Office of Independent Counsel (1998)
- 30. Wen Ho Lee (1999)
- 31. John Solomon (2001)
- 32. Jim Taricani (2001)
- 33. Holy Land Found./Global Relief Found. (2001)
- 34. Stephen Hatfill (2002)
- 35. Jonathan Randel (2003)
- 36. Larry Franklin (2005)
- 37. Stephen Rosen (2005)
- 38. Keith Weissman (2005)
- 39. I. Lewis "Scooter" Libby (2005)
- 40. Richard Convertino (2006)
- 41. Troy Ellerman (BALCO) (2006)
- 42. Shamai Leibowitz (2009)
- 43. Thomas Drake (2010)
- 44. Chelsea Manning (2010)

- 45. Stephen Jin-Woo Kim (2010)
- 46. Jeffrey Sterling (2010)
- 47. Mike Levine (2011)
- 48. John Kiriakou (2012)
- 49. Donald Sachtleben (2012)
- 50. James Hitselberger (2012)
- 51. Jeffrey Lacker (2012)
- 52. Edward Snowden (2013)
- 53. David Petraeus (2015)
- 54. James Cartwright (2016)
- 55. The Billy Walters Case (2016)
- 56. Reality Winner (2017)
- 57. Terry Albury (2018)
- 58. Joshua Schulte (2018)
- 59. James Wolfe (2018)
- 60. Andrew McCabe (2018)
- 61. Natalie Edwards (2018)
- 62. Daniel Kowalski (2018)
- 63. John Fry (2019)
- 64. Daniel Everette Hale (2019)
- 65. Bryan Carmody (2019)



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case Thomas Paine (top)	President Continental Congress	Date 12/14/1778 (first Paine letter in the Pennsylvania Packet) ²	Charges N/A	Resolution Paine resigned his position as secretary of the Committee of Foreign Affairs (known before his tenure as the Committee on Secret Correspondence). The Continental Congress then voted to remove any of its papers from Paine's possession. ³ It also voted on a resolution to fire Paine instead of accepting his resignation, but it failed on a tie vote. ⁴	Sentence N/A	Often known as the Silas Deane ⁵ or Beaumarchais ⁶ affair, Thomas Paine's investigation by the second Continental Congress is the first "leak" case following the American declaration of independence, and showcases early tensions between government secrecy and transparency. ⁷ Indeed, Paine's defense echoes many of the same legal and policy arguments that proponents of constitutional checks on leak prosecutions assert, including the concept of a public interest defense for the leaker and a functional definition of NDI requiring a showing of harm from disclosure. Paine wrote: "My wish and my intention in all my late publications were to preserve the public from error and imposition I have betrayed no trust because I have constantly employed that trust to the public good. I have revealed no secrets because I have told nothing that was, or I conceive ought to be, a secret." ⁸ Paine had also previously written on the importance of transparency, and offered the view that a legitimate government with popular support would have little to fear from public visibility into its actions. In his pamphlet "Common Sense, On Financing the War," Paine called for public disclosures regarding the war budget, saying, "A government or an administration, who means and acts honestly, has nothing to fear, and consequently has nothing to conceal" ⁹
						The Deane/Beaumarchais affair arose out of interactions between French agents and the Committee of Secret Correspondence, a committee formed by the second Continental Congress to attract foreign aid during the American Revolution. In the summer of 1775, the French foreign minister sent Pierre Augustin Caron de



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Beaumarchais, a horologist and the author of the plays The Barber of Seville and The Marriage of Figaro, to London as a secret agent. While there, he met American Arthur Lee, an agent for the committee. Lee convinced Beaumarchais that the Americans stood a good chance of winning the war, and Beaumarchais in turn set plans in motion to secretly provide French aid to the Americans. Crucially, Lee also sent word to the committee that the aid would be a gift, not a loan. He aid would be a gift, not a loan. He aumarchais then set up a front corporation, Roderigue Hortalez et Cie, to launder aid from France and Spain, both of whom could not be seen supporting a republican revolution against a European monarch. Hortalez would eventually sail between 12 and 40 ships from French ports to America, and, at the end of 1777, the company presented Congress with a bill for 4.5 million livres, despite Lee's earlier assurances that the aid would be free. He company presented Congress with a bill for 4.5 million livres, despite Lee's earlier assurances that the aid would be free. He limportantly, America's commercial agent in Europe, Silas Deane, had been told by Lee and the French government that the aid was free. Beaumarchais, however, who would be due a commission of 10 percent on the shipments, told Deane it was a loan. Deane, who also stood to gain a five percent commission on all overseas transactions vouched for the accuracy of the Hortalez bill.
						In 1777, Paine took over the committee, which was renamed the Committee of Foreign Affairs. In December 1777, Deane was recalled to Philadelphia, and he then testified on August 9 and 15, 1778, before Congress. ²⁰ When asked to provide his accounting ledgers, he testified that he had left them in Paris. ²¹ He then



Case	President I	Date	Charges Re	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case	President I	Date	Charges Re	Resolution	Sentence	insisted that the Hortalez bill be paid. ²² The Deane affair split the second Continental Congress down the middle, and forced the resignation of the president, Henry Laurens, who was replaced by John Jay, a Deane ally. ²³ The issue dragged on for several months until Deane published an article in the Pennsylvania Packet, the first successful daily paper in the United States, attacking Arthur Lee and the American government. ²⁴ Paine, who had donated any profits from his bestselling pamphlet Common Sense to the revolutionary cause, was incensed that anyone would seek to profiteer off the revolution. He responded to Deane with a series of essays, also in the Pennsylvania Packet. The leak was his statement that, "Those who are now [our] allies, prefaced that alliance by an early and generous friendship" ²⁵ The formal alliance with the French was, at that point, public, after having been finalized in part because of the American victory at Saratoga. ²⁶ But Paine's comment referenced the Hortalez aid, which the French claimed was embarrassing and pilloried Paine (he was physically beaten twice by Deane supporters). ²⁷ The French claim, and attacks by others in government, did not acknowledge that the English had known about the Hortalez shipments all along, and had in fact captured several of them. ²⁸ Ultimately the second Continental Congress voted twice on whether to remove Paine as the head of his committee, but deadlocked both times. He ultimately resigned of his own accord.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Sen. Ben Tappan (top)	Tyler	4/29/1844 ²⁹	None. The Senate formed a select committee to investigate a violation of the injunction of secrecy.	From May 8 to 10, the Senate debated two resolutions, one to expel Tappan, and a substitute that called for censure. On May 10, the Senate adopted the latter by a vote of 38 to 7, and a subsequent resolution that no further action would be taken against Tappan, who had apologized, by a vote of 39 to 3. The Senate then passed another resolution that the disclosure of confidential Senate material would be grounds for expulsion. 20	Resolution of censure.	The Tappan case and all of the subsequent Senate leak investigations through 1929 arose out of the Senate's practice of holding open legislative debates—the Senate press gallery was constructed in 1794—but considering treaties and nominations in closed executive session. ³³ In the Tappan case, President John Tyler submitted proposed secret terms of an agreement to annex the then-independent Texas. Pro- and anti-expansion forces in Congress often fought their battles in the press, and, five days after the secret treaty was sent to the Senate, it appeared in the New York Evening Post. ³⁴ On April 29, 1844, the chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Sen. William S. Archer (Whig-VA), who had custody of the document, asked for a select committee to investigate the leak. ³⁵ The committee subpoenaed William G. Boggs, the editor of the New York Evening Post. Before he could testify, however, Sen. Benjamin Tappan (D-OH) admitted to giving the material to a messenger for delivery to the newspaper. ³⁶ Boggs and the messenger both confirmed Tappan's story in later testimony. ³⁷ The Senate rejected a vote to expel Tappan, but voted in favor of censure and passed a separate resolution that henceforth made the disclosure "for publication" of materials "directed by the Senate to be held in confidence" grounds for expulsion. ³⁸
Jesse Dow and Hiram H. Robinson	Polk	3/11/1846 (Washington Daily Times	None.	The Senate barred Dow and Robinson from the press	N/A	Jesse Dow owned the Madisonian, which had been allied closely with President Tyler's administration. Dow hoped the paper would receive the Senate concession as its official printer. ⁴¹ He



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
(<u>top</u>)		prints column) ³⁹		gallery and the Washington Daily Times ceased publication. ⁴⁰		was unsuccessful and renamed the paper the Washington Daily Times, which he styled as a partisan Democratic publication and began to circulate to every member of Congress. During the dispute with the British over the border between Oregon and the Columbia District, modern day British Columbia, the Daily Times took an aggressive pro-expansion stance, and printed claims that Whigs and some anti-Polk Democrats were conspiring to negotiate a separate deal with the British. A Senate investigation resulted, and Dow and his editor Hiram Robinson both identified their sources. Their sources, however, denied any knowledge of a conspiracy. Dow and Robinson were banned from the Senate press gallery, and the Times stopped publication.
Oregon Treaty Investigation (top)	Polk	6/5/1846 (initial "leak" in the New York Tribune) ⁴⁶	N/A	The investigating committee ended its inquiry without making any formal accusations. ⁴⁷	N/A	In early June 1846, President Polk received word that the British would accept a resolution of the Oregon boundary dispute at the 49 parallel. (British maximalists wanted to expand modern-day British Columbia to the 42 parallel whereas Polk's Democratic allies desired, under the banner of "Manifest Destiny," to set the border at the 54 parallel.) ⁴⁸ The New York Tribune's Washington correspondent, William Robinson (who wrote under the pseudonym "Richelieu"), reported the possible deal and then summarized the terms of the proposed treaty. ⁴⁹ Three weeks later, the Philadelphia North American published the full text. ⁵⁰ The Senate convened "A Select Committee to Inquire Into the Means by which the Proceedings and Documents of Secret Sessions Have Become Public," which questioned the Washington correspondents for both the Tribune (i.e., "Richelieu") and the North American (i.e., "Independent," the pen name for James



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Harvey). ⁵¹ Both correspondents refused to identify their sources and the committee ended its business without identifying the source or sources. ⁵²
John Nugent (top)	Polk	3/26/1848 (Nugent arrested)	None. Nugent was arrested by the Senate and held for about a month.	Nugent was released after a month without disclosing his source.	N/A	Prior to its ratification by the Senate, the terms of the 1848 Treaty of Guadeloupe-Hidalgo, which ended the Mexican-American War, were leaked by an anonymous source to John Nugent, a reporter for the New York Herald. ⁵³ The Senate initially called Nugent in for questioning, but he refused to disclose his source. The Senate then arrested him and confined him to a Senate committee hearing room. His newspaper responded by publishing the names of other Senate sources. ⁵⁴ During his confinement, Nugent ate with and slept at the residence of the Senate's sergeant-at-arms, and he published his regular column under the dateline "Custody of the Sergeant at Arms." ⁵⁵ He never disclosed his source (who was likely Secretary of State James Buchanan, not a senator), and was released after a month for "health" reasons. ⁵⁶
Zebulon White and Hiram Ramsdell (<u>top</u>)	Grant	5/12/1871 (formation of select committee) ⁵⁷	Contempt of the Senate.	White and Ramsdell were released without revealing their sources. ⁵⁸	N/A	In 1871, Hiram Ramsdell, the assistant to the Washington bureau chief at the New York Tribune, purchased a copy of the Treaty of Washington, which settled claims between the United States and Great Britain arising out of the American Civil War. 99 New York Senator Roscoe Conkling, an opponent of the Tribune, ordered Ramsdell and his bureau chief, Zebulon White, to testify before a select committee about their sources. White and Ramsdell refused to divulge their sources and were ordered imprisoned until they did so. 60 White and Ramsdell were confined in the



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Pacific Railroad Committee room, in relative comfort, and were released shortly before the Senate was set to adjourn. ⁶¹
The Dolph "Smelling Committee" (top)	Benjamin Harrison	2/24/1890 (committee formed) ⁶²	N/A	Committee disbanded without uncovering the leaker.	N/A	Prompted by the disclosure of a still-secret extradition treaty between the United States and Great Britain, and its publication in the Washington Post and the New York Tribune, the Senate convened a select committee to investigate the leak, dubbed a "smelling committee" and chaired by Sen. Joseph Dolph (R-OR). 63 Correspondents mocked Sen. Dolph's committee, noting that public interest in the Senate's secret sessions arose precisely because they were "forbidden property." 64 The committee physically investigated the press gallery for cracks through which reporters could eavesdrop on the Senate's deliberations as well as the ventilation system. 65 The smelling committee heard testimony from numerous senators, all of whom denied being the source of the leak, and from five reporters: Frank DePuy from the New York Times, Max Seckendorf from the New York Tribune, George G. Bain from the United Press, A.J. Halford from the Associated Press, and Jules Guthrie of the New York Herald. 66 Dolph also surveyed various government officials seeking to identify a specific leaker, and heard testimony from Senate clerks, officials at the Department of State, the president's secretary, and another correspondent, David Barry, who served as a secretary to several senators. 67 The smelling committee disbanded after five months, and actually ended up owing the various reporters who had been called to testify \$153 each because the subpoena had been active for the entire life of the committee.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
The Bering	Benjamin	2/29/1892	N/A	The Senate's	N/A	In March 1892, newspapers published details of secret Senate
Sea Treaty	Harrison	(signing of the		executive clerk,		debates about a treaty to set the terms of arbitration to resolve a
Investigation		treaty setting		James Rankin Young,		dispute over sealing in the Bering Sea. ⁷⁰ The Senate's executive
		the terms of		was summarily fired		clerk was also a correspondent for the Philadelphia Evening Star,
(<u>top</u>)		the		for the leak, which		which had "quarreled editorially" with Pennsylvania's Republican
		arbitration)		prompted significant		senators, Don Cameron and Matthew Quay (the latter of whom
				backlash by the		was particularly powerful in national politics and had orchestrated
				Washington press		Benjamin Harrison's nomination). ⁷¹ The New York Times reported
				against the Senate. ⁶⁹		that the leak gave Quay and Cameron the chance to make an
						example out of the clerk, James Rankin Young, and his paper. ⁷²
						Young was excluded from the chamber in the following executive
						session and was fired without a hearing or public disclosure of the
						allegations against him. ⁷³ The firing prompted the Washington
						press corps to fight back, and correspondents went so far as to
						more aggressively report on the secret sessions to demonstrate
						that Young hadn't been the leaker. ⁷⁴ In 1896, Young went on to
						be elected to represent Pennsylvania's fourth district in the House
						of Representatives. ⁷⁵
The Irvine	Hoover	5/21/1929	N/A	On June 18, 1929,	N/A	Sen. Irvine Lenroot served as a Republican senator from Wisconsin
Lenroot		(publication of	,	the Senate amended	,	during the Harding and Calvin Coolidge administrations, and had
Investigation		Mallon article		its rules and finally		lost the Republican nomination for vice president to Coolidge. ⁷⁷
		purporting to		abandoned the		Lenroot lost his bid for reelection in 1926 and was nominated for a
(top)		report secret		practice of		judgeship on the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals. ⁷⁸ On May
,,		roll call vote		considering treaties		21, 1929, United Press correspondent Paul Mallon published an
		on Lenroot		and nominations in		article with the headline "Senate's Secret Vote on Lenroot
		nomination) ⁷⁶		secret executive		Revealed: Nine Democrats Bolt—Breaking of Party Ties Gives
				session.		Former Senator Majority of 42 to 27." (In fact, the vote had been



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						42 to 26 and one of the reported defectors not voting at all.) ⁷⁹ The leak prompted progressive Republicans, who had long sought to abolish closed executive sessions, to push for greater transparency, but one of Lenroot's supporters, Sen. David Reed (R-PA), asked for and received a leak investigation by the Senate Rules Committee. ⁸⁰ The committee proceeded to question Mallon, who refused to divulge his source. ⁸¹ The committee responded by revoking the United Press's floor privileges, but Sen.
						Robert LaFollette Jr. (R-WI) intervened and, in a floor speech, made a strong case for finally scrapping secret sessions, which the Senate did in June 1929. ⁸² The end of secret executive sessions also ended these recurring leak investigations.
Herbert O. Yardley (top)	Hoover	1933	N/A	No formal charges were brought. The seized manuscript was declassified in 1979, 46 years later.	N/A	Following U.S. entry into World War I, Herbert Yardley was appointed to head the Army's newly created cryptologic section of the military intelligence division, MI-8.86 Yardley's new division was in charge of codebreaking.87
				In 1932, upon learning of Yardley's completion of a second manuscript and fearing that it would disrupt relations with Japan in advance of the		In 1919, after the war, the government contracted with Yardley to continue his work and create a "permanent organization for code and cipher investigation and attack" in New York City. Be Publicly, Yardley's outfit developed and sold "commercial codes" for private businesses (codes representing common business phrases used to limit characters in telegraphy). Privately, Yardley ran the American "black chamber," which cracked the codes for more than 45,000 telegrams, involving codes from at least 19
				1933 International Economic		countries. 90 The term "black chamber" referred to the cryptanalytic units of European monarchs dating back centuries.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				Conference, the		The "cabinet noir" of the French court, for instance, intercepted
				State Department		mail, covertly opened and read it, and resealed it.
				drafted a sweeping		
				bill, H.R. 4220, that		In 1929, Secretary of State Henry Stimson caught wind of the black
				would have		chamber and withdrew funding, famously saying, "Gentlemen do
				prohibited press		not read each other's mail."91 Out of work during the Great
				publication of		Depression, Yardley wrote "The American Black Chamber," which
				decoded diplomatic		detailed his cryptanalytic operations and published decoded
				communications,		diplomatic cables, particularly from the Japanese, who had
				which the House		emerged as the chief naval rival to the United States in the
				agreed to on voice		Pacific. ⁹² Spurred by the success of the American Black Chamber,
				vote.83 Once it		Yardley wrote "Japanese Diplomatic Codes: 1921-22" in 1932,
				reached the Senate,		which included decoded Japanese cables during the Washington
				however, both the		Naval Conference, which resulted in a series of treaties to reduce
				State Department		tension among the world's great naval powers at the time. ⁹³
				and the Senate		Stanley K. Hornbeck, the Far Eastern expert at the State
				Judiciary Committee		Department, said, "I cannot too strongly urge that every
				walked it back. ⁸⁴ The		possible effort should be made to prevent the appearance of this
				"Yardley Act," passed		book."94 Government officials contemplated prosecuting Yardley
				in 1933, narrowly		but the publication of decoded cables was not expressly illegal
				covers the disclosure		under the Espionage Act as it then stood. ⁹⁵ Instead, U.S. Marshals
				of diplomatic codes		seized the entire manuscript and offered Yardley the option of
				and encoded or		continuing with publication and facing probable prosecution under
				decoded		the Espionage Act or to drop it. ⁹⁶ Yardley chose to drop it. ⁹⁷ The
				communications by		manuscript was classified until 1979.
				individuals who have		
				access to them by		As noted, the Yardley Act passed in 1933, which narrowly
				virtue of government		criminalizes any individual who "by virtue of his employment with
				employment. ⁸⁵		the United States" obtains from another or has custody of or acess



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						to any official diplomatic code or material prepared in code and who, "without authorization or competent authority, willfully publishes or furnishes to another any such code or matter, or any matter which was obtained while in the process of transmission between any foreign government and its diplomatic mission in the United States."
Stanley Johnston (top)	Franklin D. Roosevelt	8/7/1942 (grand jury announced)	William Mitchell, the appointed special assistant to the attorney general who pursued the case, sought an indictment under then-section (d) of the Espionage Act, which applied to the unauthorized communication of tangible NDI ("any document, writing, code book, signal book, sketch, photograph, photograph, photographic negative, blue print, plan, map, model, instrument, appliance, or note	Grand jury declined to return an indictment.	N/A	On June 7, 1942, Stanley Johnston, a war correspondent with the Chicago Tribune, reported that the U.S. Navy had advance notice of Japanese fleet plans for the Battle of Midway (which the United States had decisively won four days before). 101 In his story, he included details that closely mirrored those of a classified dispatch based on intelligence from broken Japanese naval codes. 102 In particular, he revealed that the U.S. Navy knew in advance that a Japanese attack on the Aleutian Islands was a feint, intended to draw American naval forces into an ambush. 103 The article resulted in intense pressure from the Roosevelt White House and Navy Secretary Frank Knox—who also published and owned part of the Chicago Daily News, a competitor to the Tribune 104—to seek an indictment against Johnston and the Tribune under the Espionage Act. 105 Attorney General Frank Biddle (who was skeptical that the case could be won) appointed William Mitchell, who had served as Herbert Hoover's attorney general, as a special assistant to pursue the case. 106 Mitchell, also a skeptic, nevertheless convened a grand jury to investigate Johnston and the Chicago Tribune, and asked the grand jury to return an indictment against the reporters and his paper under the Espionage Act—the only time in history a journalist or news outlet



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			relating to the national defense") by anyone with lawful or unlawful access. 99 That provision has since been split into sections (d) (communication or retention by someone with lawful access) and (e) (same by someone with unauthorized access). 100			has been targeted under the law. ¹⁰⁷ In part because of concern that the grand jury proceeding would itself harm national security by providing further clues to the Japanese that their codes had been broken, the Navy ultimately reneged on a promise to Biddle and Mitchell that it would make expert cryptanalysts available to the grand jury as witnesses to explain how and why Johnston's story could have harmed national security. ¹⁰⁸ On August 19, 1942, the grand jury declined to return an indictment and the Justice Department dropped the case. ¹⁰⁹
Amerasia (top)	Truman	6/6/1945 (arrests)	The six defendants were arrested on charges of violations of the Espionage Act (specifically the now repealed § 31 of Title 50, the precursor to 18 U.S.C. § 793, which is the most commonly used Espionage Act section in the	Because authorities had used warrantless surveillance and searches in investigating the defendants, prosecutors dropped all charges against four of the defendants, and pled out the two remaining	The two defendants who pled guilty received fines of \$2,500 (Jaffe, the publisher of Amerasia) and \$500 (Larsen, the State Department analyst).	Amerasia was a magazine published in New York from 1937 to 1947 with a focus on East Asia. In 1944, an analyst with the Office of Strategic Services (the precursor to the CIA) noticed that an article in Amerasia closely tracked a dispatch he had written on Thai affairs. The OSS proceeded to break into Amerasia's offices, where they took samples of the government documents they found. The FBI then wiretapped the suspects without a warrant, and overheard the assistant secretary of the Treasury and a State Department official offer to provide diplomatic material.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			contemporary cases. 110 They were released on bail. A grand jury returned an indictment for only three of the six, on charges of conspiracy to steal, receive, or conceal government documents, not under the Espionage Act. 111	defendants with no jail sentences (the two convicted were Jaffe, the publisher of Amerasia, and Larsen, one of his alleged sources). 112		The FBI arrested six suspects in June 1945, including Emmanuel Larsen, a former State Department Asia specialist; Andrew Roth, a naval intelligence officer; Philip Jaffe, the publisher of Amerasia; Kate Louise Mitchell, co-editor of Amerasia; Mark Julius Gayn, a magazine writer; and John Stewart Service, a foreign service officer at the State Department. In August, following proceedings where all of the defendants testified, a grand jury indicted Larsen, Roth, and Jaffe on charges of stealing government documents, not the Espionage Act (though the government had cited the Espionage Act in its statement about the arrests). Ultimately, the illegal searches and surveillance in the case prompted the Justice Department to seek plea deals, which they secured from Larsen and Jaffe, and to drop the case. The Amerasia case figured prominently in Senator Joe McCarthy's (R-WI) claims of communist infiltration at the State Department, calling the investigation a "whitewash."
John Nickerson	Eisenhower	1/28/1957 (indicted) ¹²⁰	Nickerson charged with two counts, including violating 15	The charges were ultimately dropped to 15 minor counts of	Nickerson was fined \$1,500, formally reprimanded and	Col. John Nickerson was the first person to face charges for the unauthorized disclosure of classified information to the media. (The Stanley Johnston case precedes it by more than a decade, but
(top)			separate Army regulations and one count of violating the Espionage Act (via Article 134 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice). ¹²¹	mishandling government information, and Nickerson pled guilty at court-martial. ¹²² He lost his security clearance for a	relieved of command and his security clearance for a year. 126	involved potential exposure under the Espionage Act for the journalist and media outlet that received the information, and the grand jury ultimately decided not to indict.) ¹²⁷ The Nickerson case arose out of an inter-service dispute between the Air Force and Army over which branch would be responsible for developing U.S. intermediate range ballistic missile technology



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				year. 123 During the		("IRBMs"). 128 Nickerson was the liaison to the Defense
				suspension he was		Department for the Army Ballistic Missile Agency ("ABMA"), which
				assigned to the		was responsible for the Jupiter project, the Army's push to
				Panama Canal Zone,		develop an IRBM (led by, among others, Dr. Wernher Von
				and then, upon		Braun). 129
				reinstatement, Fort		
				Bliss, Texas. 124 He		In late 1956, the Army conducted a successful launch of a Jupiter
				and his wife died in a		missile, but the then-Secretary of Defense and former CEO of
				car crash in New		General Motors Charles Wilson both buried news of the launch
				Mexico on March 1,		and, two months later, issued an order barring the Army from
				1964. ¹²⁵		deploying or using IRBMs. 130 Henceforth, the order said, the
						Jupiter project would be run by the Air Force (the implication
						being that ballistic missiles would be an element of American air
						superiority, not a replacement for conventional artillery). 131
						Secretary Wilson's order also came as rumors swirled that the
						Russians were close to launching a satellite into orbit. 132
						Nickerson took matters into his own hands. He drafted a memo
						criticizing the Wilson order, suggesting, among other things, that
						the move to the Air Force was prompted by Wilson's ties to GM.
						(Parts for the Air Force's missiles, codenamed "Thor," were made
						by GM.) ¹³³ He also revealed classified details about the different
						services' missile tests. 134 Nickerson leaked the document to Drew
						Pearson, a syndicated political writer of the column "Washington
						Merry-Go-Round," who, in turn, asked the Pentagon about it. The
						Pentagon launched an investigation, which centered on Nickerson.
						A search of his home turned up other classified documents, and he
						was charged with mishandling those documents and the more
						serious Espionage Act offense. 135



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						The case was widely covered in the media, though the five-day court-martial resulted in a guilty plea on lesser charges. Following the launch of Sputnik in October 1957, many claimed that, had Wilson not moved Jupiter from the Army to the Air Force, the United States might have won the space race. That remains disputed. The space race is the space race.
						As a final coda, the issue of over-classification featured prominently in the case and court-martial. First, Nickerson's rebuttal memorandum was actually not initially classified. But when Secretary Wilson learned that it existed, he had it sent to Pentagon censors and retroactively classified. Second, Dr. Von Braun himself testified at Nickerson's court-martial that much of what Nickerson released shouldn't have been classified in the first place. It was an early instance of the argument that criminal charges should not lie when the material does not qualify as NDI because its release would not pose a threat to national security.
Project Mockingbird (top)	Kennedy	3/12/1963 (initiation of surveillance)	N/A	Project Mockingbird was revealed as part of the collection of CIA documents that has come to be known to the CIA and historians as the "family jewels." The operation was	N/A	Project Mockingbird was a CIA wiretapping program against two syndicated columnists, Paul Scott and Robert S. Allen, that ran for about three months in 1963 (from March 12 to June 15). The columnists had alarmed Defense Secretary Robert McNamara by asking questions at a news conference that included detailed information about Soviet aid to Cuba. Director of Central Intelligence John McCone approved the operation "under pressure" from Attorney General Robert Kennedy. It successfully identified numerous sources for the two men,



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				successful at identifying numerous anonymous sources.		including several members of Congress, White House staff, and an assistant attorney general. ¹⁴⁴ Mockingbird was revealed when investigate reporter Seymour Hersh published a 1974 New York Times article detailing CIA surveillance and harassment of dissident groups in the United States. ¹⁴⁵
The Codebreakers (top)	Lyndon Johnson	3/4/1966 (NSA received manuscript) ¹⁴⁶	N/A	Author David Kahn agreed to delete several paragraphs regarding NSA's relationship with its counterpart in the United Kingdom, the Government Communications Headquarters ("GCHQ"). 147	N/A	In 1961, a Newsday reporter and amateur cryptologist David Kahn signed a contract with the Macmillan Company to write what remains the seminal popular work on cryptology, "The Codebreakers." ¹⁴⁸ Kahn quit his day job in 1964 to work on the book full time. ¹⁴⁹ The NSA got wind of the project and that it was to include material on the agency. ¹⁵⁰ Concerned about the impact of the book on its work, the NSA engaged in concerted discussions on whether and how to "sandbag" the book. ¹⁵¹ The NSA contemplated hiring Kahn, which could trigger Espionage Act liability for the author; purchasing the copyright; surveillance against Kahn; and smearing the book in public (including through a negative review, which was drafted). ¹⁵² The NSA put Kahn's name on a watchlist and intercepted his electronic communications. ¹⁵³ The matter was also brought before the United States Intelligence Board in 1964, and the CIA may have undertaken efforts to block publication of the book, the details of which remain unknown. ¹⁵⁴ Ultimately, Macmillan agreed to submit the full manuscript to the NSA for review (Macmillan was also the publisher of Herbert Yardley's Japanese Diplomatic Secrets: 1921-22, and had done the same thing in 1932 with that manuscript). ¹⁵⁵ In July 1966, DCI Helms, the new chairman of the United States Intelligence Board,



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						suggested NSA director Marshall Carter quietly travel to New York to meet with Macmillan, which he did. Macmillan asked Kahn to excise those GCHQ paragraphs, which he "relunctantly" agreed to. In 1995, the NSA made Kahn—who had gotten his doctorate at Oxford in 1974—its scholar-in-residence. In 2010, Kahn donated his extensive library on cryptology to the National Cryptological Museum.
Michael Getler (Celotex I) (top)	Nixon	10/6/1971 (launch of physical surveillance)	N/A	Getler learned about the surveillance in 1975; the CIA reportedly never learned the identity of Getler's sources. ¹⁶⁰	N/A	Getler, then the Washington Post's national security reporter, was subject to physical surveillance by the CIA, codenamed "Celotex I," on three different occasions in 1971 and 1972 (October 6 to 9, 1971; October 27 to December 10, 1971; and on January 3, 1972) in efforts to identify his sources. The surveillance was under the direct supervision of then-Director of Central Intelligence ("DCI") Richard Helms, who ordered the surveillance after Getler reported on, among other things, secret CIA patrols deep in China and White House arms control talks. Following another Getler report on the then-next generation reconnaissance satellite, the KH-11, the CIA ordered additional surveillance. The Post then discovered the investigation. The Post retained a lawyer for Getler, and the two met with the CIA, which agreed to stop the surveillance under threat of legal action.
Daniel Ellsberg	Nixon	12/29/1971 (indicted)	15-count indictment.	Case dismissed on May 11, 1973, due to	N/A	Ellsberg was charged with copying and disclosing the "Pentagon Papers"—a classified history of the Vietnam War. The criminal case against Ellsberg was dismissed following revelations that,



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
(top)			Charges are unlawful receipt of national defense information ("NDI") in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(c), unlawful transmission of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(d) and (e), theft of government property in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641, and conspiracy, 18 U.S.C. § 371.	government misconduct. ¹⁶⁵		among other things, a secret investigative unit formed by the Nixon administration to identify individuals disclosing information to the press (and dubbed the "Plumbers") had broken into Ellsberg's psychiatrist's office. ¹⁶⁶ In August 2018, freelance journalist Seth Rosenfeld reported that, as part of the Ellsberg leak inquiry, the FBI focused significant investigative effort on Washington Post reporter Ben Bagdikian, including a review of his travel, phone, financial, employment, and immigration records; interviews of associates (including one former Washington Post employee); and possible physical and electronic surveillance. ¹⁶⁷ Neither Bagdikian and his then-fiancée Betty Medsger were questioned or subpoenaed in connection with the Ellsberg investigation, though Medsger was briefly questioned at her home about her reporting on the FBI's political surveillance and harassment initiative (known as COINTELPRO for "counter-intelligence program"). ¹⁶⁸ At the time of writing, there is a federal court case in Boston on behalf of requester Jill Lepore, a Harvard professor and writer at the New Yorker, seeking the unsealing of documents from two grand juries that were convened in 1971 to investigate the leak of the Pentagon Papers, and may have included the investigation of journalists who published excerpts of and stories on the papers. ¹⁶⁹



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Anthony Russo (top)	Nixon	12/29/1971 (indicted)	Same.	Same.	N/A	Russo, Ellsberg's friend and colleague at the RAND Corporation, encouraged Ellsberg to release the Pentagon Papers, and helped copy the documents. He was indicted along with Ellsberg, and had his charges dismissed at the same time. ¹⁷⁰
Jack Anderson (Celotex II) (top)	Nixon	2/15/1972 (surveillance initiated)	N/A	Anderson filed an invasion of privacy suit against the CIA, and it dropped the investigation. 171	N/A	Jack Anderson was a columnist syndicated by United Features Syndicate (and the protégé of Drew Pearson, author of the popular "Washington Merry-Go-Round" and the person to whom John Nickerson disclosed his observations on the Jupiter decision). Anderson was initially targeted by the "Plumbers," the White House team set up during the Nixon administration to "plug" leaks. They discovered that Anderson was friendly with a young Navy stenographer, Yeoman Charles Radford, who though never admitting to disclosing classified information to Anderson, eventually confessed to stealing documents from the White House to give to the Joint Chiefs of Staff. This episode became known as the "Moorer-Radford Affair," as Admiral Thomas Hinman Moorer was then the chairman of the Joint Chiefs. 172 Later, in January 1972, DCI Helms—alarmed by Anderson's reporting on Cambodia, the India-Pakistan War of 1971, and the CIA's MK-ULTRA mind control program, among other things—ordered a formal leak investigation by the CIA. 173 According to Anderson, the CIA interviewed more than 1,500 people to uncover his sources, ultimately without success. 174 Eschewing a wiretap (for fear Anderson would detect and report on it), the CIA began physical surveillance of Anderson's home,



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						office, and his assistants Brit Hume, Les Whitten, and Joseph Spears. ¹⁷⁵ The overall program was codenamed Celotex II, and the physical surveillance Project Mudhen. ¹⁷⁶ After a month of surveillance, Anderson discovered the investigation (at one point, his teenage children blocked the CIA cars in a lot and took pictures of the agents), and sued the agency for privacy violations. ¹⁷⁷ Not only did he manage to force the CIA to disclose documents related to the operation in discovery, he successfully had DCI Helms sit for a deposition, at which Helms testified that he ordered the surveillance but also stated that he did not "recall" any instructions from the White House to target Anderson for surveillance. ¹⁷⁸ Celotex II ended on April 12, 1972, without having identified any sources. ¹⁷⁹
Victor Marchetti (Butane) (top)	Nixon	3/23/1972 (surveillance initiated) ¹⁸⁰	N/A	Marchetti's book ultimately led to the Fourth Circuit's decision in <i>United States v. Marchetti</i> , 466 F.2d 1309 (4th Cir. 1972), which upheld the enforceability of secrecy agreements Marchetti had signed as a CIA employee and the requirement that he submit	N/A	Marchetti was a CIA officer from 1955 until he resigned in 1969. ¹⁸¹ In 1971, he published a novel, The Rope Dancer, featuring the lightly fictionalized "National Intelligence Agency," and, in connection with the book, gave an interview critical of the CIA that was printed in U.S. News and World Report. ¹⁸² The CIA also learned that Marchetti planned to co-author a non-fiction book with a former State Department intelligence analyst that they anticipated would be even more critical of the agency. ¹⁸³ DCI Helms, in an operation similar to Celotex and codenamed Project "Butane," ordered physical surveillance of Marchetti on March 23, 1972, which lasted until April 20, 1972. ¹⁸⁴ The purpose of the surveillance was "to determine his activities and contacts both with Agency employees and other individuals in regard to his proposed book and published magazine articles exposing Agency



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				material for pre- publication review.		operations." ¹⁸⁵ On April 18, shortly before the surveillance ended, the CIA sought and received an ex parte temporary restraining order to block publication of the non-fiction book—titled "The CIA and the Cult of Intelligence"—on the grounds that Marchetti's failure to submit the book for review violated his employment agreement and a secrecy pledge he had given upon resigning. That prior restraint was affirmed by the Fourth Circuit in the <i>Marchetti</i> decision in 1972, and the book was printed with the CIA's requested redactions excising passages in the text of the printed copy of the book. 187
Les Whitten (top)	Nixon	11/2/1972 (AIM protesters begin to gather at the Interior Department building; occupation begins 11/3) ¹⁸⁸	One charge under 18 U.S.C. § 641 for the receipt of stolen government documents. 189	Grand jury refused to indict on February 15, 1973. 190	N/A	On November 2, 1972, activists organized by the American Indian Movement ("AIM") started to converge on Interior Department headquarters in Washington, D.C., which housed the Bureau of Indian Affairs. ¹⁹¹ They occupied the building the next day and stayed until November 9 when they left in a forty-car caravan under armed police escort. ¹⁹² In doing so, they took hundreds of thousands of secret BIA documents. ¹⁹³ In December and early January, activists started returning the documents through a more moderate intermediary, Hank Adams. ¹⁹⁴ On January 31, 1973, Les Whitten—one of Jack Anderson's reporters—drove to Adams's apartment to cover the return of three boxes of old land deeds. Whitten checked the contents of the boxes and they marked them with the name of the FBI agent to whom they were to be returned. ¹⁹⁵ Adams's driver, another activist (and undercover officer working with the FBI), never showed up and Whitten offered to drive the documents to the FBI. ¹⁹⁶ Before they could do



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						so, the FBI arrested both of them. 197 They were charged that day with receiving stolen government property. 198
						On February 14, Whitten testified before the grand jury that he had no control over the BIA documents and that he had not paid for access to them. 199 Anderson also testified and surprised prosecutors by asking the government and the grand jury to indict Whitten. 200 Anderson wrote in his memoirs that, "[Whitten] was just doing his job, I said, and we were prepared to go to trial. The government doesn't own the news, I told them, adding that I didn't think any jury in the United States would disagree with that. I was ready to win a court fight that would set a precedent and make sure no one ever did this to a reporter again." 201 The following day, the grand jury refused to indict. 202
Spiro Agnew (top)	Nixon	10/5/1973 (subpoenas served) ²⁰³	N/A	Vice President Agnew resigned on October 10, 1973, and pled no contest to one charge of felony tax evasion. ²⁰⁴	Agnew was sentenced to three years' unsupervised probation and a \$10,000 fine. 205	The investigation into the solicitation of bribes by Vice President Spiro Agnew while a Baltimore County official and governor of Maryland began when the IRS and the United States attorney agreed to look into kickbacks by local contractors. By August 1973, details of the case had been shared with the Wall Street Journal and Agnew publicly addressed the investigation and proclaimed his innocence. Negotiations over a plea bargain (before indictment) continued through the summer but were ultimately called off after details turned up in the press. On September 29, Vice President Agnew said he would not resign even if indicted and that he would fight the case.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						And, on October 3, federal district Judge Walter Hoffman in Maryland authorized the Agnew legal team to issue a broad array of subpoenas to address the leaks in the case, including eight to various reporters and two to national newsmagazines. News executives unanimously announced that they would fight the subpoenas. 211
						Vice President Agnew's resignation and plea on October 10, 1973, ended the showdown between the news outlets and the Agnew defense team. Had it continued, it could have been one of the most consequential confrontations between the press and government to that date.
						The publishers and editors of several of the subpoenaed outlets—including Katherine Graham, publisher of the Washington Post; Arthur Sulzberger Sr., publisher of the New York Times; A.M. Rosenthal, managing editor of the Times; Ben Bradlee, executive editor of the Post; and Osborn Elliott, editor of Newsweek—had all pledged personally to go to jail with their reporters before disclosing the identity of any confidential sources. Bradlee and Graham were planning to argue that the Post's notes in the case were Graham's property, the so-called "gray-haired grandmother defense," which would, if successful, have forced Judge Hoffman to hold Graham personally in contempt. 213
Operation Holystone	Ford	5/25/1975 (Hersh publishes	N/A	The Ford administration	N/A	On May 25, 1975, Seymour Hersh published a front-page article in the New York Times reporting that, since the late 1960s, the Navy had been using specially outfitted submarines to conduct



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
(top)		initial story in the New York Times) ²¹⁴		decided not to pursue the case. ²¹⁵		electronic eavesdropping on the Soviet Union, sometimes from within the then-three-mile limit for Soviet territorial waters. The program, codenamed Operation Holystone, had resulted in several accidents, and Hersh reported that critics in the government worried that the submarine surveillance posed more risk than less invasive tools like spy satellites. 217
						The Hersh story cited an earlier Washington Post report by Laurence Stern, which reported that "the United States maintains a fleet of electronic eavesdropping submarines operating close to the Soviet coastline to monitor Russian submarine activity and secret military communications." The Post story had disclosed the name of the operation, that the Holystone submarines were monitoring Soviet communications, and anecdotes about collisions between the submarines and Soviet vessels, but Hersh included new details of the project's scope and "difficulties encountered." Hersh also wrote in detail about how his governmental sources were motivated to leak out of concern for the threat that a major Holystone incident could pose to relations between the superpowers. Additionally, the Hersh story reportedly contained direct quotations from materials under a protective order in the then-ongoing Marchetti case involving his book, The CIA and the Cult of Intelligence (see entry on Marchetti <i>infra</i>). ²²⁰
						The Post's story reportedly ran without incident, but the White House took notice of and action on the 1975 Hersh piece. Thenchief of staff Donald Rumsfeld asked his deputy, Dick Cheney, to develop options for a response. ²²¹ In doing so, the Holystone case



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Casc	resident		Charges	incoduction in the state of the		became one of four where the government has formally considered using the Espionage Act against journalists for the act of publishing government secrets (the others are Stanley Johnson, Amerasia, and Operation Ivy Bells, discussed <i>infra</i> , which also involved the collection of communications intelligence from the Soviets). Cheney held discussions with White House counsel Philip Buchen, deputy counsel Roderick Hills, Attorney General Edward Levi and Pentagon counsel Martin Hoffman. Those discussions resulted in several notable documents. Cheney's handwritten notes from his meeting with Buchen and Levi show that the Ford administration expressly considered investigating Hersh and the New York Times for the publication. ²²² The meeting notes highlight five alternatives: "(1) FBI investigation of NYT, Hersh +/or possible gov't sources. (2) Grand Jury – seek immediate indictments of NYT + Hersh. (3) Search Warrant – to go after Hersh papers in his apt. (4) Discuss informally w/ NYT. (5) Do nothing." ²²³ Later in his notes, Cheney lays out the options in more detail and adds the possibility of seeking a contempt citation against ex-CIA employees for violating the Marchetti protective order. ²²⁴ Cheney's notes continue to outline additional questions, including: "Crime message – recodification of criminal statutes – should this issue be addressed?" and "can we take advantage of [the case] to bolster our position on the Church committee investigation? To point out the need for limits on the scope of the investigations?" ²²⁵



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Cheney distilled the discussions into a May 29, 1975, memorandum for Rumsfeld that attached the Levi memorandum and noted that the attorney general's discussion "raises a number of questions about the wisdom and/or feasibility of any legal action." The memo also reports the Navy's belief that operations can continue. 227
						For its part, the attorney general's memorandum includes a relatively detailed legal discussion of the options on the table. Levi begins by noting, with emphasis added in the original document, that each of the options presented involves "two serious problems." First, the earlier Washington Post article poses a challenge because the government would not be able to take the position that the article reported entirely new information, and would have to highlight those elements of the article that were, in fact, new. Two, the government would have to admit in the course of a prosecution that Holystone did, in fact, exist. Those considerations led the attorney general to recommend that the "most promising" course of action would be to discuss the leaks problem directly with the publishers.
						As for legal options, the Levi memorandum lists two: (1) prosecutions under various provisions of the Espionage Act; and (2) a criminal contempt proceeding or the empanelment of a grand jury to investigate the source of the disclosure. With respect to the Espionage Act, Levi discusses the application of Section 798(a)(3) against the Times or Hersh for the publication specifically of communications intelligence regarding the interception of signals from undersea cables. He concludes that



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						a § 798 prosecution of the Times alone would be "least controversial" as it would result only in a fine, and could be based solely on the fact of publication (prosecuting Hersh, or running a grand jury investigation to force him to identify his sources, ran the risk of creating a "cause celebre"). 234
						Levi also includes a discussion of using subsections of section 793 to prosecute the story's sources, or the Times and/or Hersh. He notes that subsection (d), covering only those with lawful possession, would not apply to the reporter or outlet, and would require proof of three elements: (1) proof of the source of the information; (2) proof of accuracy and relation to national security; and (3) proof that the information has not been made public and that the government took steps to keep it secret. Subsection (e), Levi notes, applies to unauthorized possession and therefore would be available for use against the press. Finally, Levi notes the argument that Section 793 does not cover publication as it refers only to "communications," but he clarified that it is the Justice Department's position that it does, in fact, cover publication.
						The second option, basing some action on a violation of the Marchetti protective order, includes two alternatives: a criminal contempt proceeding or a grand jury investigation into the leaks. The contempt proceeding raises four difficulties: (1) the court could refuse to issue an order in the absence of evidence that the order had been violated, (2) government counsel and court personnel had access to the documents, (3) the sources identified in the Times articles are past and current government officials,



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						and (4) anyone with access would likely take the Fifth. ²³⁸ The
						grand jury option poses two similar difficulties: (1) the journalist
						would refuse to testify, provoking a Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S.
						665 (1972), confrontation, and (2) the leaks in the Hersh story
						were more extensive than the information in the Marchetti
						case. ²³⁹ Finally Levi rejected expanding the protective order to the
						Times as groundless. And he noted that to restrain future
						publication by the Times, the government would have to get an
						injunction under the standard in New York Times v. United States,
						403 U.S. 713 (1971), which Levi said was "impossible."
						In short, the Ford administration seriously considered and discussed prosecuting the New York Times and Seymour Hersh for publication of the Operation Holystone story, but ultimately demurred.
Daniel Schorr	Ford	8/25/1976	N/A	Schorr appeared in	N/A	Daniel Schorr, a correspondent for CBS News, obtained a copy of
		(committee		front of the House		the Pike Report, a secret report of the House Permanent Select
(top)		voted to		ethics committee in		Committee on Intelligence, chaired by Rep. Otis G. Pike (D-NY), on
\		subpoena		response to a		illegal activities by members of the intelligence community,
		Schorr) ²⁴⁰		subpoena, but		including the CIA and FBI. ²⁴²
				refused to identify		
				his sources (he had		He then disclosed it to the Village Voice, which published it
				also refused to		(prompting CBS to suspend Schorr). 243 Although the House
				produce several		Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence—known as the Pike
				drafts of the Pike		committee under his chairmanship—had itself voted to release
				committee report,		the report, the full House voted to keep it secret on the basis that
				arguing that they		House leaders had agreed with the Ford administration not to



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				could be used to identify his source). 241 Half of the committee's 12 members said they would not support a contempt finding, ending the matter.		disclose the contents. ²⁴⁴ The matter was referred to the House ethics committee, then known as the House Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, which voted to issue subpoenas on August 26, 1976, to Schorr and three other journalists, including Clay Felker, editor of New York Magazine and editor in chief of the Village Voice; Shelly Zalaznick, senior editorial director of New York Magazine; and Aaron Latham, a contributing editor for New York Magazine. ²⁴⁵ The committee held hearings at which Schorr and Latham testified but refused to disclose their sources. ²⁴⁶ The matter dropped when the House ethics committee refused to issue a contempt citation. Notably, before subpoenaing Schorr and the others, a dozen former FBI agents had reportedly interviewed about 500 persons to identify Schorr's source. ²⁴⁷
						Additionally, as reported by Emma Best at MuckRock and based on FBI documents obtained by her through FOIA, the FBI initiated a preliminary inquiry into possible Espionage Act violations in connection with the publication of the report in the Village Voice. ²⁴⁸ It appears, based on the documents, that the preliminary inquiry was launched the day after the publication, February 13, pursuant to a memorandum from the then-assistant attorney general in charge of the Criminal Division, Richard Thornburgh, to the director of the FBI containing the "11 questions" to determine the feasibility of an Espionage Act prosecution. ²⁴⁹
						On June 9, 1976, an unidentified woman voluntarily contacted the FBI's Press Services Office and said that she had information about the release of the Pike Committee report and its publication in the Village Voice. 250 Two FBI special agents interviewed her



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						telephonically that afternoon. ²⁵¹ According to the interview memorandum, the woman offered details about internal RCFP deliberations concerning Schorr and the Pike Committee report, and suggested that the FBI talk to two other RCFP employees. ²⁵²
						On October 28, 1976, it appears that the FBI interviewed another individual associated with the Reporters Committee, who described his "employer" as "members of the Executive Committee of the Reporters Committee." The individual had "second thoughts" about freely answering FBI questions because of possible issues of privilege vis-à-vis him and his employer, but said that his recollection was that the understanding of RCFP's staff was in accord with the public statement made by RCFP shortly after the Village Voice publication. 254
						On October 29, 1976, it appears that the FBI interviewed another individual associated with the Reporters Committee, who had resigned following the Pike Committee publication. That person stated that he had no "real firsthand information" that he could recall.
						By memorandum on May 18, 1977, Thornburgh advised that there was no reasonable chance that a prosecution under the Espionage Act would be successful, and that the FBI need not engage in further investigation. ²⁵⁷
Samuel Morison	Reagan	10/4/1984 (indicted)	Four-count indictment.	Convicted on all four counts. The Supreme	24 months (pardoned).	Morison, an intelligence analyst with the Naval Intelligence Support Center ("NISC"), was convicted of stealing and selling



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
(<u>top</u>)			Charges are one count of unlawful transmission of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(d), one count of unlawful retention of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(e), and two counts of theft of government property in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641.	Court denied cert on Oct. 17, 1988. Sentenced to two years; Morison served eight months. Pardoned by President Clinton on Jan. 20, 2001.		photographs of a Soviet aircraft carrier under construction as well as material on an explosion at a Soviet naval base to an English magazine, Jane's Defence Weekly. He had been paid by the outlet in the past, and the FBI alleged that he had been hoping to secure full-time employment with Jane's. He district court denied the defendant's motion to dismiss on several grounds, finding, among other things, that the statute was not unconstitutionally vague, hat it applied to "leaking" to the press, hat § 793(d) and (e) are not overbroad as long as a limiting instruction is given requiring a jury to find that the information disclosed be potentially harmful to the United States or helpful to an enemy, hat § 641 applies to the disclosure of classified information, has "value" under that theft of government property statute. Information has "value" under that theft of government property statute.
						district court's decision, including its finding that the provisions of the Espionage Act in the indictment—§ 793(d) (transmittal or retention of national defense information by individual with <i>lawful</i> possession to person not entitled to receive it) and § 793(e) (transmittal or retention of NDI by individual with <i>unauthorized</i> possession to person not entitled to receive it)—apply more broadly to conduct beyond just, as Morison put it, "classic spying" (i.e., the transmittal of national security secrets to foreign agents for pay or out of ideological sympathy). ²⁶⁵ The court found that the First Amendment does not bar the application of the Espionage Act to instances where the material is disclosed to the



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						press, and that subsections (d) and (e) are not unconstitutionally vague or overbroad. ²⁶⁶
						Judges Wilkinson and Philips, however, both wrote concurring opinions elaborating on the First Amendment concerns with the Espionage Act. Judge Wilkinson suggested that careful jury
						instructions requiring a finding that the information released was actually damaging to the United States (either through harm to national security or through aid to an <i>enemy</i> , not just a foreign
						nation), and that the leaker had the specific intent to violate the statute, cured what he felt were significant First Amendment concerns. ²⁶⁷ Judge Wilkinson also wrote: "the espionage statute
						has no applicability to the multitude of leaks that pose no conceivable threat to national security, but threaten only to embarrass one or another high government official." ²⁶⁸
						Finally, the district court revisited the intent standard in a later decision granting the government's motion to exclude testimony related to the defendant's patriotism. ²⁶⁹ The district court squarely
						held that evidence of the defendant's motives in disclosing the information was irrelevant to the "willfulness" standard in 18 U.S.C. § 793(d) and (e). "The governments [sic] must show a bad
						purpose to break the law by delivering or retaining the items," the court said, "but a showing of an underlying <i>purpose</i> to damage the national defense is entirely unnecessary and irrelevant." With
						respect to the photographs at issue in Morison, the district court identified just two elements that the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to demonstrate that the material
						qualifies as "relating to the national defense." Those are that



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						the "photograph and/or document would be <i>potentially</i> damaging to the United States, or might be useful to an <i>enemy</i> of the United States; the second is that those same items are 'closely held' in that the relevant government agency has sought to keep them from the public generally and that these items have not been made public and are not available to the general public." The court also squarely held that the phrase "which information the possessor has reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of any foreign nation" only applies to "information relating to the national defense" and does not create a "subjective test for the entire statute." Sen. Daniel Patrick Moynihan (D-NY) lobbied President Clinton for a pardon, prompted not out of personal concern for Morison, but out of fear that capricious use of the Espionage Act could chill press freedom. Moynihan made his case in his capacity as chairman of the Commission on Protecting and Reducing Government Secrecy.
Thomas D. Brandt (top)	Reagan	12/7/1984 (subpoena issued)	N/A	The House ethics committee dropped the subpoena against Brandt on December 18, 1984.	N/A	Thomas Brandt covered Congress for the Washington Times, and had written a series of articles about the House ethics committee's investigation of then-Rep. Geraldine Ferraro's (D-NY) financial disclosures. (Ferraro's finances were at issue in her run as the 1984 vice-presidential candidate.) The committee, in a December 4 report, found that Rep. Ferraro had committed "technical violations" of financial disclosure laws. ²⁷⁵ Brandt quoted from the committee's still unreleased report and included details about the committee's closed-door deliberations. ²⁷⁶



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Following broad outcry by groups including the ACLU and the Reporters Committee, the committee dropped the subpoena two weeks after issuing it. ²⁷⁷
Operation Ivy Bells (top)	Reagan	5/19/1986 (NBC broadcasts the second Pelton hearing story) ²⁷⁸	N/A	The White House declined to bring a case or take other action.	N/A	The Operation Ivy Bells case arose in 1985, which has become known as the "year of the spy" because of the eight separate espionage cases brought that year, including Israeli spy Jonathan Pollard and Sharon W. Scranage (who, along with John Kiriakou, is the only other person to be convicted under the Intelligence Identities Protection Act), as well as the mysterious return of double agent and fake Soviet defector Vitaly Yurchenko to the USSR. ²⁷⁹ Upon his defection to the United States, Yurchenko identified two Soviet spies, former NSA employee Ronald W. Pelton and fired CIA agent Edward Lee Howard. ²⁸⁰ Howard escaped, but Pelton was successfully prosecuted under the Espionage Act. ²⁸¹ The Pelton case is interesting in and of itself as he was convicted not of transmitting tangible documents to the Soviets, but of revealing detailed intangible information (see the entry on Steven Rosen <i>infra</i> for discussion of the possible difference in the scienter requirement for intangible disclosures). ²⁸² The Pelton trial, however, led to it being one of the four cases where high level government officials seriously considered bringing an Espionage Act case against a member of the news media (the others are the Stanley Johnson grand jury, the Amerasia arrests and prosecution, and another submarine surveillance story, Operation Holystone).



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						During a pre-trial hearing in the Pelton case, on November 27, 1985, Pelton's attorney mentioned an "Operation Ivy Bells." NBC correspondent James Polk then aired a report saying, "There are indications Ivy Bells refers to a Navy eavesdropping operation. The Navy is known to have submarines outside Soviet harbors listening to what the Russians say," which was also what had been reported by Seymour Hersh in the Operation Holystone story. 283 The CIA took no action following that initial report. During a report on jury selection in the case, six months later, NBC's Polk against brought up the disclosure, stating, "Pelton apparently gave away one of the NSA's most sensitive secrets, a project with the code name 'Ivy Bells,' believed to be a top secret underwater eavesdropping operation by American submarines inside Russian harbors." Following the report in May, CIA director William Casey reportedly referred the matter to the Justice Department in the hopes that it would bring a case against NBC. 285 Casey met with the attorney general the following day, though sources told Washington Post investigative journalist George Lardner Jr. that they discussed other matters. The Justice Department ultimately declined to bring charges.
						Additionally, the Washington Post confirmed that CIA director Casey and the head of the NSA, Lt. Gen. William Odom, also threatened the Washington Post with prosecution under 18 U.S.C. § 798, and that President Reagan personally asked then-Post publisher Katherine Graham to kill a story with additional details on Ivy Bells and other submarine based signals intelligence activity. ²⁸⁷ Those threats came after the Post decided it should



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case	President	Date	Cnarges	Resolution	Sentence	run the story now that Pelton was under indictment, as it had had the details before Pelton was caught but withheld publication on the understanding that the Soviets were unaware of the surveillance. ²⁸⁸ Following the Reagan call, the Post published the story under the bylines of Bob Woodward and Patrick Tyler but without certain operational details, what Ben Bradlee called the "wiring diagram" of the intelligence system, which, Bradlee felt, could violate the plain terms of Section 798. ²⁸⁹ Bradlee described the Pelton matter and the Woodward/Tyler story in great detail in an op-ed the following June. ²⁹⁰ The details of the Ivy Bells operation have been reported at some length in the ensuing years. In effect, the Navy used specially outfitted submarines and advanced dive techniques to physically
						place a wiretap on an hardline cable that ran under the Sea of Okhotsk, connecting the submarine base at Petropavlovsk on the Kamchatka peninsula with the Soviet Pacific Fleet's headquarters at Vladivostok. ²⁹¹ Because of the remote location and Soviet dominion over that sea, the Soviets felt interception was improbable and communications were sent over the cable unencrypted. The Navy used a special tap to intercept them, and recorded the communications on magnetic tape for later retrieval by these specialized submarines and analysis by the NSA.
Timothy	George H.W.	2/3/1992	N/A	The Senate declined	N/A	On October 6, 1991, Timothy Phelps of Newsday and Nina
Phelps and Nina	Bush	(subpoenas issued) ²⁹²		to cite Phelps or Totenberg for		Totenberg of National Public Radio reported that Professor Anita Hill had submitted a statement to the Senate Judiciary Committee
INIIIA		issueu)		I offering for		This had submitted a statement to the Senate Judiciary Committee



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				testified before the		sexually harassing her when she worked for him at the Equal
(<u>top</u>)				appointed special		Employment Opportunity Commission. ²⁹⁴ The revelation led the
				counsel but declined		Senate Judiciary Committee to reopen the Thomas confirmation
				to disclose		hearings. (The committee deadlocked 7 to 7 on his nomination,
				information related		and he was eventually confirmed 52 to 48, which remains the
				to their source. ²⁹³		closest vote for confirmation in well over a century.) ²⁹⁵ Senate
						Republicans appointed a special counsel, Peter Fleming, to
						investigate the Totenberg/Phelps leak as well as unauthorized
						disclosures in the Senate ethics committee's inquiry into potential improper gifts by savings and loan executive Charles Keating. ²⁹⁶
						Both Totenberg and Phelps were deposed by the special counsel
						but declined to answer questions. ²⁹⁷ The chairman and ranking
						member of the Senate Rules Committee, who had to approve
						demands to compel testimony or the production of document
						under the resolution authorizing the special counsel (S. Res. 202),
						refused an application by Fleming for an order compelling
						Totenberg and Phelps to testify, and for a subpoena to compel the
						production of their telephone toll records. ²⁹⁸ Fleming was unable
						to identify the source of the disclosures and noted that the
						evidence indicated multiple sources. ²⁹⁹
						'
The Starr	Clinton	9/25/1998	The district judge	The special master in	N/A	Appointed by the D.C. Circuit in 1994 following the re-enactment
Office of		(Judge Norma	issued an order to	the case questioned		of the law authorizing independent counsels, attorney Ken Starr
Independent		Holloway	show cause why the	one reporter, Claire		took over the Whitewater investigation from Robert Fiske, a Reno
Counsel		Johnson	OIC should not be	Shipman, in		appointee.304 Over the course of the next five years, the
("OIC")		issues order	held in contempt for	connection with the		Whitewater investigation grew to encompass a separate perjury
		for Rule 6(e)	prima facie violations	third news article		investigation into President Bill Clinton regarding his relationship
(<u>top</u>)		inquiry) ³⁰⁰	of Rule 6(e) in	cited in the show		with Monica Lewinsky. ³⁰⁵ On September 25, 1998, Judge Johnson



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case	resident	Date	connection with 24 news articles. ³⁰¹	cause order. Shipman declined to cooperate, and the special master did not pursue the matter further. 302 The overall Rule 6(e) matter was resolved when the D.C. Circuit reversed Judge Johnson's show cause order and her appointment of the Justice Department to prosecute a criminal contempt proceeding against the OIC arising out of possible Rule 6(e) violations in a separate New York Times article dated January 31, 1999. 303	Sentence	of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia ordered the OIC to show cause why it should not be held in contempt for violations of Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, barring disclosures of grand jury material by government attorneys, in connection with 24 articles published between January 23, 1998, and June 2, 1998. Judge Johnson appointed Judge John Kern III of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals as special master. Judge John Kern III of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals as special master. Sinvestigation was in relation to an NBC Nightly News report by Claire Shipman, which cited sources in the OIC's office for two points: that the OIC's office had rejected an offer of an immunity deal by Lewinsky's lawyers and that Lewinsky may have received Talking points from the White House. Sing Because Shipman specifically mentioned the OIC as her source, the special master contacted her to request her voluntary cooperation. After consulting with NBC's management, she declined to cooperate and [g]iven the small chance of success in compelling a reporter to reveal her source, the special master did not pursue Shipman's testimony further. Sing The special master concluded his report by finding that the OIC had appropriately responded to the claims of Rule 6(e) violations, and that no further action would be required with respect to the 24 news reports at issue. The Rule 6(e) proceeding continued for another year with respect to another news article, a report by Don Van Natta Jr. titled "Starr Is Weighing Whether to Indict Sitting President."



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Cusc	resident	- June	Charges			attorneys wanted the OIC to indict President Clinton on charges of perjury and obstruction arising out of his deposition testimony in the Paula Jones case and his grand jury testimony in the OIC investigation. The day after the story ran, the White House filed a motion for a show cause order. The OIC denied being the source of the information in the story, but asked the FBI to provide assistance in investigating the possible disclosure. Following the investigation (the results of which were sealed), the OIC withdrew its denial and took administrative action against its spokesperson. The district court then issued an order appointing the Justice Department to serve as prosecutor of contempt charges against OIC and its spokesperson for violations of Rule 6(e). On appeal, the D.C. Circuit, per curiam, found that the disclosures in the New York Times article did not qualify as a prima facie violation of Rule 6(e) and granted the motion for summary reversal of the district court's show cause order. The Kern report was released as part of an unsealing request by Senate Judiciary Committee Democrats and American Oversight, a private advocacy group, in August 2018. The Justice Department did not oppose release of the report. Judge Royce Lamberth on the District Court for the District of Columbia issued the order, and
						he reviewed the report in camera prior to release. ³¹⁸
Wen Ho Lee	Clinton	12/10/1999	59-count	Dr. Lee pled guilty on	Judge James Parker	Dr. Wen Ho Lee was a Taiwanese-born engineer and
(+)		(indictment)	indictment. ³¹⁹	September 13, 2000,	in Albuquerque	hydrodynamics specialist at Los Alamos National Laboratory,
(top)				to one count of unlawful retention of	sentenced Lee to 278 days, one less than	assigned to the "X Division," which designs nuclear bombs. He was suspected of passing sensitive information to the Chinese



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			29 counts of unlawful	NDI under §	time served. ³²⁴ He	about the "W-88," an American nuclear warhead design with a
			removal of restricted	793(e).320 When the	was released the	particular innovation permitting greater yield at a smaller size. 326
			data in violation of	court accepted his	same day he entered	The investigation into a possible W-88 leaker, codenamed "Tiger
			42 U.S.C. § 2276; 10	plea, the judge	his plea.	Trap," centered on Dr. Lee, who was ultimately arrested, charged
			counts of unlawful	offered an apology to		with 59 Espionage Act counts, and held in solitary confinement for
			acquisition of	Dr. Lee, and criticized		more than nine months. ³²⁷
			restricted data in	the "top decision		
			violation of 42 U.S.C.	makers in the		The first 39 counts against Dr. Lee were for violations of the
			§ 2275; 10 counts of	Executive Branch		Atomic Energy Act, and they refer to "restricted" data (the
			unlawful receipt of	who have caused		Department of Energy system of classification). Those counts
			NDI in violation of §	embarrassment by		were split between two statutes, both of which carry a possible
			793(c); and 10 counts	the way this case		life sentence just for mishandling restricted information (29
			of unlawful retention	began and was		counts under § 2276 and 10 under § 2275). 329
			of NDI in violation of	handled." ³²¹ Dr. Lee		
			§ 793(e).	was held in custody		Section 2276, "Tampering with Restricted Data," covers merely
				(and in solitary		removing, concealing, tampering with, altering, mutilating, or
				confinement) for 278		destroying any material incorporating restricted data that is used
				days before his plea		in connection with the production of "special nuclear material"
				agreement.322 In		(i.e., plutonium and certain enriched uranium isotopes) or atomic
				2006, Dr. Lee		energy research, when done with the intent to "injure the United
				received a \$1.6		States" or to "secure an advantage to any foreign nation." 330
				million settlement		
				paid by the		Section 2275, "Receipt of Restricted Data," covers the acquisition
				government and,		or attempted acquisition of restricted data with the intent to
				unusually, by five		"injure the United States" or to "secure an advantage to any
				media organizations		foreign nation."
				(see discussion in the		
				summary). ³²³		Ultimately, the Justice Department's case against Dr. Lee in large
						part fell apart, and he pled guilty to one count of unlawful



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						retention under § 793(e). On accepting the plea, the judge strongly criticized the Justice Department and the Department of Energy, saying that Dr. Lee's detention had "embarrassed our entire nation and each of us who is a citizen of it." ³³¹ Dr. Lee's jailing had been based, in part, on secret and dire warnings of possible harm to national security were he released on bail, which the judge ultimately found to be overhyped. ³³² The Lee case has an unusual coda. Like Stephen Hatfill and Richard Convertino, Dr. Lee filed a Privacy Act lawsuit against the government for disclosing personal details about him to the press. ³³³ As part of the lawsuit, Lee issued hundreds of written discovery requests and deposed six Energy Department officials (including Secretary Bill Richardson) to uncover the source of the leaks but was unsuccessful in doing so. ³³⁴ He then subpoenaed six journalists (James Risen, Josef Hebert, Bob Drogin, Pierre Thomas, Jeff Gerth, and Walter Pincus). ³³⁵ Various courts ultimately found that a qualified reporter's privilege did not apply. ³³⁶ In 2006, the government then settled with Dr. Lee for \$1.6 million, with five media organizations—ABC, the Associated Press, the Los Angeles Times, the New York Times, and the Washington Post—contributing \$750,000 to avoid contempt sanctions against their reporters (despite <i>not</i> being defendants in the case). ³³⁷
John Solomon (top)	George W. Bush	5/14/2001 (approval for subpoena) ³³⁸	N/A	Solomon's source was never revealed. Sen. Chuck Grassley	N/A	John Solomon of the Associated Press wrote an article on May 4, 2001, revealing that a federal wiretap had captured conversations between Sen. Robert Torricelli (D-NJ) and the relative of an



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
				(R-IA) sent Attorney General John Ashcroft two letters requesting information on the Solomon subpoena. The DOJ responded in December with a letter listing various statistics concerning subpoenas to the press. 339 Solomon also claims that the revelation cost him sources. 340		organized crime figure. ³⁴¹ Following its publication, the Justice Department, with the approval of new FBI director Robert Mueller, secured a delayed-notice subpoena for Solomon's home phone records from May 2 through the 7. ³⁴² Solomon was notified by letter of the seizure when he returned home from vacation in August. ³⁴³ Senator Grassley sent letters on September 4 and 6, 2001, to the Justice Department asking for a timeline of all relevant events regarding the subpoena, all related documents, and a list of all individuals involved in the matter. ³⁴⁴ The Justice Department responded on November 28, 2001, with a letter including various statistics on press subpoenas in the past, including the fact that the government had issued 88 subpoenas "in connection" with a member of the news media, of which 17 sought information that could have led to the identification of a source or "implicated source material." Senator Grassley responded by letter on December 6, 2001, criticizing vague answers in the DOJ's response, and requesting greater clarity on several points. ³⁴⁶
Jim Taricani (top)	George W. Bush	5/31/2001 (district court issued order appointing special prosecutor) ³⁴⁷	Criminal contempt. ³⁴⁸	Taricani served four months home confinement and was released two months early in April 2005. ³⁴⁹	Six-months home confinement.	The Taricani case arose out of "Operation Plunder Dome," an FBI investigation into corruption in Providence, Rhode Island. The investigation ultimately resulted in charges against Mayor Vincent "Buddy" Cianci Jr. At the heart of his case was an FBI videotape showing another defendant, Frank Corrente, allegedly accepting a bribe. The tape was covered by a protective order put in place to avoid compromising the Cianci grand jury, which was proceeding at the same time that the Corrente prosecution was pending. On February 1, 2001, Jim Taricani, an investigative reporter for



Case	President Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case	President Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	WJAR, the NBC affiliate in Cranston, Rhode Island, aired the leaked tape. 351 The defendants then asked the district court to investigate who had violated the protective order. 352 The court agreed and appointed a private attorney as special prosecutor to investigate the leak. 353 After interviewing and deposing several individuals, the special prosecutor, Marc DeSisto, sought and received a subpoena to compel Taricani to appear at a deposition. 354 Taricani appeared but refused to answer any questions that would reveal his source, citing a "newsman's privilege." DeSisto filed a motion to compel, which was granted after a hearing on October 2, 2003. 356 Taricani appeared at another deposition on February 13, 2004, and again refused to identify his source; following a hearing on March 16, 2004, Taricani was found in civil contempt and ordered to pay \$1,000 a day until he complied. 357 He appealed unsuccessfully to the First Circuit, and fines began on August 12, 2004, ultimately reaching \$85,000, which were paid by NBC. 358 On November 22, 2004, Taricani was convicted of criminal contempt based on the earlier civil contempt finding. 359 Because of health considerations (Taricani was a heart transplant recipient), he was sentenced to six months of home confinement on December 9, 2004. 360 The conditions of confinement were restrictive; he could not leave the house except to seek medical treatment, could not work, could not grant media interviews, could not access the internet, and was subject to other restrictions "designed to mirror as closely as possible the conditions in prison." Taricani was released after four months. 362



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Following Taricani's conviction, the attorney for the Providence tax assessor, who was a defendant in Operation Plunder Dome, admitted under oath that he had provided the tape to Taricani. ³⁶³ The attorney, Joseph Bevilaqua Jr., had previously denied being the source under oath, and said that there had never been an agreement of confidentiality between the two. ³⁶⁴ Taricani disputed that, saying that Bevilaqua had asked him for a promise of confidentiality. ³⁶⁵ The admission did not impact Taricani's conviction.
Jonathan Randel (<u>top</u>)	George W. Bush	7/10/2001 (indicted)	Initial indictment was one count of violating the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4); superseding indictment added 17 charges, including counts for theft of government property under 18 U.S.C. § 641 and wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1346.	On June 4, 2002, Randel pled guilty to one count of theft of government property under § 641. ³⁶⁷ He was sentenced on January 15, 2003. ³⁶⁸	12 months.	Jonathan Randel was an analyst at the Drug Enforcement Agency who shared with the London Times the fact that British billionaire Michael Ashcroft's name had been entered in a money laundering database because of his ownership of a bank in Belize. 369 Ashcroft sued the Times for libel in July 1999, but dropped the suit after the paper, owned by Rupert Murdoch, printed a statement that Ashcroft had committed no wrongdoing. Investigators focused on Randel because of an identity code printed on one of the documents provided to the London Times. The Randel case is notable in that the conviction under § 641 was secured even though the information provided to the London Times wasn't classified; it was merely controlled. The prosecutor pointed to the case as an example to other government employees, saying that "this was a case that went to the heart of the integrity of the justice system We took an action against someone entrusted with sensitive confidential information because it's illegal to disclose it."



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Holy Land Foundation and Global Relief Foundation (top)	George W. Bush	12/14/2001 (investigation into Shenon story commenced at some point after this date) ³⁷³	N/A	In 2006, the Second Circuit ruled that the grand jury was entitled to compel the disclosure of Shenon's and Miller's phone records. ³⁷⁴	N/A	Following the 9/11 terrorist attacks, the government took various steps to interdict financing for terrorist activities, including freezing the assets of an array of charities in the United States. Two of the most prominent were the Global Relief Foundation ("GRF"), based near Chicago, and the Holy Land Foundation ("HLF"). On October 1, 2001, New York Times reporter Judith Miller (with Kurt Eichenwald) bylined a story on the government's "financial assault," which reported that the Treasury Department was vetting a new list of organizations in advance of freezing their assets, one of which was GRF. ³⁷⁵ On December 3, 2001, Miller then called HLF "seeking comment on the government's intent to block HLF's assets." ³⁷⁶ The government raided HLF's offices the following day. ³⁷⁷ Less than two weeks later, on December 13, 2001, Times reporter Phil Shenon called GRF's offices seeking similar comment on that pending asset seizure. ³⁷⁸ Again, the government searched GRF's offices the following day. ³⁷⁹ The record is unclear on whether the reporters mentioned the raids. There is evidence that one of the foundations had an attorney present when agents arrived, but the two foundations had been identified repeatedly prior to the search as targets of the "financial assault." ³⁸⁰ At some point after the December 14 raid, Patrick Fitzgerald, the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, opened an investigation into the Miller and Shenon unauthorized disclosures. ³⁸¹ In August 2002, Fitzgerald sought a voluntary



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						interview with Shenon and a voluntary production of his phone
						records for 18 days in 2001 (September 24 to October 2 and
						December 7 to 15).382 The Times did not comply, invoking First
						Amendment protections for confidential sources. ³⁸³
						Two years later, Fitzgerald renewed and expanded his request to
						include Miller and an additional five days (November 30 to
						December 4). ³⁸⁴ Fitzgerald warned that non-compliance by the
						Times would lead him to seek a subpoena for the records from the
						Times's third-party telephone provider. ³⁸⁵ On August 4, 2004,
						Times attorneys Floyd Abrams and Ken Starr wrote a letter to
						Deputy Attorney General James Comey requesting a meeting, and
						asking that, were the Justice Department to seek records from a
						third party, the Times be given advanced notice so as to be able to
						seek court review. ³⁸⁶ Comey rejected the request saying, "Having
						diligently pursued all reasonable alternatives out of regard for
						First Amendment concerns, and having adhered scrupulously to
						Department policy, including a thorough review of Mr. Fitzgerald's
						request within the Department of Justice, we are now obliged" to
						proceed with the subpoena. ³⁸⁷
						On September 28, 2004, the Times filed suit in the Southern
						District of New York for a declaratory judgment that the telephone
						records were protected from disclosure by both the First
						Amendment and common law.388 The government moved to
						dismiss the complaint on October 27, 2004. The Times opposed
						and moved for summary judgment. 389 Judge Sweet denied the
						government's motion and found for the Times, finding a qualified
						reporter's privilege under the First Amendment and common law,



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						that the privilege extended to records held by a third party, and
						that the government had failed to overcome the qualified
						privilege. ³⁹⁰ (Separately, Judge Sweet declined to find that the
						News Media Guidelines, 28 U.S.C. § 50.10, created any
						enforceable right for the Times. ³⁹¹)
						In a 2-to-1 decision, with Judge Sack dissenting, the Second Circuit
						reversed, finding that no First Amendment protection existed, and
						that, even if a common law privilege applied, it would be
						overcome given the government's interest in maintaining the
						secrecy of impending asset seizures. ³⁹² The Second Circuit did
						hold, however, that binding precedent in that jurisdiction
						commanded that whatever protection the Times had over its own
						records would apply to records under the control of a third
						party. ³⁹³ It also held that the district court had not abused its
						discretion in exercising jurisdiction over the case. ³⁹⁴
						Judge Sack dissented, but lauded the third-party finding of the
						majority and agreed that the district court had jurisdiction to
						decide the case. ³⁹⁵ Judge Sack, however, found that the
						government had not made the required showing under what he
						would have identified as the three elements of the common law
						reporter's privilege: (1) whether the identity of a source is "highly
						material and relevant, necessary or critical,"396 (2) whether the
						information is "not obtainable from other available sources," 397
						and (3) whether "nondisclosure of the information would be
						contrary to the public interest, taking into account both the public
						interest in compelling disclosure and the public interest in
						newsgathering and maintaining a free flow of information to



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						citizens." ³⁹⁸ Judge Sack found that, at the very least, the government was obligated to, and failed to, demonstrate to the court's satisfaction that it had met the first two elements: necessity and exhaustion. ³⁹⁹
						The Second Circuit agreed to a seven-day stay of its order, and the Times sought a stay of mandate at the Supreme Court to give it time to petition for certiorari. That was denied after being presented to Justice Ginsburg, who referred it to the court. ⁴⁰⁰
Stephen Hatfill (<u>top</u>)	George W. Bush	8/1/2002 (Hatfill identified as person of interest) ⁴⁰¹	N/A	On June 28, 2008, Hatfill settled a Privacy Act suit against the government for \$5.82 million (almost \$3 million immediately and an annuity of \$150,000 for 20 years starting in 2009).402	N/A	A week after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, letters containing anthrax spores were mailed to several media outlets and Democratic Senators Tom Daschle (SD) and Patrick Leahy (VT), killing five people (including a photo editor for the Sun, owned by American Media Inc., the parent company of the National Enquirer; two employees at the Brentwood postal facility in Washington, D.C.; and two individuals who encountered the anthrax spores through unknown means). Seventeen others were infected. In the first year, the FBI investigation, called "Amerithrax," focused on a U.S. Army scientist at Fort Detrick named Stephen Hatfill who had also once worked at the Army's Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, or USAMRIID. In June 2002, an FBI search of his home featuring agents in biohazard suits was broadcast on national television. In August 2002, Attorney General John Ashcroft publicly named Hatfill a "person of interest" and he was subjected to intensive investigation—including wiretaps and 24-hour physical surveillance—for more than two years.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						In 2007, the FBI's focus in Amerithrax shifted to another USAMRIID scientist, Bruce Ivins. Ivins committed suicide in July 2008 as prosecutors prepared charges against him. ⁴⁰⁵ The Justice Department exonerated Hatfill two weeks later. ⁴⁰⁶
						Hatfill filed two major lawsuits in connection with the case. He, like Wen Ho Lee, sued the government for Privacy Act violations in connection with the leaks about his status as a suspect. That suit settled in late June 2008 with Hatfill to receive almost \$3 million immediately and an annuity of \$150,000 for 20 years. 407 Unlike Lee, there was no contribution from media organizations facing subpoenas in the lawsuit.
						Crucially, Hatfill also sought to compel several reporters to disclose confidential sources in the case. Two ultimately faced contempt citations, including USA Today's Toni Locy. Judge Reggie Walton held Locy in contempt in February 2008, issuing an order requiring her to pay fines of \$500 a day for seven days, \$1000 a day for another seven days, and \$5000 a day for seven days if she refused to name her sources for three articles she wrote about the case. 408 Locy was ordered to personally pay the fines, and her employer was prohibited from reimbursing her. 409 Following the
						settlement in the case, Hatfill moved to dismiss, though Locy urged the D.C. Circuit to hear her appeal from the contempt order to settle the underlying privilege issue. The court declined, but vacated the contempt citation. Former CBS reporter James Stewart was also facing an order in the case to disclose his sources, but Walton never ruled on specific contempt sanctions.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Finally, Hatfill also sued the New York Times and Times columnist Nicholas Kristof for defamation for a series of columns Kristof wrote suggesting that the FBI should have been investigating a "Mr. Z" more closely. Hatfill confirmed he was Mr. Z during a press conference. A13 A federal judge initially dismissed the suit on the grounds that Hatfill was a public figure, but was overturned by a split Fourth Circuit panel (saying the question could go to the jury). The trial court again dismissed the suit in January 2007. The Fourth Circuit upheld that ruling and the Supreme Court declined to hear Hatfill's appeal in December 2008. Though the suit was dismissed, Kristof apologized in a column to Hatfill.
Larry Franklin (top)	George W. Bush	5/3/2005 (initial complaint filed); 8/4/2005 (superseding indictment)	Criminal complaint initially issued charging Franklin alone with violation of Espionage Act, 18 U.S.C. § 793(d). Followed by a five-count superseding indictment against Franklin and two AIPAC lobbyists, Rosen and Weissman.	Pled guilty in 2005. Initially sentenced to more than 12 years, which was reduced to probation and 10 months in community confinement after he cooperated in the case against Rosen and Weissman (against whom the charges were ultimately dropped). 419	151 months (reduced significantly; see "Resolution" entry).	Franklin, an analyst at the Department of Defense and an Iran expert, ultimately admitted to passing classified military information about Iran to two lobbyists for the American Israel Public Affairs Committee ("AIPAC") and an Israeli diplomat. The case is unusual in that Steven Rosen and Keith Weissman, the AIPAC lobbyists, were also charged with "leaking" despite not being government officials. Franklin, an Iran hawk, has said that he developed a relationship with the two lobbyists in the hopes that the information he passed along would find its way to the National Security Council. 420



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			Franklin charged			
			under all five counts			
			of indictment; Rosen			
			and Weissman			
			charged with select			
			counts (see entries			
			below). ⁴¹⁸			
			Charges are one			
			count of conspiracy			
			to disclose NDI in			
			violation of 18 U.S.C.			
			§ 793(d), (e) and (g)			
			(subsection (g) is the			
			conspiracy			
			provision); three			
			counts of actual			
			unlawful disclosure in			
			violation of 18 U.S.C.			
			§ 793(d); and one			
			count of conspiracy			
			to disclose <i>classified</i>			
			information (not NDI)			
			to a foreign agent in			
			violation of 50 U.S.C.			
			§ 783 and 18 U.S.C. §			
			371 (the general			
			criminal conspiracy			
			statute).			



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Steven J.	George W.	8/4/2005	Rosen charged in the	Charges dropped on	N/A	Rosen and Weissman were both charged with one count of
Rosen	Bush	(indicted)	same superseding	May 1, 2009.		conspiracy to violate the Espionage Act. Rosen was also charged
			indictment along			with one count of aiding and abetting a violation of the law (for
(<u>top</u>)			with Weissman and			allegedly helping Franklin fax a classified document to Rosen's
			Franklin. Rosen			residence). ⁴²¹ The investigation into Rosen dated to 1999 when
			specifically charged			the government alleged that Rosen told a foreign official that he
			with both conspiracy			had "picked up an extremely sensitive piece of
			and a direct violation			intelligence."422 The government alleged that Rosen and
			of unlawful			Weissman recruited Franklin into the conspiracy, and that Rosen
			disclosure under the			and Weissman disclosed the information they gathered to AIPAC
			Espionage Act (for			staffers, foreign officials and the media. ⁴²³
			helping Franklin fax a			
			document to Rosen).			Rosen and Weissman moved to dismiss the charges on
						constitutional grounds. The district court found that the
						application of the Espionage Act to individuals accused of
						disclosing classified information, but who are not employed by the
						government, does not violate the First Amendment. To avoid First
						Amendment concerns, however, the court found that the government must prove both harm and intent—that is, that the
						information the defendants leaked is potentially harmful to
						· · · · · ·
						national security (that it qualifies as "national defense information," which, as noted above, is defined functionally as
						information, which, as noted above, is defined functionally as information the disclosure of which could harm national security),
						and that the defendants knew as much when they disclosed it. ⁴²⁴
						The district court also held that the fact the information here was
						transmitted orally did not render the statute unconstitutionally
						vague: "To the extent that oral transmission of information
						vague. To the extent that oral transmission of information



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case	riesiuent	Date	Citalges	RESOLUTION	Sentence	relating to the national defense makes it more difficult for defendants to know whether they are violating the statute, the statute is not thereby rendered unconstitutionally vague because the statute permits conviction only of those who 'willfully' commit the prohibited acts and do so with bad faith." ⁴²⁵ The key holding in the district court's decision is essentially that prosecutors must prove that defendants knew that the information they disclosed, if disclosed, would potentially harm the United States, and that defendants acted with "a bad purpose either to disobey or to disregard the law." ⁴²⁶ The holding applies to "intangible" information, information that the discloser has "reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of any foreign nation." ⁴²⁷ The court did not apply this additional intent requirement to documentary material, which often will come with specific markings identifying its classification status, and therefore, the logic goes, harm can be presumed.
						Prosecutors ultimately dropped the charges against Rosen and Weissman following the district court's ruling, and a series of other decisions that would have required the disclosure of classified information at trial. The defense would have also been allowed to call several senior Bush administration national security officials, including former national security advisor and Secretary of State Condoleeza Rice, to testify that the "leaks" were a normal part of Washington "information trading."
Keith Weissman	George W. Bush	8/4/2005 (indicted)	Weissman charged with one count of	Charges dropped on May 1, 2009.	N/A	See Rosen entry above.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
(top)			conspiracy to violate unlawful disclosure provision of Espionage Act.			
I. Lewis ("Scooter") Libby (top)	George W. Bush	10/28/2005 (indicted)	Five-count indictment. Charges are one count of obstruction of justice in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503, two counts of making false statements to the FBI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2), and two counts of perjury for false statements in grand jury testimony in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1623.	Convicted on four felony counts: obstruction of justice, false statements to the FBI and committing perjury twice in grand jury testimony. Acquitted on an additional false statement count. 430 President Bush commuted Libby's 30-month sentence on July 2, 2007. 431 President Trump pardoned Libby on April 13, 2018. 432	30 months (commuted then pardoned).	The Libby case originated in a 2003 op-ed that a former ambassador, Joseph Wilson, wrote in the New York Times claiming that he had been sent to Niger to investigate what he discovered to be unfounded claims that Saddam Hussein had sought uranium "yellowcake" from the country. 433 The op-ed suggested that officials may have ignored his findings in the lead up to the Iraq War. Administration officials, potentially in an effort to discredit Wilson, then told several journalists that Wilson was sent to Niger at the behest of his wife Valerie Plame, a then-undercover CIA officer. The outing of Plame led to a criminal investigation into possible violations of the Intelligence Identities Protection Act, the same law at issue in the Kiriakou case below, though no one was ever charged for the leak itself. The charges against Libby all stem from statements made to FBI agents investigating the leak of Plame's affiliation with the CIA, which was classified, and to the grand jury about conversations he had with news reporters Tim Russert (NBC), Judith Miller (the New York Times) and Matthew Cooper (Time). On July 6, 2005, Miller was sent to jail for refusing to identify a confidential source in testimony before the grand jury. Though she hadn't written about Plame, she had conducted interviews.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Cooper was slated to also go to prison but received a last-minute release from his source (it turned out that Karl Rove was Cooper's source and the "primary" leak had been from Richard Armitage at the State Department to the late columnist Robert Novak). 434 Miller was released after 85 days. She left prison in September 2005 after receiving assurances that the waiver Libby had given to permit prosecutors to question reporters about their conversations with Libby was not coerced. Libby's attorneys, however, said they were surprised to learn that her belief that the waiver may have been coerced was why she ultimately refused to testify and went to prison. 435 In the lead up to the trial, Libby sought to compel the production of documents from the various news organizations. 436 Judge Walton on the D.C. district court limited what Libby could seek to the three primary reporters—Russert, Cooper and Miller—but found that the First Amendment does not protect a news reporter or that reporter's outlet from having to disclose documents pursuant to a criminal subpoena when the reporter is "personally involved in the activity that forms the predicate for the criminal offenses charged in the indictment." 437 A federal jury acquitted Libby on one count of lying about a conversation with Cooper, but convicted him on March 6, 2007, on the four other counts. 438 Judge Walton sentenced him to 30 months in prison and a \$250,000 fine in June. President Bush commuted the prison sentence in July and President Trump pardoned Libby in April 2018.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Richard G. Convertino (top)	George W. Bush	3/29/2006 (Convertino indictment)	Four-count indictment. Convertino – along	Convertino was acquitted of the criminal charges; his Privacy Act suit	Acquitted at trial.	Richard Convertino was the assistant United States attorney in charge of the first terrorism prosecution following the 9/11 attacks. The Justice Department secured convictions against two of the defendants for plotting terrorist attacks as a "sleeper cell."
			with co-defendant Harry Smith from the State Department –	ended when the Sixth Circuit held that the reporter in the		The case was based heavily on circumstantial evidence and the testimony of an informant. ⁴⁴¹
			was indicted on one count of conspiracy to obstruct justice and make false declarations in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371; one count of obstruction of justice under §§ 1502, 1503; one count of making a materially false	case could assert his Fifth Amendment privilege. ⁴⁴⁰		During the course of the trial, relations between Convertino and officials at the Justice Department became strained. The department's Office of Professional Responsibility launched an internal investigation into legal and ethical misconduct by Mr. Convertino. Mr. Convertino claimed that the investigation was in retaliation for his testimony before the Senate Finance Committee describing the Detroit prosecution. The terrorism charges were ultimately dropped in 2004 after the government admitted that it had failed to turn over potentially exculpatory evidence to the defense. Convertino was prosecuted for the alleged withholding and acquitted at trial.
			declaration before a court under §§ 1622, 1623; and only Convertino was charged with one count of obstruction of justice under § 1503.439			In January 2004, the Detroit Free Press ran an article written by David Ashenfelter quoting anonymous Justice Department officials highly critical of Convertino; the article appeared to draw directly from the internal investigation, and prompted a leak investigation by the Justice Department's inspector general, which failed to identify who had spoken to the Free Press. 445 Like Wen Ho Lee and Hatfill, Convertino sued the Justice Department for Privacy Act violations in connection with the disclosures about him. The litigation wound its way through the



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						courts for more than a decade. Convertino initially subpoenaed
						both the Free Press and Gannett, its parent company. 446 He
						dropped the subpoena against Gannett, but pursued the
						subpoena against the Free Press. ⁴⁴⁷ The federal district court in
						Washington, D.C., limited the suit in 2005 to one claim under the
						Privacy Act involving the Ashenfelter story. 448
						The Free Press subpoena, which sought to compel testimony from
						Ashenfelter, was resolved in 2015 when the Sixth Circuit ruled that
						Ashenfelter could invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-
						incrimination to resist naming his sources. 449 Crucial for the Fifth
						Amendment claim, Convertino had alleged that the Justice
						Department had leaked two specific documents to Ashenfelter, a
						referral letter requesting the investigation and a letter from the
						Office of Professional Responsibility to Convertino. ⁴⁵⁰ In order to
						continue pursuing his Privacy Act claim, Convertino would have
						had to identify who specifically disclosed the information and then
						prove that the disclosure was "intentional and willful." 451
						Convertino had challenged Ashenfelter's Fifth Amendment claims,
						arguing that Ashenfelter had no reasonable basis to fear
						incrimination. Ashenfelter cited, among other things, the same
						federal statutes at issue in many of the unauthorized disclosure
						and retention cases in this chart, including the Espionage Act and
						theft of government secrets under 18 U.S.C. § 641. Following
						deliberations and discussion with Ashenfelter's counsel on three
						specific questions to which Convertino sought to compel answers,
						including whether he had disclosed his source to his editors and
						who at the DOJ had leaked the information, the district court



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						found that Ashenfelter had a legitimate fear that answering
						Convertino's questions could constitute incrimination. ⁴⁵²
						Interestingly, Convertino argued in a motion for reconsideration of
						the district court's ruling on the Fifth Amendment question that
						Attorney General Holder's statement following the disclosure of
						the AP subpoenas and the James Rosen search warrant—that the
						DOJ would not "prosecute any reporter for doing his or her job"—
						should be enough to insulate Ashenfelter from prosecution. The
						district court denied the motion. As noted, the Sixth Circuit
						upheld the ruling in 2015, finding that the relevant test is whether
						prosecution is "possible" not probable. 453
Tana Ellamana	C \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	F /C /200C	Filamora and ad aviita	0	20	The Ellews are seen and of the BALCO arounded around fourth a
Troy Ellerman	George W. Bush	5/6/2006 (Fainaru-	Ellerman pled guilty to four counts.	On September 21,	30 months and fine	The Ellerman case arose out of the BALCO scandal, named for the
(ton)	Busn	Wada and	to four counts.	2006, a federal judge ordered two San	of \$60,000.	Bay Area Laboratory Co-Operative, a sports nutrition center
(top)		Williams	Two counts of	Francisco Chronicle		founded by Victor Conte that supplied Barry Bonds and other
		called to				athletes with performance enhancing drugs. 462 In August 2002,
		testify) ⁴⁵⁴	criminal contempt in violation of 18 U.S.C.	reporters, Mark Fainaru-Wada and		federal agents began investigating BALCO, and prosecutors
		testily)				convened a federal grand jury in October 2003. 463 On March 3, 2004, the government obtained a protective order for the grand
			§ 401 for releasing the transcripts; one	Lance Williams, jailed for refusing to testify		jury testimony barring the parties from disseminating the
			count of filing a false	about who disclosed		transcripts to the press. 464 In June 2004, the San Francisco
			document in	Barry Bonds' grand		Chronicle published a story based on the transcripts, which
			violation of 18 U.S.C.	jury testimony. 456		Ellerman had permitted the reporters to read, revealing that
			§ 1623(a) for	They faced up to 18		Olympian Timothy Montgomery had testified that he had used
			swearing under oath	months in prison. ⁴⁵⁷		performance enhancing substances. 465 On June 25, 2004, the
			that he did not	The contempt		court held an emergency hearing to discuss the disclosures (at
			disclose the	charges were		which Ellerman expressed anger about the disclosures, which he
			information; and one	dropped after		would later allege came from his then-client, the co-head of the



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			count of obstruction	Ellerman pled guilty		BALCO lab). 466 The court ordered an investigation. While the
			of justice in violation	to leaking the		investigation was ongoing, the Chronicle reporters wrote another
			of 18 U.S.C. § 1503	testimony in		story, on December 2, 2004, reporting that New York Yankees
			for seeking the	February 2007.458		player Jason Giambi had testified at the grand jury that he had
			dismissal of the case			used steroids sourced from Greg Anderson, Bonds's trainer. 467
			on grounds that he	In June 2007, Judge		Giambi had denied taking steroids publicly.
			created by leaking	Jeffrey White		
			the testimony. ⁴⁵⁵	rejected a plea deal		In May 2006, the reporters were subpoenaed by the grand jury in
				that would have had		an effort to force them to reveal their source. 468 They refused
				Ellerman serving less		and, in October 2006, were sentenced to prison for the remainder
				than two years.459		of the grand jury term—18 months. They remained out of prison
				Ellerman agreed to		on appeal. ⁴⁶⁹ Finally, an informant told the FBI that Ellerman had
				the maximum		leaked the transcripts. Following an initial denial, Elllerman
				sentence of two		admitted he had done so in December 2006, and pled guilty to a
				years and 9 months		four-charge indictment, including one count of obstruction of
				in July 2007 (though		justice for his initial efforts to get the case against his client
				his fine was reduced		dismissed because of the leaks. ⁴⁷⁰
				from \$250,000 to		
				\$60,000), and the		Judge Jeffrey White, who had issued the initial order, vacated the
				judge sentenced him		contempt finding against the two reporters on March 2, 2007, a
				to two-and-a-half		month after Ellerman pled guilty. 471
				years.460 Ellerman		
				was denied		
				readmission to the		
				California state bar in		
				May 2018. ⁴⁶¹		



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Shamai Leibowitz ⁴⁷² (top)	Obama	12/4/2009 (indicted)	One-count information. Charge is violating the prohibition on transmitting "communications intelligence" material, 18 U.S.C. 798(a) (i.e., this is not an NDI case).	Leibowitz pled guilty before trial.	20 months.	While employed as an FBI linguist, Leibowitz was charged with transmitting five FBI documents classified as "secret" to a blogger. 473 Following Leibowitz's guilty plea, the blogger revealed himself to be Richard Silverstein (who writes a blog, "Tikun Olam," on Israeli-American relations) and that the information disclosed included FBI transcripts of wiretapped conversations at the Israeli embassy. 474 Silverstein removed the blog posts, but was able to retrieve three for the New York Times, which reported that those three posts described, respectively, regular written briefings from the Israeli embassy to President-elect Obama, calls among Israeli officials on the views of members of Congress with respect to Israel and a call between a Jewish activist in Minnesota and the embassy about Rep. Keith Ellison's (D-MN) planned trip to Gaza. 475 Leibowitz was charged with a single count of violating the 1950 addition to the Espionage Act that created specific offenses for the disclosure of "communications intelligence." 476 That statute refers to "classified" information, in contrast with the rest of the Espionage Act's focus on NDI (see the footnote on the first page of this chart for a more detailed explanation of the difference between NDI and classified information). During the sentencing hearing, the presiding judge noted that even he did not know what had been disclosed. "The court is in the dark as to the kind of documents" that Leibowitz leaked. 477 Nonetheless, the judge said he was "reasonably satisfied" the 20-month sentence was fair given the seriousness of the felony charge against Leibowitz, which would have carried a sentence under federal guidelines of up to almost 60 months. 478



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Thomas Drake (top)	Obama ⁴⁷⁹	4/14/2010 (indicted)	Charges 10-count indictment. Charges are five counts (counts 1-5) of unlawful retention of NDI (note not transmission) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(e), one count (count 6) of obstruction of justice in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1519, and four counts (counts 7-10) of false statements to the FBI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2).	Prosecutors ultimately dropped almost all charges. Drake pled guilty to one count of exceeding the authorized use of a government computer under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. 1030(a)(3), a misdemeanor.	One year of probation and 240 hours of community service.	Drake had worked at the National Security Agency for 12 years as an outside contractor, and was hired on full time as the Chief of the Change Leadership and Communications Office in the Signals Intelligence Directorate at the NSA in August 2001 (his first physical day on the job was 9/11). He was involved in an internal dispute at the NSA over two data-mining and surveillance programs, ThinThread and Trailblazer, which were intended to grapple with the problem of information overload at the NSA. He agreed to serve as a witness in an NSA inspector general investigation into the decision to pursue Trailblazer over ThinThread, the latter of which was "more viable and cost-effective." Details of the Trailblazer/ThinThread dispute appeared in articles by Baltimore Sun reporter Siobhan Gorman. In December 2005, the New York Times published a story that it had held for a year on the Stellarwind warrantless wiretapping program at the NSA. The investigation seeking the identities of the sources for that story ultimately homed in on the Sun reporting about the ThinThread dispute and Gorman's source. In November 2007, FBI agents raided Drake's home and questioned him about the leak. Drake denied leaking anything to the Times. He admitted that he had been in contact with Gorman, but denied giving her any classified material.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						In the lead up to trial, the district court denied defendant's motion to dismiss, which had argued, in part, that § 793(e) of the Espionage Act is unconstitutional. Relying on <i>Morison</i> and the Supreme Court's 1941 <i>Gorin</i> decision, which looked at the intent requirement in the statute, 485 the court denied the motion, and found, among other things, that, with respect to <i>documents</i> , the government need only prove that the retention was willful, not that the individual specifically intended to harm national security. 486 The Drake and Rosen cases highlight the distinction courts have identified in the statute between intangible "information," which, because of the modifying clause in the statute ("which information the possessor has reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or the advantage of any foreign nation"), carries an additional intent requirement, and "documents" or other tangible material, which the government just has to show qualifies as NDI. Also, please note that the Reporters Committee has successfully petitioned to have various search warrant and electronic surveillance records unsealed in Drake's case as part of a series of records requests the organization has litigated in several of the Obama era leaks cases. More information on that and the other cases can be found at: https://www.rcfp.org/litigation.
Chelsea Manning	Obama	5/30/2010 (arrested in Iraq); ⁴⁸⁷	Manning was initially charged in July 2011 with 12 counts under	On July 30, 2013, Manning pled guilty to three counts of	420 months ⁴⁹⁴ (commuted).	Manning joined the Army as an intelligence analyst in 2007. In 2009, she was assigned in that role to a forward operating base in Iraq. Manning's job involved downloading and organizing



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
(top)		7/5/2010	the Uniform Code of	violating Army		intelligence reports from the field (called significant activity
		(charged)	Military Justice	regulations. She was		reports, or "SIGACTs") for her superiors. In addition to the
			("UCMJ"). ⁴⁸⁸	acquitted on the		repositories for SIGACTs, she also had access to several military
				most serious charge		computer networks. While stationed in Iraq, Manning started
			On March 1, 2011,	of aiding the enemy		visiting Wikileaks, a then-three-year-old website that collected
			prosecutors	and one Espionage		and posted government and private sector documents for public
			presented a second	Act charge in		review. Wikileaks's founder, Julian Assange, has described the
			set of charges.	connection with		controversial website as "a giant library of the world's most
			Before sentencing,	leaking a video of a		persecuted documents. We give asylum to these documents, we
			the presiding judge	U.S. airstrike in		analyze them, we promote them and we obtain more."495
			merged several	Afghanistan, but was		Wikileaks has been criticized by some, including some government
			counts. ⁴⁸⁹	convicted on the		transparency advocates, for how it curates and releases
				other 17 charges. 490		information, and for what some have called "overtly unethical"
			Ultimately, there			behavior. 496 Wikileaks has also been criticized for failing to protect
			were 22 separate	Manning was		the privacy of personal information in the documents it releases
			counts. They are:	sentenced on August		(especially that of non-public figures). ⁴⁹⁷
				21, 2013, to 35 years,		
			One count of aiding	the longest sentence		Starting in January 2010 through to May, Manning uploaded to
			the enemy under	ever in a case		Wikileaks a cache of SIGACTs, State Department cables, an aerial
			Article 104 of the	involving		video of a U.S. helicopter airstrike, a United States Central
			UCMJ;	unauthorized		Command report on Wikileaks itself, and several hundred
				disclosures to the		memoranda concerning Guantanamo Bay detainees. 498
			Sixteen counts under	media. Manning		
			the catch-all Article	faced up to 90 years		In May 2010, Manning revealed her identity to the late Adrian
			134 of the UCMJ,	if convicted on all		Lamo, a computer hacker, who reported her to authorities.
			which can	charges, and		Manning was arrested in Iraq on May 20, 2010. She was convicted
			incorporate federal	prosecutors had		and sentenced in 2013. Shortly before leaving office, President
			civilian crimes (one	sought a 60-year		Obama commuted her sentence to time-served plus 120 days. In
			general violation;	sentence. ⁴⁹¹		doing so, he commented on how disproportionate Manning's



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			eight violations of	Manning also		sentence was relative to other "leakers." She was released on
			the Espionage Act, 18	received a		May 17, 2017.
			U.S.C. 793(e); five	dishonorable		
			violations of the theft	discharge, reduction		In addition to the length of Manning's sentence, there are two
			of government	in rank to private,		other notable legal elements to the case. One, Manning was
			property statute, 18	and forfeiture of all		charged with "aiding the enemy," a death penalty offense, the first
			U.S.C. 641; and two	pay and		and only time that has ever been alleged in an unauthorized
			violations of the	allowances. ⁴⁹²		disclosure case. And, two, Manning was convicted on one count
			Computer Fraud and			of violating the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, or "CFAA," an
			Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C.	On January 17, 2017,		anti-hacking law, despite never having circumvented any technical
			1030(a)(1));	President Obama		access control (colloquially, she didn't "hack" anything). 500 Several
				commuted		digital rights groups filed friend-of-the-court briefs on behalf of
			And five counts of	Manning's sentence		Manning challenging that conviction. ⁵⁰¹
			violating Army	to about seven years.		
			regulations under	Manning was		
			Article 92 of the	released from		
			UCMJ, (one violation	military prison on		
			of Army Reg. 25-2, ¶	May 17, 2017.		
			4-5(a)(4); one			
			violation of Army	On May 31, 2018,		
			Reg. 380-5, ¶ 7-4;	Manning's conviction		
			and three violations	(with one minor		
			of Army Reg. 25-2, ¶	modification) was		
			4-5(a)(3)).	upheld on an		
				automatic appeal to		
				the Army Court of		
				Criminal Appeals. 493		
				, ,		



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Stephen Jin- Woo Kim	Obama	8/19/2010 (charged); 8/27/2010	Two-count indictment.	Kim pled guilty on February 7, 2014, to the § 793(d) charge;	13 months.	The charges against Kim stem from a June 11, 2009, article published by Fox News reporting that North Korea would respond United Nations Security Council resolution condemning recent
(top)		(arraigned)	Count one is unlawful disclosure of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(d). Count two is making a false statement to the FBI	prosecutors dropped the separate false statement charge. Sentenced on April 2, 2014.		nuclear and ballistic tests with another test. 502 Kim, a senior advisor at the State Department and a Koreas expert, pled guilty to disclosing the contents of an intelligence report, classified as "top secret/sensitive compartmented information," to the reporter, James Rosen. 503
			in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2).			His plea agreement followed almost four years of pre-trial litigation. The district court denied a motion to dismiss, finding, in part, that the treason clause in the Constitution does not preclude a prosecution for unauthorized disclosure under the Espionage Act (Kim had argued that the framers intended treason to be the sole avenue for prosecuting "political offenses" against the United States) and that the Espionage Act claims did not violate his due process or First Amendment rights. ⁵⁰⁴
						The Kim case is significant in that, as part of its investigation, the FBI swore out an affidavit for a search warrant for Rosen's Gmail that stated, "there is probable cause to believe that the Reporter [Rosen] has committed a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793 (Unauthorized Disclosure of National Defense information), at the very least, either as an aider, abettor and/or co-conspirator of Mr. Kim."505 As support for that claim, the affidavit stated that Rosen operated "much like an intelligence officer would run an [sic] clandestine intelligence source, the Reporter instructed Mr. Kim on a covert communications plan," and that Rosen "solicited and



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						encouraged Mr. Kim to disclose sensitive" material and did so "by employing flattery and playing to Mr. Kim's vanity and ego." 506
						Revelations of the Rosen search, along with the AP subpoena in the Sachtleben case, prompted outcry among press freedom advocates and led to a series of revisions to the Justice Department's guidelines governing the issuance of subpoenas, court orders and search warrants to the news media or third party communications providers, 28 C.F.R. § 50.10 (2018).
						Finally, the Kim case includes a notable memorandum opinion from Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly declining to adopt the construction of "national defense information" in the <i>Morison</i> trial court. Specifically, the Kim court found that the government need <i>not</i> show that national defense information would be "potentially damaging" or helpful to an <i>enemy</i> of the United States. The opinion appears to adopt what the judge calls the Supreme Court's "broad" construction in <i>Gorin</i> : "a generic concept of broad connotations, referring to the military and naval establishments and the related activities of national preparedness." ⁵⁰⁸



Jeffrey	Obama	12/22/2010	10-count indictment.	Convicted on nine	42 months. ⁵¹¹	The Sterling case began with "Operation Merlin," a Clinton
Sterling		(indicted)		counts (the district		administration covert plan to disrupt the Iranian nuclear program
			Charges are seven	court dismissed the		by passing along schematics (which contained subtle flaws that
(top)			counts of Espionage	mail fraud charge		would, the plan went, make the ultimate machine malfunction)
			Act violations (i.e.,	after close of		through a Russian scientist. 512 Sterling, a CIA operations officer in
			three counts of	evidence). Served		the Near East and South Asia Division of the clandestine service
			unauthorized	two years in prison;		from 1993 to 2001, ⁵¹³ was Merlin's case manager for two years. ⁵¹⁴
			disclosure of NDI	released to a halfway		
			under 18 U.S.C. §	house in January		Sterling's involvement with the program ended in May 2000.
			793(d), three counts	2018.510		Shortly thereafter, he filed an equal employment opportunity
			of unauthorized			lawsuit against the CIA, alleging racial discrimination. The CIA
			disclosure under 18			successfully invoked the state secrets privilege to have that suit
			U.S.C. § 793(e), and			dismissed in 2005. 515 Investigative journalist James Risen wrote
			one count of			about the suit for the New York Times in 2002. ⁵¹⁶
			unlawful retention			
			under 18 U.S.C. §			In 2003, Risen had written a story about Merlin and asked the CIA
			793(e)), as well as			for comment. The CIA successfully persuaded the New York Times
			one count of mail			not to run the story. ⁵¹⁷ Risen then reported on the operation in
			fraud under 18 U.S.C.			chapter nine of his 2006 book State of War, where he reported
			§ 1341, one count of			that there were concerns that the flaws in the schematics were
			theft of government			actually easy to detect and remove from the finished product,
			property under 18			which would potentially <i>help</i> the Iranian nuclear program. ⁵¹⁸ The
			U.S.C. § 641, and one			investigation into Risen's sources for the story began in April 2003
			count of obstruction			following his initial overture to the agency, and eventually settled
			of justice under 18			on Sterling. ⁵¹⁹
			U.S.C. § 1512(c)(1). ⁵⁰⁹			
						Sterling was convicted largely on circumstantial evidence showing
						communications between him and Risen around the time Risen
						approached the CIA in 2003. ⁵²⁰



	The Sterling case is particularly notable for the subpoena the government served on James Risen, compelling him to testify as to his sources for State of War. (It's important to note that the Merlin investigation was initiated, and the first Risen subpoena issued, during the Bush administration. Sterling is often counted as an Obama case, but its origins predate President Obama's administration.) The trial court judge, recognizing a qualified First Amendment reporters' privilege in the case, granted Risen's motion to quash the subpoena except "to the extent that Risen [would] be required to provide testimony that authenticates the accuracy of his journalism, subject to a protective order." The court held that the privilege could be invoked when a subpoena seeks information about a confidential source or when used to harass the reporter, and could only be overcome by the government by meeting the three-part test applicable in civil cases
	(that is, a showing of relevance, inability to acquire the information elsewhere and a compelling interest). ⁵²³ The Fourth Circuit reversed, refusing to recognize a qualified First Amendment or common law reporters' privilege in a criminal case (and finding that, absent a showing of harassment, bad faith or other improper motive, a reporter could be compelled to testify about criminal conduct the reporter personally witnessed or participated in). ⁵²⁴ The Supreme Court denied certiorari. ⁵²⁵ Ultimately, however, the government decided not to call Risen to testify and the parties stipulated that, were he to testify, he would have refused to disclose his sources. ⁵²⁶ During pre-trial proceedings, Risen declined to identify sources but did say he had



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						multiple such sources for the information in State of War, testimony that the trial judge permitted to be read in court. 527
Mike Levine (top)	Obama	1/2011 (Levine subpoena)	N/A	Prosecutors dropped the subpoena after Levine refused to comply and fought the demand.	N/A	The Levine case is the second subpoena issued directly to a reporter in a leaks case of the Obama administration (after James Risen in the Sterling case). While working for Fox News, Levine wrote a story about sealed grand jury proceedings against eight defendants who were accused of various terrorism offenses related to al-Shabaab, a designated foreign terrorist organization in Somalia. The story cited confidential law enforcement sources, and the Justice Department launched a leak investigation. Court documents ultimately revealed that lawyers for Mr. Levine, who reported the subpoena publicly in May 2014 while at ABC News, fought to quash the subpoena in sealed proceedings. Part of the government's argument in issuing the subpoena was that, after reviewing more than 1,000 emails from government accounts and reviewing a year of phone calls, the vast number of cleared personnel who would have been privy to the sealed grand jury indictments made it impossible to locate the leaker without going to the reporter.
John Kiriakou (top)	Obama	4/5/2012 (indicted)	Five-count indictment. Charges are one count of disclosing the identity of a covert agent in	Kiriakou pled guilty on October 23, 2013, to one count of violating the Intelligence Identities Protection Act. ⁵³³ He served about 23	30 months.	Kiriakou worked for the CIA between 1990 and 2004 and is credited with being the first CIA officer to speak out publicly about waterboarding, which he called torture during an ABC News interview in the mid-2000s. He was accused of disclosing several items of classified information to two journalists in 2007 and 2008. Specifically, count one of his indictment accuses him of disclosing the identity of a covert CIA officer to a journalist, in



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			violation of the	months in prison,		violation of the rarely used Intelligence Identities Protection Act,
			Intelligence Identities	and was released to		the same law at issue initially in the Libby-Plame case (though
			Protection Act, 50	three months of		ultimately the only criminal charges in that case were related to
			U.S.C. § 421(a); three	house arrest in		false statements), ⁵³⁶ and count two alleged that he disclosed that
			counts of unlawful	February 2015. ⁵³⁴		the officer had been involved in the post-9/11 detention program
			disclosure of NDI in			as the branch chief at a particular CIA station, in violation of the
			violation of 18 U.S.C.			Espionage Act. 537 Counts three and four involve the disclosure to
			§ 793(d); and one			two journalists of information concerning Deuce Martinez, a
			count of making a			narcotics analyst and CIA officer (not undercover) who had been
			false statement to			involved in high-level interrogations in the detention program. ⁵³⁸
			the CIA Publication			Count five alleged a violation of the "trick or scheme" subsection
			Review Board in			of the general false statements statute, namely that Kiriakou had
			connection with			lied to the CIA Publications Review Board in saying that parts of his
			Kiriakou's memoirs in			memoirs about a "classified investigative technique" were
			violation of 18 U.S.C.			fictionalized. ⁵³⁹
			§ 1001(a)(1). ⁵³²			
						When Kiriakou pled guilty in October 2013, David Petraeus, then-
						CIA director, issued a statement reading, in part, "[o]aths do
						matter and there are indeed consequences for those who believe
						they are above the laws that protect our fellow officers and enable
						American intelligence agencies to operate with the requisite
						degree of secrecy." ⁵⁴⁰
						As discussed below Detroops resigned less than three weeks later
						As discussed below, Petraeus resigned less than three weeks later
						after FBI agents in an investigation under federal cyber-stalking laws discovered that he had released classified information his
						biographer with whom he was having an extra-marital affair (the
						discovery of which during the cyber-stalking investigation prompted his resignation). 541 Some point to the discrepancy
						prompted his resignation). Some point to the discrepancy



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						between the Petraeus and Kiriakou cases (Petraeus pled guilty to a misdemeanor despite having disclosed a comparatively larger amount of highly sensitive classified information) as evidence of selective enforcement of unauthorized disclosure laws, where higher ranking officials are permitted to "leak" with relative impunity while lower ranking personnel are punished severely. 542 Also, please note that the Reporters Committee successfully petitioned to have various search warrant and electronic surveillance records unsealed in Kiriakou's case as part of a series of records requests we litigated in several of the Obama era leaks cases. More information on that and the other cases can be found at: https://www.rcfp.org/litigation.
Donald Sachtleben (<u>top</u>)	Obama	5/11/2012 (arrested on child pornography charges)	Charges in the leak case are one count of unauthorized disclosure of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(d), and one count of unauthorized possession and retention of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(e). 543	On September 23, 2013, Sachtleben pled guilty to the two national security charges and to separate child pornography charges. In November 2013, the court accepted Sachtleben's plea in both cases.	43 months for each Espionage Act count, served concurrently, and 97 months on the child pornography charges. 544 Seven years supervised release. 545	Sachtleben was arrested on child pornography charges in Indiana in May 2012. Following that arrest, he became the subject in the separate national security investigation. The government alleged that he had had communications with an Associated Press reporter and disclosed classified information in connection with the foiled "underwear" bomb plot. Those communications took place on May 2, 2012 (shortly before his arrest in the unrelated child pornography investigation). The government also charged Sachtleben with a separate § 793(e) unauthorized retention offense, claiming that he possessed and retained classified material at his home in Carmel, Indiana. One of these documents, a CIA report classified as "secret," was, according to the government, uncovered during the execution of a



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						May 2012 search warrant in the child pornography investigation. 547
						A year after his initial arrest, the Justice Department revealed in a letter to the Associated Press that the FBI had used subpoenas to secure the telephone toll records for 20 lines used by more than 100 AP reporters. The AP received the letter on May 10, 2013, so the actual return from the subpoena would have been within 90 days before that date, which would be February 9, 2013 (the guidelines permit delay of up to 90 days from when the records are produced). The records were seized without notice to the AP, which precluded it from challenging the subpoena. The Justice Department said in the statement announcing the guilty plea that the toll records led to Sachtleben's identification as a suspect, and that it had conducted more than 500 interviews before issuing the subpoena for the AP records. 550
						News media organizations and advocates strongly criticized the subpoena after the Justice Department disclosed its existence. 551
						Also, please note that the Reporters Committee successfully petitioned to have various search warrant and electronic surveillance records unsealed in Sachtleben's case as part of a series of records requests we litigated in several of the concluded Obama era leaks cases. More information on that and the other cases can be found at: https://www.rcfp.org/litigation.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
James Hitselberger	Obama	08/06/2012 (criminal complaint	Two-count indictment.	Hitselberger pled guilty to one count of unauthorized	Sentenced to time served ⁵⁵⁵ (he had served about two	Hitselberger was a Navy contract linguist assigned to a naval base in Bahrain (he was 55 at the time of his arrest). While in Bahrain, he was assigned to support the joint special forces task force for
(top)		filed), ⁵⁵² 10/26/2012 (indictment filed) ⁵⁵³	Both charges are unlawful retention of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(e). 554	removal and retention of classified documents in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1924, a misdemeanor.	months in jail following his arrest and about eight months under house arrest). 556	the region, which includes units of Navy Seals. ⁵⁵⁷ In April 2012, the government alleges that his supervisors observed Hitselberger viewing and printing situation reports ("SITREPS") for the Navy special forces units. ⁵⁵⁸ He was then allegedly seen placing the printouts in an Arabic-English dictionary, which he put in his backpack. ⁵⁵⁹ His supervisors saw him walk out of the facility, upon which they stopped him and asked to search his bag. ⁵⁶⁰ The two documents in the dictionary were a SITREP and a Navy Central Command ("NAVCENT") regional analysis that allegedly included details on U.S. intelligence gaps in Bahrain. ⁵⁶¹ Following the incident, the Naval Criminal Investigative Service ("NCIS") searched Hitselberger's apartment. The complaint alleges that NCIS investigators found one classified document with the markings removed, which upon review turned out to be another SITREP. ⁵⁶² NCIS investigators interviewed Hitselberger soon thereafter and he denied intentionally removing and retaining classified information though, according to the complaint, he could "not defend himself" with respect to the SITREP with the markings removed found during the search of his quarters. ⁵⁶³ Hitselberger was removed from his position by his employer. During his trip home, he changed plans and traveled in Europe for several months. He was arrested upon arriving in Kuwait to collect his belongings and extradited to the United States. ⁵⁶⁴



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Hitselberger's case enters the annals of possible "leaks" to the news media because, during his interview with NCIS, he revealed that he had established an archive at the Stanford's Hoover Institute. The collection, with documents collected by him dating to shortly before the revolution in Iran, was found to contain four classified documents (among more than a dozen boxes worth). 565 The defense and prosecution agreed to a plea deal to resolve the case, with the prosecution not objecting to a sentence of time served, which the judge imposed. Mr. Hitselberger agreed as well never to seek a security clearance again. 566 In its reply to the government's sentencing memorandum, the defense argued that the disparity between the felony counts in the indictment and the ultimate misdemeanor plea suggested that the case had been "overcharged" and that the potential harm from disclosure of the documents was not clear on the face of the material. 567
Jeffrey Lacker	Obama	10/3/2012	N/A	Lacker resigned as	N/A	In October 2012, Medley Global Advisors, a firm that publishes
(<u>top</u>)		(Medley published the note) ⁵⁶⁸		president of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond on April 4, 2017. ⁵⁶⁹		financial intelligence newsletters, ⁵⁷⁰ released a customer note with confidential information about Federal Reserve deliberations, including the fact that the Fed would begin to purchase Treasury bonds in December of that year and would not raise interest rates until certain economic metrics had been met. ⁵⁷¹ The Medley report was sent to subscribers the day before the Fed information was released, and could have benefited them financially (the 10-year benchmark Treasury rate rose overnight from 1.61 to 1.74). ⁵⁷²



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						The Fed launched an investigation following the disclosure, followed by an insider trading probe by the Southern District of New York and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission. Medley Global argued that it qualified as a news media organization during the investigation, and the Justice Department never issued a subpoena against the group. The investigation
						stalled for several years but concluded in 2017 after Mr. Lacker resigned from his position as the president of the Richmond Federal Reserve Bank. No charges were brought. Mr. Lacker said that he spoke to the author of the Medley report. She already had the Fed information from another source and asked him to comment, he said, but he failed to make clear he could not. ⁵⁷⁵
Edward Snowden	Obama	6/14/2013 (complaint filed)	Three-count complaint.	Snowden remains under indictment.	N/A	Snowden is a former CIA employee and NSA contractor (with Booz Allen Hamilton) who revealed the existence of several classified bulk surveillance programs at the NSA, including a program based
(<u>top</u>)			Charges are one count of theft of government property in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641, one count of unauthorized disclosure under 18 U.S.C. § 793(d), and one count disclosure of communications intelligence			on the "business records" provision of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act ⁵⁷⁷ under which the Justice Department and NSA had claimed the legal authority to collect phone metadata from all Americans without individualized suspicion. Snowden also released documents showing how the NSA collected communications content directly from providers and as it transited U.Sbased provider infrastructure. ⁵⁷⁸ The Snowden revelations sparked a global debate over national security surveillance policies. Snowden currently lives in Russia, and remains under indictment in the United States.



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			information under 18 U.S.C. § 798(a)(3). ⁵⁷⁶			
David Petraeus (top)	Obama	3/3/2015 (criminal information and plea agreement entered) ⁵⁷⁹	Charge is one count of mishandling classified information in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1924.	Petraeus pled guilty to that one count, a misdemeanor, and the government agreed not to oppose his request for a non- custodial sentence. 580	Two years' probation and \$100,000 fine. ⁵⁸¹	Petraeus, the former director of the CIA, was in a personal relationship with Paula Broadwell, who was writing a biography on his storied career as a general (including his time as the commander of U.S. forces in Afghanistan). Broadwell sent a series of emails to another acquaintance of Petraeus's, who, believing they could be a threat, shared them with a friend at the FBI. In the course of an investigation of possible violations of federal online harassment laws, the FBI discovered the relationship. F82 Petraeus resigned as director of the CIA and investigators determined that he had shared highly classified notebooks containing information regarding "the identities of covert officers, war strategy, intelligence capabilities and mechanisms, diplomatic discussions, quotes and deliberative discussions from high-level National Security Council meetings, and [Petraeus's] discussions with the President of the United States of America" with the biographer. As part of his plea agreement, Petraeus also admitted he lied to FBI agents but was not charged with making false statements under § 1001. F84 Prosecutors also sought to reference, in the plea's factual recitation, the public statement Petraeus made as CIA director following the Kiriakou conviction ("Oaths do matter") but ultimately did not (see the Kiriakou entry above).



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
James Cartwright (top)	Obama	10/17/2016 (criminal information and plea agreement entered) ⁵⁸⁶	Charge is one count of making false statements to FBI agents in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(1).	Cartwright pled guilty and was pardoned by President Obama before sentencing. 587 Prosecutors had sought a two-year sentence, significantly more than the guidelines range. 588	Pardoned before sentencing.	Cartwright, a retired Marine Corps general and the former vice chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, settled a four-year leaks investigation by pleading guilty to one count of false statements shortly before the end of President Obama's second term. The false statements were made during interviews in the investigation into public disclosures about the Stuxnet computer virus, which was used to destroy Iranian nuclear centrifuges. Cartwright had lost his security clearance in 2013 following his interviews with the FBI (in his second interview, he admitted to misleading agents during their first meeting). He admitted to misleading agents during their first meeting). Security committee successfully petitioned to have various search warrant and electronic surveillance records unsealed in Cartwright's case as part of a series of records requests we litigated in several of the Obama era leaks cases. More information on that and the other cases can be found at: https://www.rcfp.org/litigation.
The Billy Walters Case (top)	Obama	11/17/2016 (SDNY orders leak inquiry)	None yet.	TBD.	TBD.	William "Billy" Walters is a professional gambler, often considered one of the best sports gamblers in the country, who was convicted of securities fraud in 2017 for trading Dean Foods Co. stock based on inside tips from the former chairman. At issue in his appeal are leaks from an FBI agent in 2013, which his defense team contends revived flagging interest in the case. In 2016, Judge Castel on the Southern District of New York ordered the U.S. attorney to undertake an investigation of the leaks, which ultimately centered on an FBI supervisory special agent, Daniel Chaves, who admitted during questioning that he disclosed



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						confidential information. ⁵⁹³ The leaks came to light when reporters from the Wall Street Journal and New York Times approached the government to confirm information that had been disclosed. ⁵⁹⁴ A motion for an evidentiary hearing on the disclosures has been filed by Walters's defense team as part of his appeal. ⁵⁹⁵ That is before the Second Circuit. ⁵⁹⁶
Reality Winner (top)	Trump	6/5/2017 (criminal complaint filed) ⁵⁹⁷	Charge is one count of unauthorized disclosure of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(e). 598	Winner pled guilty on June 26, 2018. See She was sentenced on August 23, 2018. See	63 months.	Reality Leigh Winner, an N.S.A. contractor and former Air Force linguist, was the first person charged by the Trump administration with the unauthorized disclosure of classified material. She has pled guilty to transmitting a classified report about Russian attempts to hack elections software and systems to the Intercept. Winner has agreed to a sentence of 63 months, which would be the longest sentence handed down by a civilian court in an unauthorized disclosure case. And, she is also the only "leaker" ever held without bail (save Chelsea Manning, who was held in military custody). 601 According to an FBI affidavit, Winner was identified as a suspect when agents were able to determine that the document the news outlet showed the government to confirm its authenticity had been physically printed out, and that Winner had both printed the document and had email contact with the outlet. 602 The magistrate judge in the Winner case made a number of rulings that impaired Winner's ability to mount a defense that the release of the document in her case would not have posed a threat to national security and/or was not actually non-public information and was therefore not closely held. 603 The magistrate ruled that



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						the 40-odd subpoenas the defense team sought to issue—which would have gone to various government agencies, state governments and cybersecurity firms—constituted a "fishing expedition." 604
						Winner was sentenced to 63 months—more than five years—in prison on the one Espionage Act count. It is the longest sentence to date in an NDI disclosure case in federal court (<u>Sachtelben</u> and <u>Sterling</u> are second and third longest, with 43 and 42 month sentences respectively; <u>Franklin</u> was initially sentenced to 151 months, but the sentence was reduced when he cooperated with prosecutors).
Terry Albury (top)	Trump	3/27/2018 (criminal information filed)	Two-count information. One count of unauthorized disclosure of NDI under 18 U.S.C. § 793(e), and one count of unlawful retention of NDI also in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(e).	Albury pled guilty to both counts on April 18, 2018. ⁶⁰⁵	Sentenced to 48 months on October 18, 2018. 606	Albury is the second individual charged by the Trump administration in connection with unauthorized disclosures to the media. He was a special agent with the FBI in the FBI's Minneapolis Field Office and was assigned as an airport liaison at the Minneapolis/St. Paul International Airport. Albury pled guilty to sharing two documents. The first detailed how the FBI evaluated potential sources and the second reportedly concerned "threats posed by certain individuals from a particular Middle Eastern country." The Associated Press reported that the subject matter of the documents corresponded with a January 31, 2017, story in the Intercept. 607
			3.3.c. 3 /33(c).			Also notable in the case, a search warrant affidavit filed by the FBI asserted that an individual from the online news outlet to which the documents had been disclosed filed two FOIA requests for



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						documents that "contained specific information identifying the names of the particular documents that had not been released to the public."608 It is unclear whether the FOIA request led the FBI to survey government documents posted on the outlet's website, but the same paragraph in the affidavit says that investigators ultimately conducted that survey and identified 27 FBI documents, 17 of which were marked classified. The affidavit alleged that Albury had accessed approximately two-thirds of them. If the outlet's FOIA request did not lead to this investigative step, it is unclear why the government would have mentioned it in the affidavit. And, if it did, government transparency advocates have raised concerns that this would chill public information requests.
Joshua Schulte (<u>top</u>)	Trump	6/18/2018 (superseding indictment; had been charged with child pornography offenses in Aug. 2017)	13-count indictment. Charges include one count of illegally "gathering" NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(b); one count of unlawful disclosure of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 793(d); one count of unlawful disclosure of NDI in violation of 18 U.S.C.	Case pending.	N/A	Schulte, a computer engineer and former CIA employee, is accused of providing Wikileaks with the "Vault 7" archive, which, if authentic, details CIA hacking operations. He had not been initially charged in the disclosure, though he was a suspect and his apartment and computers were searched in March 2017. In August 2017, he was charged with possessing child pornography, and prosecutors filed the superseding indictment in June 2018. Schulte's charges are notable in that he is the first individual charged with unauthorized disclosure to a non-foreign agent to have had a charge of illegal "gathering" under § 793(b) levied against him. His indictment also includes a charge for criminal copyright infringement, another first. Following his initial arrest in August 2017, Schulte was held in jail for several weeks, but granted bail in September 2017. His bail was revoked in



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			§ 793(e); three			December 2017 when prosecutors presented evidence of a
			counts of violating			possible sexual assault and after, prosecutors said, he had been
			the Computer Fraud			using a computer in violation of his release conditions. 615
			and Abuse Act, 18			
			U.S.C. § 1030; one			
			count of theft of			
			government property			
			in violation of 18			
			U.S.C. § 641; one			
			count of making false			
			statements in			
			violation of 18 U.S.C.			
			§ 1001(a)(2); one			
			count of obstruction			
			of justice in violation			
			of 18 U.S.C. § 1503;			
			one count of criminal			
			copyright			
			infringement in			
			violation of 17 U.S.C.			
			§ 506(a)(1)(a) and 18			
			U.S.C. § 2319(b)(1);			
			and three child			
			pornography counts,			
			in violation of various			
			provisions of 18			
			U.S.C. § 2252A. ⁶¹²			



director for the Senate Select "SSCI"), was arrested in June 2018 on ements to the FBI regarding contacts ers. 620 During the investigation into of phone and email records from one is, with whom Wolfe had had a seizure marks the first time (that we imp administration sought records porter's third-party communications were seized without prior notice. 621 violation of the Espionage Act, the interest that Wolfe was the source of a piece amely that the "MALE-1" in a 2013 Trump campaign advisor Carter by Watkins in April 2017. 622 It also olfe and three other reporters, and it these as well. The indictment uotations from Wolfe's encrypted incing memorandum in the case, as not the encrypted communications olfe's personal mobile device pursuant
e e o s, se r r v a T vy o t u n h



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						presented her with dates and locations for overseas travel she'd taken with Wolfe, and reportedly said that it would "turn her world upside down" were that information to appear in the Washington Post, which she took as a threat. Law enforcement officials have said that there is no evidence that Rambo was detailed to the Wolfe investigation (or to another leak investigation). As of December 2018, Rambo is under investigation internally at CBP for potential misuse of government
						In advance of Wolfe's sentencing, current Senate Intelligence Committee chair Sen. Richard Burr (R-SC) and vice chair Sen. Mark Warner (D-VA), and former chair and vice chair Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-CA), wrote jointly to Judge Jackson urging leniency. The letter emphasizes that Wolfe had not pled guilty to leaking classified information and that, to the extent he disclosed "non-public information," it was considered Committee Sensitive "and the most severe punishment for such action has already, effectively been imposed." 629
						Prosecutors are seeking a two-year sentence for Wolfe, which would be an upward departure from the applicable sentencing range in the federal Sentencing Guidelines. In their sentencing memorandum, prosecutors revealed two previously unreported facts.
						One, the FBI did not give a "duty-to-warn" notification to the Senate Intelligence Committee as it normally would when a suspect in an unauthorized disclosure investigation is an executive



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						branch employee. 630 Instead, "given the sensitive separation of powers issue and the fact that the [Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act] was an FBI classified equity, the FBI determined that it would first conduct substantial additional investigation and monitoring of Wolfe's activities." FBI leadership also took the "extraordinary" step of limiting its initial notification of "investigative findings" to the chair and vice chair of the SSCI. 632 Two, the FBI actually obtained a delayed-notice "sneak and peek" criminal warrant to image Wolfe's mobile phone covertly while he was in the initial October meeting with FBI agents. 633 Interestingly, the sneak and peek provision requires a special and heightened showing by the government for the seizure of electronic communications. 634 Presumably that showing and court finding, of "reasonable necessity" for the seizure, was made here.
Andrew McCabe (top)	Trump	Sometime "months" before 9/2018 (grand jury investigation initiated)	N/A	N/A	N/A	On October 30, 2016, Wall Street Journal reporter Devlin Barrett, now at the Washington Post, published an article online titled "FBI in Internal Feud Over Hillary Clinton Probe," which also ran in the print edition the following day under a shortened title. The article described how the disclosure that the FBI had uncovered emails on a laptop used by former Rep. Anthony Weiner (D-NY) and his then-wife, Huma Abedin, a close aide to Democratic candidate Hillary Clinton, which could have been sent to or from Clinton's personal server, "laid bare" internal FBI conflicts and conflicts with the Justice Department over how to pursue the investigation into the Clinton emails and a separate inquiry in the Clinton family's philanthropy. The article included details from



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						anonymous sources "close to" Deputy Director Andrew McCabe at the FBI on how he had handled requests regarding those internal tensions, including a conversation with a Justice Department official who asked why the FBI was pursuing the Clinton Foundation case in the middle of an election season. According to the article, McCabe responded, "Are you telling me that I need to shut down a validly predicated investigation?" to which the official responded, "Of course not." The article reported, however, that FBI agents further down the chain of command say they received a "stand down" order, which they took to be from McCabe. Other anonymous sources "familiar with the matter" denied that was the case.
						Following the publication of the article, in May 2017, the FBI's Inspection Division ("INSD") opened an unauthorized disclosure investigation to determine whether the disclosures in the article were authorized, and where they had come from. On August 31, 2017, the Justice Department's Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") opened an investigation following a referral of the matter from the INSD. He OIG found that the disclosure at issue was authorized by McCabe, and was made on a telephone call (which McCabe was not on) with the Wall Street Journal reporter Barrett, an FBI special counsel, and the FBI's senior spokesperson. Specifically, the special counsel and the spokesperson disclosed the contents of a phone call McCabe had with a principal associate deputy attorney general ("PADAG"), referenced above.
						The OIG's report found that Deputy Director McCabe had violated the FBI Offense Code § 2.5 for lack of candor not under oath (for



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	allegedly telling Director Comey that he had not authorized the disclosure and did not know who did); § 2.6 for lack of candor under oath (for allegedly not admitting to the INSD he authorized the disclosure); § 2.6 for lack of candor under oath (for not admitting he authorized the special counsel to talk to reporters in questioning by the OIG); § 2.6 for lack of candor under oath (for statements to OIG that he did tell Director Comey about his authorizing the special counsel to talk to Barrett, that he did not deny authorizing the disclosure in questioning by INSD, and in asserting that INSD's questioning about the October 30 article came at the end of an unrelated meeting). 644 Finally, the OIG found that, while McCabe may have been authorized to disclose the existence of the Clinton Foundation investigation under the "public interest" exception in applicable DOJ and FBI policies generally prohibiting the disclosure of the existence of an ongoing investigation, McCabe's alleged decision to do so through an anonymous source, and where he authorized the disclosure of the contents of a call with the PADAG, was not in the public interest and therefore violated DOJ media affairs policies. 645 Those findings were referred to federal prosecutors, who are using a grand jury to investigate the matter and have reportedly summoned more than one witness. 646 The grand jury inquiry appears to have been active for more than a month before its existence leaked in the Washington Post in September 2018 (the article says prosecutors have been using the grand jury "for months"). 647



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Natalie Edwards	Trump	10/16/2018 (complaint filed)	Two-count complaint.	TBD	TBD	Edwards is a senior adviser at the Treasury Department's Financial Crimes Enforcement Network or "FinCEN," and was arrested on October 16, 2018 for disclosing SARs to a reporter. SARs are
(top)		ined)	Unauthorized disclosures and conspiracy to make unauthorized disclosures of Suspicious Activity Reports ("SARs") under 31 U.S.C. § 5322 and 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 371.648			confidentially filed by banks and other financial institutions to alert law enforcement of potential illegal activities and maintained centrally by FinCEN. ⁶⁴⁹ The unauthorized disclosure of a SAR is an independent federal crime. Edwards is being prosecuted by the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York. Although the complaint does not name the news organization to which Edwards leaked SARs, the descriptions of the relevant stories in the complaint closely match stories published by BuzzFeed (the complaint provides the dates of publication of the stories, the headlines of several articles, along with direct quotations from the relevant stories). ⁶⁵⁰ The government stated that the leaked SARs and the related articles concerned, among others, Paul Manafort, Rick Gates, the Russian Embassy, Maria Butina, and Prevezon Alexander. ⁶⁵¹ The complaint states that judicially-authorized search warrants and pen register and trap and trace orders were issued for Edwards' personal cellphone and email records. ⁶⁵² Edwards' cellphone and flash drive were seized. ⁶⁵³
Daniel M. Kowalski (top)	Trump	10/16/2018 (subpoena issued)	No charges. DHS/ICE issued a subpoena to Kowalski to produce records related to	TBD	TBD	Kowalski is a managing partner with the Ware Immigration firm in Centennial, Colorado, and editor of Bender's Immigration Bulletin, an online LexisNexis publication. ⁶⁵⁴



President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
President	Date	the source of a leaked ICE memorandum detailing DOJ's new, more restrictive interpretation of asylum law.	Resolution	Sentence	Kowalski posted the leaked internal ICE memorandum from July 11, 2018, on Bender's Immigration Bulletin. 555 The July 11 memo, written by the principal legal advisor for ICE and addressed to all Office of Principal Legal Advisor attorneys, is titled "Litigating Domestic Violence-Based Persecution Claims Following Matter of a A-B" 656 It details Attorney General Session's conclusion that political asylum is not generally available for victims of domestic and gang violence and how asylum cases should be litigated in light of this new interpretation of asylum law. 557 The subpoena was sent by the special agent in charge of ICE's Office of Professional Responsibility on October 16, 2018, 658 and requests that Kowalski produce "[a]ll information related to" the July 11 Memo, including but not limited to, "(1) date of receipt, (2) method of receipt, (3) source of document, and (4) contact information for the source of the document." 659 Kowalski said to the Denver Post on October 18, 2018, that he is "under no obligation" to comply with the subpoena. 660 According to the same article in the Denver Post, the ACLU had reached out to Kowalski and offered support should he need it. 661 On October 30, 2018, the Reporters Committee sent a FOIA request to ICE for records related to, among other things, Kowalski, his law firm, and Bender's Immigration Bulletin; ICE's interpretation of 19 U.S.C. § 1509; and all other subpoenas issued under 19 U.S.C. § 1509 by any individuals at ICE that attempt to



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
John Fry (top)	Trump	2/4/2019 (complaint filed) ⁶⁶²	Charged with one count of violating 31 U.S.C. § 5322(a) and accompanying regulations at 31 C.F.R. § 1020.320(a)(2).663	TBD	TBD	In early May of 2019, several news outlets, including the Washington Post, reported on financial records detailing Michael Cohen's use of a firm named "Essential Consultants LLC" to receive money from various sources, including a Russian oligarch and companies doing business with the Trump administration, such as AT&T and Novartis. 664 The stories were based on a "preliminary dossier" compiled by attorney Michael Avenatti. 665 On May 16, 2018, the New Yorker's Ronan Farrow wrote a story on the leak to Avenatti, and quoted the source on why he or she had come forward. 666 Farrow also revealed the launch of an investigation by the Treasury Department's inspector general. 667 Farrow reported that details on the payments to Cohen come from a single SAR, which references two others revealing even larger sums being deposited into Essential Consultant's account. 668 Farrow's and Avenatti's source said that he had disclosed the one SAR he had access to out of concern that the documents were
						being suppressed. "I have never seen something pulled off the system," said the source, according to Farrow. "That system is a safeguard for the bank. It's a stockpile of information. When something's not there that should be, I immediately became concerned. That's why I came forward."
						On February 4, 2019, the Justice Department filed a criminal complaint against John C. Fry, an intelligence analyst for the IRS's criminal division, for unlawfully accessing SAR databases and disclosing SARs and SAR information. The investigation by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration ("TIGTA")



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						allegedly determined that Fry had logged onto FinCEN databases and analytical tools and searched for information related to Michael Cohen. After downloading a number of SARs, the complaint says Fry called Avenatti. Fry allegedly continued to search for Cohen-related SARs and found that one of them was not accessible via a "quick search" on the system. According to the complaint, one of the SARs that Fry had searched was designated "restricted," meaning that because of its connection to a sensitive ongoing investigation one would have to have known of its existence and to have specifically requested it from FinCEN.
						Fry allegedly then performed additional searches while periodically talking to Avenatti. On May 12, 2018, the complaint says Fry had a 42 minute conversation with "Reporter-1," and the two exchanged WhatsApp messages over the next several days (the TIGTA investigator secured a warrant for Fry's device). On May 16, 2018, the New Yorker published an article with the same title as the article referenced above with the byline by Ronan Farrow. In the story, Farrow's source said that he was concerned about his inability to locate two SARs on the system. In the complaint, the TIGTA agent states that both were placed in "restricted" status.
						The TIGTA agent also learned that Fry "should not be handling SARs from other geographic regions, such as New York" and that everytime an intelligence analyst like Fry searches for a SAR for "official purposes," the analyst would normally have done an



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						additional search in another database, which he did not do in this case. ⁶⁷⁷
						Finally, the complaint states that the TIGTA agent and her assistant special agent in charge met with Fry on November 26, 2018, and advised him of his rights. The complaint says that Fry waived his rights and agreed to make a verbal and written statement. According to the complaint, Fry stated verbally that he provided SAR information to Avenatti and sent Avenatti a screenshot of the narrative. Finally, Fry allegedly stated that he spoke to Reporter-1 and verified for Reporter-1 information supplied by to Reporter-1 by Avenatti.
Daniel	Trump	3/7/2019	Five count	TBD	TBD	On May 9, 2019, the Justice Department unsealed an indictment
Everette Hale	·	(sealed indictment	indictment: (1) obtaining NDI in			dated March 7 charging Daniel Everette Hale, a 31-year-old intelligence analyst from Nashville, with the unauthorized
(<u>top</u>)		filed)	violation of § 793(c); (2) unlawful retention and transmission in violation of § 793(e); (3) causing the communication of NDI also under §			disclosure of NDI and communications intelligence, and theft of government property. From July 2009 through July 2013, Hale was enlisted in the Air Force and was assigned to the NSA from December 2011 to May 2013. He also deployed as part of a joint special operations task force to Bagram Airfield, Afghanistan, from March 2012 to August 2012. From December 2013 through August 2014, Hale was employed at defense contractor Leidos and was assigned to the National Geospatial-Intelligence
			793(e); (4) disclosure of classified COMINT			Agency ("NGA") in Springfield, Virginia, where he worked as a "Political Geography Analyst." 686



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
			in violation of § 798(a)(3); and (5) theft of government property in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641. ⁶⁸²			The Justice Department claims that on April 29, 2013, Hale met a reporter at a Washington, D.Carea bookstore and the next day proceeded to search for classified information "concerning individuals and issues" about which the reporter wrote. In May 2013, according to the indictment, Hale sent a text to a friend stating, "[the Reporter] wants me to tell my story about working with drones at the opening screening of his documentary about the war and the use of drones."
						The New York Times reported that the details in the indictment suggested the reporter worked with the Intercept, ⁶⁸⁹ which produced a long reporting series on "The Drone Papers." ⁶⁹⁰ Jeremy Scahill, the co-founder of the Intercept, also published a book on the government's drone warfare program. ⁶⁹¹ The indictment states that the documents that were provided by Hale to the reporter and published on the website were compiled and published in a book authored by the reporter. ⁶⁹²
						The Justice Department alleges that on February 28, 2014, Hale printed six documents unrelated to his work at NGA, five marked secret and one marked top secret, all of which were published on the reporter's website. ⁶⁹³ The indictment alleges that Hale continued to print documents from his classified computer at NGA and ultimately printed 36 documents in total with four duplicates, 23 of which were unrelated to his work at NGA. ⁶⁹⁴ Of the 23 documents unrelated to his work, the indictment states that Hale provided 17 to the reporter or the reporter's website, which



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						published them in whole or in part. ⁶⁹⁵ Eleven of the documents were classified, three as top secret. ⁶⁹⁶
						The indictment also discusses evidence found at Hale's home. It alleges that Hale had two thumb drives, one with a page from Document A, a secret-level classified PowerPoint presentation on counter-terrorism operations, that Hale had attempted to delete, and the other with Tor software and the Tails operating system, which had been recommended by the reporter's website to preserve source confidentiality. On or about August 8, 2014, the indictment states that Hale's cell phone contact list included the contact information for the reporter. Note that the indictment includes a charge under § 798 of the Espionage Act, i.e., the unauthorized disclosure of communications intelligence information.
						Document A, described above; Document D, described as another PowerPoint presentation on counterterrorism operations marked secret; Document E, described as information gathered by NSA on specific named targets classified top secret; and Document K, described as an intelligence report on an Al-Qaeda operative classified top secret. ⁷⁰⁰
Bryan Carmody	Trump	2/23/2019 (ABC 7 aired its story based	TBD	TBD	TBD	Jeff Adachi, the public defender in San Francisco and the only elected public defender in California, became unresponsive at a friend's apartment on February 22, 2019, and died later at the
(top)		on Carmody's reporting;				hospital. ⁷⁰² Police investigated the scene as possibly suspicious. ⁷⁰³ Stringer Bryan Carmody received the resulting police report from



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
Cusc		Carmody sold the package to three outlets, but it is unclear if others ran similar stories) ⁷⁰¹	Cinarges	incoording in the second secon		an anonymous source. Total Carmody prepared a news package based on the report, additional footage he shot, and other material, and sold it to three news outlets. Total ABC 7 ran its report based on the package on February 23, 2019. Total According to Carmody, on April 11, 2019, two San Francisco Police Department officers visited Carmody at his home. They asked him to identify the source of the police report and he declined. They asked what Carmody would do if he was subpoenaed by a federal grand jury. He said he would not reveal his source. Almost a month later, on the morning of May 10, he was awoken, after having worked the night before, by a loud banging at the door. He went to the door and found men in police uniforms attempting to force open the door with a sledgehammer. He let them in and was immediately surrounded by about a dozen officers who showed him a search warrant, handcuffed him and sat him down as they proceeded to search the rest of the house for other people at gunpoint.
						After about three hours, officers learned that Carmody operated his company, North Bay News, out of another office. ⁷¹⁴ They physically took him to the office in handcuffs, secured another warrant and searched it. ⁷¹⁵ Carmody was in handcuffs for approximately six hours and was released at about 1:55pm. ⁷¹⁶ Ultimately, police seized sixty-eight items, including notebooks, papers, about a dozen laptops, several mobile phones, and other newsgathering equipment. ⁷¹⁷ Carmody has had to buy all new equipment to continue his work. ⁷¹⁸



Case	President	Date	Charges	Resolution	Sentence	Summary
						Although this is a state case, it is included here because two individuals who identified themselves to Carmody as FBI agents took him into his home office for about five minutes, without any SFPD officers present, and repeatedly asked him to identify his source and asked whether he had paid anything for the report. He declined to identify his source, denied paying anything and repeatedly asked for a lawyer. They presented him with FBI credentials, and the Washington Post reported that the FBI confirmed that while agents did not participate in the execution of the warrant, they were present at the search. According to Carmody, the agents said Carmody was being investigated because this was a possible case of obstruction of justice. Carmody was handcuffed during the FBI encounter and agents offered Carmody his own cell phone to make a call, but he declined because he did not want to give the agents his access
						code. ⁷²³ The agents offered to unlock the phone for Carmody. ⁷²⁴ Carmody has applied to quash the search warrants and to have his material and equipment returned under California law. His case will be heard on Tuesday, May 21, 2019. The Reporters Committee, joined by almost 60 co-amici, including 19 California-based news organizations and advocates, filed a letter urging the immediate return of Carmody's work product and documentary material and newsgathering equipment. ⁷²⁵ The Reporters Committee, the First Amendment Coalition, and the Northern California Chapter of the Society of Professional Journalists also filed a motion to unseal the remaining search warrant materials. ⁷²⁶



- Letter from Thomas Paine to the Congress of the United States (Jan. 8, 1779), https://perma.cc/7W4M-7EGE.
- ⁹ Thomas Paine, Collected Writings 307 (Eric Foner ed., Library of America 1995) (10th printing).
- See generally Continental Congress Committee of Secret Correspondence, 1775-78, Extracts from Foreign Affairs Journal, Volume 1, Library of Congress, https://www.loc.gov/item/mtjbib000136/.

```
Nelson, supra note 5, at 126.
```

- ¹³ *Id.* at 127-28.
- ¹⁴ *Id.* at 128.
- ¹⁵ *Id.*
- ¹⁶ *Id.* at 129.
- ¹⁷ *Id.* at 128.
- ¹⁸ *Id.*
- ¹⁹ *Id.*
- ²⁰ *Id.* at 133.
- ²¹ *Id.*
- ²² *Id.*

Kara Scannell, Lawyer Says DOJ, SEC to Probe Leaks in Rajaratnam Case, Wall Street J., May 26, 2010, https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052748704026204575 266651304143066.

² Calvin C. Jillson and Rick K. Wilson, Congressional Dynamics: Structure, Coordination, and Choice in the First American Congress app. D, at 324 (1994).

³ *Id.*

⁴ Id.

⁵ Craig Nelson, Thomas Paine: Enlightment, Revolution, and the Birth of Modern Nations 126 (2006).

⁶ See Gabriel Schoenfeld, Necessary Secrets: National Security, the Media, and the Rule of Law 74 (2010).

For a comprehensive study of government secrecy in the founding era, see Daniel N. Hoffman, Governmental Secrecy and the Founding Fathers: A Study in Constitutional Controls (1981). Hoffman contends that the Federalist period, 1787-1800, involing "an earnest and only partially successful effort to bring secrecy behavior under the control of constitutional norms and mechanisms," and that while the separation of powers was intended and established to prevent "arbitrary and excessive secrecy," the actual system was affected by the growth of American political parties and the foreign policy crises at the end of the 18th century. *Id.* at 9-10. The latter two phenomena "discouraged" Congress from acting as an effective check on executive secrecy, though, as Hoffman says, "Party competition and public opinion were increasingly effective forces for publicity over time." *Id.* at 10.

¹² *Id.*



Id. at 40, 240 n.15.

```
23
       Id. at 133-34.
24
       Id. at 134.
25
       Id. at 135.
26
       Today in History – February 6 – The Franco-American Alliance, Library of Congress, https://perma.cc/PU9R-VR4A.
27
        Nelson, supra note 5, at 136.
28
       Id. at 135.
29
        The Censure Case of Benjamin Tappan of Ohio (1844), U.S. Senate [hereinafter Censure Case], https://www.senate.gov/artandhistory/history/common/censure_cases/
018BenjaminTappan.htm.
        Id.
31
        Id.
32
        Id.
33
        Donald A. Ritchie, Press Gallery: Congress and the Washington Correspondents 9-10 (1991).
34
        Censure Case, supra note 29.
35
        Id.
36
        Id.
37
        Id.
38
39
        Ritchie, supra note 33, at 27, 237 n.52.
40
        Id. at 27.
41
        Id.
42
        Id.
43
        Id.
        Id.
45
        Id.
46
       Id. at 40, 240 n.13.
       Id. at 41.
48
       Id. at 40.
49
        Id.
50
       Id. at 40, 240 n.14.
```



79

Id.

```
52
        Id. at 41.
53
        Senate Stories: The Senate Arrests a Reporter, U.S. Senate, https://www.senate.gov/artandhistory/history/minute/The Senate Arrests A Reporter.htm.
54
        Id.
55
       Id.
56
        Id.
57
        S. Journal, 56th Cong., 2d Sess. 87-88 (1901).
58
        Ritchie, supra note 33, at 90.
59
        Id.
60
        Id.
61
       Id.; see also 2 Robert Byrd, Senate 1789-1989: Addresses on the History of the United States Senate 439 (1991).
62
        Oregon, States in the Senate, U.S. Senate, http://www.senate.gov/states/OR/timeline.htm.
63
        Ritchie, supra note 33, at 167.
64
       Id.
65
       Id.
66
       Id. at 168.
67
       Id.; see also Dolph's Amusing Farce, N.Y. Times, Mar. 10, 1890, at 5, https://www.nytimes.com/1890/03/11/archives/dolphs-amusing-farce-the-smelling-committee-still-on-the-
boards.html.
       Ritchie, supra note 33, at 168.
69
       Id. at 173.
70
       Id. at 174-75.
71
       Id.; Senate Stories: Matthew Quay and the 1888 Presidential Election, U.S. Senate, https://www.senate.gov/artandhistory/history/minute/Quay 1888PresidentialElection.htm.
72
        Ritchie, supra note 33, at 173, 264 n.25.
73
       Id. at 173.
74
       Id. at 174-75.
75
       Id. at 175.
76
       71 Cong. Rec. 2218 (1929), https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/GPO-CRECB-1929-pt2-v71/pdf/GPO-CRECB-1929-pt2-v71-16-1.pdf.
77
       Harding Nominated for President on the Tenth Ballot at Chicago; Coolidge Chose for Vice President, N.Y. Times, June 13, 1920, https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/
/library/politics/camp/200613convention-gop-ra.html.
        Ritchie, supra note 33, at 175.
```



Id.

```
80
        Id. at 176.
81
        Id.
82
        Id. at 176-78; 71 Cong. Rec. 3055 (1929), https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GPO-CRECB-1929-pt3-v71/pdf/GPO-CRECB-1929-pt3-v71.pdf.
83
        Harold Edgar and Benno C. Schmidt, Jr., The Espionage Statutes and Publication of Defense Information, 73 Columbia L. Rev. 929, 1062 (1973), https://fas.org/sgp/library/edgar.pdf.
84
        Id.
85
        Id.; 18 U.S.C. § 952 (2012).
        David Kahn, The Codebreakers: The Comprehensive History of Secret Communication from Ancient Times to the Internet 352 (1996).
87
        Id. at 352-54.
88
        Id.
89
       James Bamford, The Puzzle Palace 25 (1983).
90
        Kahn, supra note 86, at 359.
91
        Id. at 360.
92
        Id. at 361.
93
        Id. at 364.
94
        Id.
95
        Bamford, supra note 89, at 40.
96
        Id. at 44.
97
        Id.
98
        18 U.S.C. § 952 (2012).
99
        See Elliot Carlson, Stanley Johnston's Blunder 125 (2017); see also Edgar and Benno, supra note 83, 1008-21.
100
        Edgar and Benno, supra note 99, at 1021.
101
        Carlson, supra note 99, at 1-3.
102
        Carlson v. United States, 837 F.3d 753, 756 (7th Cir. 2016).
103
        See U.S. Navy Knew In Advance All About Jap Fleet, Chic. Trib., June 7, 1942, reprinted in Carlson, supra note 99, app. B, at 244.
104
        Carlson, supra note 99, at 4.
105
        Id. at 155.
106
       Id. at 154-55.
107
        Carlson, 837 F.3d at 756.
        Carlson, supra note 99, at 211-12.
109
```



131

132

133

Id.

Id.

Lebovic, supra note 122.

```
110
        Frederick Barkley, FBI Seizes Six as Spies, Two in State Dept., N.Y. Times, June 7, 1945, at A1, https://timesmachine.nytimes.com/timesmachine/1945/06/07/
305222842.html?action=click&contentCollection=Archives&module=ArticleEndCTA&region=ArchiveBody&pgtype=article&pageNumber=1.
        Three Are Indicted in Document Theft, N.Y. Times, Aug. 11, 1945, at A18 [hereinafter Three], https://timesmachine.nytimes.com/timesmachine/1945/08/11/88277111.html?
action=click&contentCollection=Archives&module=ArticleEndCTA&region=ArchiveBody&pgtype=article&pageNumber=18; Investigations: The Strange Case of Amerasia, Time, June 12, 1950
[hereinafter Investigations], http://content.time.com/time/subscriber/article/0,33009,812633-1,00.html.
        L. Rush Atkinson, The Fourth Amendment's National Security Exception: Its History and Limits, 66 Vanderbilt L. Rev. 1343, 1362-64 (2013).
113
        Investigations, supra note 111.
114
        Id.
115
        Atkinson, supra note 112, at 1363.
116
        Three, supra note 111.
117
        Id.; Barkley, supra note 110.
118
        Atkinson, supra note 112, at 1367.
119
        Bart Barnes, Emmanuel Larsen, China Expert for State Department, Dies at 90, Wash. Post, May 4, 1988, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/local/1988/05/04/emmanuel-larsen-
china-expert-for-state-department-dies-at-90/84999c2e-885b-46e4-b04e-473204329bdb/?utm_term=.435cfd184a9c.
        Ian McDougall, The Leak Prosecution that Lost the Space Race, Atlantic, Aug. 15, 2016, https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2016/08/the-leak-prosecution-that-lost-the-space-
race/495659/.
121
        ld.
122
        Sam Lebovic, The Forgotten 1957 Trial That Explains Our Country's Bizarre Whistleblower Laws, Politico, Mar. 27, 2016, https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/03/the-
forgotten-1957-trial-that-explains-our-countrys-bizarre-whistleblower-laws-213771.
123
        Crash Kills Figure in '57 Service Fight, N.Y. Times, Mar. 3, 1964, https://www.nytimes.com/1964/03/03/archives/crash-kills-figure-in-57-service-fight.html.
124
        Id.
125
        Id.
126
        McDougall, supra note 120.
127
        Id.
128
        Id.
129
        Id.
130
        Id.
```



AR2007062102434.html; John Prados, The Family Jewels: The CIA, Secrecy and Presidential Power 210 (2014).

```
134
        Id.
135
        Id.
136
        Leada Gore, The 'Mystery, Intrigue and High Drama' That Surrounded the Most High-Profile Court Martial Held at Redstone Arsenal, AL.com, July 22, 2014, https://www.al.com/
news/index.ssf/2014/07/the mystery intrigue and high.html.
137
138
        McDougall, supra note 120.
139
        Lebovic, supra note 122.
140
        Cent. Intelligence Agency, Family Jewels 00021 (1973) [hereinafter Family Jewels], https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/DOC 0001451843.pdf.
141
        Ian Shapira, Long-Ago Wiretap Inspires a Battle With the CIA for More Information, Wash. Post, Mar. 2, 2013, https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/long-ago-wiretap-inspires-a-
battle-with-the-cia-for-more-information/2013/03/02/8ebaa924-77b0-11e2-aa12-e6cf1d31106b story.html?utm term=.7f4b2997334d.
142
        Id.
143
        Id.
144
        Family Jewels, supra note 140, at 00021.
145
        Seymour M. Hersh, Huge C.I.A. Operation Reported in U.S. Against Antiwar Forces, Other Dissidents in Nixon Years, N.Y. Times, Dec. 22, 1974, https://perma.cc/3ZDC-B3QA.
146
        Bamford, supra note 89, at 169.
147
        Id. at 172-73.
148
        Id. at 168.
149
        Id.
150
        Id.
151
        Id.
152
        Id. at 168-69.
153
        Id. at 169.
154
        Id.
155
        See George Lardner Jr., Curtain Around Cryptography, Wash. Post, May 18, 1986, https://perma.cc/68CX-UNZM.
156
        Bamford, supra note 89, at 169-70.
157
        Id. at 172.
158
       Jonathan Pitts, Exploring the NSA Through World Expert on Cryptology, Balt. Sun, Nov. 14, 2010, https://perma.cc/V9QU-3H9Z.
159
        Id.
160
        Karen DeYoung and Walter Pincus, CIA to Air Decades of its Dirty Laundry, Wash. Post, June 22, 2007, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/06/21/
```



185

Family Jewels, supra note 140, at 00027.

```
161
        Family Jewels, supra note 140, at 00026-27.
162
        DeYoung and Pincus, supra note 160.
163
        Prados, supra note 160, at 210.
164
        Id.
165
        United States v. Russo, No. 9373-CD-WMB (dismissed C.D. Cal. May 11, 1973), https://nytimes.com/1973/05/12/archives/text-of-ruling-by-judge-in-ellsberg-case-new-questions-cited-
record.html.
        Martin Arnold, Pentagon Papers Charges Are Dismissed; Judge Byrne Frees Ellsberg and Russo, Assails 'Improper Government Conduct', N.Y. Times, May 11, 1973,
https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/learning/general/onthisday/big/0511.html#article.
        Seth Rosenfeld, The FBI's Secret Investigation of Ben Bagdikian and the Pentagon Papers, Columbia Journalism Rev., Aug. 29, 2018, https://www.cjr.org/investigation/ben-bagdikian-
pentagon-papers.php.
168
        Id.
169
        See Alanna Durkin Richer, Writer Seeks Records of Probe into Pentagon Papers Leak, Associated Press, Dec. 18, 2018, https://apnews.com/39262a0df6424df3ab8023b6201aee87.
170
        See Jon Schwarz, "It Would Have Been Un-American Not to Do It": Anthony Russo, The Forgotten Whistleblower, Intercept, June 2, 2015, https://theintercept.com/2015/06/
02/anthony-russo-forgotten-whistleblower/.
171
        Prados, supra note 160, at 213-14.
172
        See Mark Feldstein, Poisoning the Press: Richard Nixon, Jack Anderson, and the Rise of Washington's Scandal Culture 175-198 (2010); James Rosen, Nixon and the Chiefs, Atlantic, Apr.
2002, https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2002/04/nixon-and-the-chiefs/302473/.
        Prados, supra note 160, at 210-11.
174
        Id.
175
        Id. at 212.
176
        Id.
177
        Id. at 212-13; Feldstein, supra note 172, at 211.
178
        Feldstein, supra note 172, at 212.
179
        Prados, supra note 160, at 213.
180
        Family Jewels, supra note 140, at 00027.
181
        United States v. Marchetti, 466 F.2d 1309, 1312 (4th Cir. 1972).
182
        Id.; Prados, supra note 160, at 235-36.
183
        Prados, supra note 160, at 237.
184
        Id.; Family Jewels, supra note 140, at 00027.
```



```
186
        Marchetti, 466 F.2d at 1311-12.
187
        Victor Marchetti and John D. Marks, The CIA and the Cult of Intelligence (1st ed. 1974).
188
        Richard J. Margolis, A Long List of Grievances, N.Y. Times, Nov. 12, 1972, https://perma.cc/RK5Q-PRS4.
189
        Mark Feldstein, The Jailing of a Journalist: Prosecuting the Press for Receiving Stolen Documents, 10 Comm. L. & Pol'y 137, 148 nn.78-80, 94-95 (2005); Jack Anderson Aide Charged With
Holding Stolen Docxuments, N.Y. Times, Feb. 1, 1973, https://perma.cc/3X7F-T4RK.
        Feldstein, supra note 189, at 161.
191
       Id. at 145-46.
192
        Id.
193
        Id. at 146.
194
        Id. at 146-47.
195
        Id. at 147.
196
        Id.
197
        Id.
198
        Id. at 149.
199
        Id. at 161.
200
201
        Id. (quoting Jack Anderson and Daryl Gibson, Peace War and Politics: An Eyewitness Account 254 (1999)).
202
203
        Edward Walsh and Douglas Watson, Lawyers Subpoena Reporters, Wash. Post, Oct. 6, 1973, http://jfk.hood.edu/Collection/White%20Materials/Watergate/
Watergate%20Items%2006858%20to%2007095/Watergate%2007012.pdf.
       James M. Naughton, Agnew Quits Vice Presidency and Admits Tax Evasion in '67, N.Y. Times, Oct. 11, 1973, https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/
/learning/general/onthisday/big/1010.html#article.
206
       James M. Naughton, How Agnew Bartered His Office to Keep from Going to Prison, N.Y. Times, Oct. 23, 1973, at 97, https://www.nytimes.com/1973/
10/23/archives/howagnewbarteredhis-office-to-keep-from-going-to-prison-how-spiro.html.
        Id.
208
        Id.
209
        Id.
```



- Walsh and Watson, *supra* note 203. The eight reporters were: Richard Cohen of the Washington Post, Ronald Sarro and Robert Walters of the Washington Star-News, Nicholas Gage of the New York Times, William Sherman of the New York Daily News, Fred Graham of CBS, Ronald Nessen of NBC, and Stephen Lesher of Newsweek. Newsweek and Time both received subpoenas for testimony of everyone who worked on certain articles related to the Agnew case. *Id.*
- ²¹¹ *Id.*
- Martin Arnold, *Resignation Ends a Court Test on Disclosure of News Sources*, N.Y. Times, Oct. 12, 1973, https://www.nytimes.com/1973/10/12/archives/resignation-ends-a-court-test-on-disclosure-of-news-sources.html.
- Charles J. Holden and Zach Messitte, *Ben Bradlee, Spiro Agnew and the 'Gray-Haired Grandmother Defense'*, Balt. Sun, Oct. 29, 2014, http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-bradlee-agnew-20141029-story.html.
- Seymour M. Hersh, Submarines of U.S. Stage Spy Missions Inside Soviet Waters, N.Y. Times, May 25, 2018, https://timesmachine.nytimes.com/timesmachine/1975/05/25/94895240.html?action=click&contentCollection=Archives&module=LedeAsset®ion=ArchiveBody&pgtype=article&pageNumber=1.
- Adam Liptak, *Cheney's To-Do Lists, Then and Now*, N.Y. Times, Feb. 11, 2007, https://www.nytimes.com/2007/02/11/weekinreview/11liptak.html?ex=1328850000&en=af14c47bf61fa369&ei=5088&partner=rssnyt&emc=rss.
- Hersh, supra note 214.
- ²¹⁷ *Id.*
- See Laurence Stern, U.S. Subs Spying in Soviet Waters, Wash. Post, Jan. 4, 1974.
- Hersh, *supra* note 214.
- Memorandum from Edward H. Levi, Attorney General, for President Gerald Ford 5 (May 29, 1975), https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/newswar/preview/levi.html [hereinafter Levi Memorandum].
- Lowell Bergman and Marlena Telvick, Dick Cheney's Memos From 30 Years Ago, Frontline, https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/newswar/preview/documents.html.
- Handwritten Notes by Deputy White House Chief of Staff Richard Cheney (May 28, 1975), https://www-cache.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/newswar/preview/etc/cheney1.pdf.
- ²²³ *Id.* at 1.
- 224 *Id.* at 3.
- ²²⁵ *Id.*
- Memorandum from Dick Cheney to Don Rumsfeld (May 29, 1975), https://www-cache.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/newswar/preview/etc/rumsfeldcheneya.pdf.
- ²²⁷ *Id.*
- Levi Memorandum, supra note 220, at 2.
- ²²⁹ *Id.*
- ²³⁰ *Id.*
- ²³¹ *Id.* at 8.
- ²³² *Id.* at 5-6.



```
233
        Id. at 2-3.
234
        Id. at 3.
235
        Id. at 4.
236
        Id. at 5.
237
        Id.
238
        Id. at 6.
239
        Id.
240
        Report on Investigation Pursuant to H. Res. 1042 Concerning Unauthorized Publication of the Report of the Select Committee on Intelligence, H.R. Rep. No. 94-1754, at 6 (1976),
https://ethics.house.gov/sites/ethics.house.gov/files/94-1754 0.pdf.
        Richard D. Lyons, Schorr is Silent; Contempt Move Likely to Falter, N.Y. Times, Sept. 16, 1976, https://www.nytimes.com/1976/09/16/archives/schorr-is-silent-contempt-move-likely-to-
falter-schorr-is-silent.html; Text of Opening Statement by Daniel Schorr Before House Committee, N.Y. Times, Sept. 16, 1976, https://www.nytimes.com/1976/09/16/archives/text-of-opening-
statement-by-daniel-schorr-before-house-committee.html.
        Richard D. Lyons, Schorr and 21 Subpoenaed in Release of Pike Report; House Ethics Panel Subpoenas Schorr and Others in Investigation of Release of the Pike Intelligence Report, N.Y.
Times, Aug. 26, 1976, https://www.nytimes.com/1976/08/26/archives/schorr-and-21-subpoenaed-in-release-of-pike-report-house-ethics.html.
243
        Id.
```

- 244 Schorr is Silent, supra note 241.
- 245 Schorr and 21 Subpoenaed, supra note 242.
- 246 Schorr is Silent, supra note 241.
- 247 Id.
- 248 Emma Best, The FBI Investigated the Village Voice and RCFP for Espionage in 1976, MuckRock, Jan. 2, 2019, https://perma.cc/Z98Y-BACT.
- 249 See Second Production from Fed. Bureau of Investigation to Emma Best at 4, https://perma.cc/28TK-UDLH [hereinafter Second Best Production] (FBI Memorandum from Richard L.

Thornburgh, Ass. Att'y Gen. for Criminal Div. to FBI Director Re: Disclosure and Publication of Allegedly Classified Information by the "Village Voice" on or about February 11, 1976 (Feb. 13, 1976)); Emma Best, Documents Shed Light on FBI's Investigation of the Village Voice and RCFP, MuckRock, Mar. 5, 2019, https://perma.cc/ST4A-3X9D.

- Second Best Production, supra note 249, at 43 (FBI Memorandum from Redacted to Redacted Re: Disclosure and Publication of Allegedly Classified Information by the "Village Voice" on or about February 11, 1976 (June 9, 1976)).
- First Production from Fed. Bureau of Investigation to Emma Best at 2, https://perma.cc/UJ9C-H7UU [hereinafter First Best Production] (FBI Letterhead Memorandum Re: Disclosure and Publication of Allegedly Classified Information by the "Village Voice" on or about February 11, 1976 (June 10, 1976)).
- 252 Second Best Production, supra note 249, at 58.
- 253 Id. at 54-55.
- 254 Id.; Schorr is Source of Leaked Report, N.Y. Times, Feb. 14, 1976, at 26, https://perma.cc/5G4J-6T8F.



25a865eca216/?utm term=.3461d5f75d3e.

```
255
        Second Best Production, supra note 249, at 59.
256
        Id.
257
        Id. at 2 (Memorandum to [Redacted] Re: Disclosure and Publication of Allegedly Classified Information by the "Village Voice" on or about February 11, 1976 (May 18, 1977)).
258
        See United States v. Morison, 604 F. Supp. 655 (D. Md. 1985), aff'd, 844 F.2d 1057 (4th Cir. 1988), cert. denied, 488 U.S. 908 (1988).
        George Lardner Jr., Morison Convicted of Spying Stealing Navy Documents, Wash. Post, Oct. 18, 1985, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1985/10/18/morison-
convicted-of-spying-stealing-navy-documents/61d9380e-bff6-47bb-a94f-6f042454dfa2.
        Morison, 604 F. Supp. at 659.
261
        Id. at 659-60.
262
        Id. at 660-63 (applying the jury instruction approved of in United States v. Dedeyan, 584 F.2d 36, 39 (4th Cir. 1978), namely that the government must prove that for the information to
"relat[e] to the national defense" under § 793(d) or (e) that the disclosure of it "would be potentially damaging to the national defense, or that information in the document disclosed might be
useful to an enemy of the United States" and that the information was closely held).
        Id. at 664-65.
264
        Id.
265
        Morison, 844 F.2d at 1063-70.
266
        Id. at 1070-73.
267
        Id. at 1083.
268
        Id. at 1085.
269
        United States v. Morison, 622 F. Supp. 1009, 1011 (D. Md. 1985).
270
        Id. at 1010.
271
        Id.
272
        Id. (emphasis added).
273
        Id. at 1011.
274
        Letter from the Honorable Daniel Patrick Moynihan, U.S. Senate, to President William Jefferson Clinton (Sept. 29, 1998), https://fas.org/sgp/news/2001/04/moynihan.html.
275
        House Panel Drops Subpoena of a Reporter, N.Y. Times, Dec. 18, 1984, at A18, https://www.nytimes.com/1984/12/19/us/house-panel-drops-subpoena-of-a-reporter.html.
276
        Obituaries, Wash. Post, July 16, 2004, at B4, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A53686-2004Jul15.html.
277
        Panel Drops, supra note 275.
278
        George Lardner Jr., Farlier Spy Reports Went Unprosecuted, Wash. Post, May 23, 1986, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1986/05/23/earlier-spy-reports-went-
unprosecuted/bd3114ad-339e-4023-91ef-7eb7748ccdf4/?utm term=.565ca3bb3b0f.
        Jim Hoagl, The Year of the Spy, Wash. Post, Sept. 5, 1986, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1986/09/05/the-year-of-the-spy/c4263d61-b44a-427d-868f-
```



- See Joel Brinkley, U.S. Officials Find Immense Damage in Espionage Cases, N.Y. Times, Nov. 27, 1985, https://www.nytimes.com/1985/11/27/us/us-officials-find-immense-damage-in-espionage-cases.html; Sabrina Tavernise, Edward Lee Howard, 50, Spy Who Escaped to Soviet Haven, N.Y. Times, July 23, 2002, https://www.nytimes.com/2002/07/23/world/edward-lee-howard-50-spy-who-escaped-to-soviet-haven.html.
- Stephen Engelberg, Former Official Found Guilty on 4 of Counts in Espionage Trial, N.Y. Times, June 6, 1986, https://www.nytimes.com/1986/06/06/us/spy-telling-israeli-operations-pelton-convicted-selling-secrets-former-official.html.
- See Steven Aftergood, Soviet Spy Ronald W. Pelton to be Released from Prison, Fed'n of Am. Scientists, Nov. 23, 2015, https://fas.org/blogs/secrecy/2015/11/pelton-release/.
- See Douglas Frantz and James O'Shea, CIA Shifts Aim to Network for News Leaks, Chic. Tribune, May 21, 1986, https://www.chicagotribune.com/news/ct-xpm-1986-05-21-8602060430-story.html.
- ²⁸⁴ *Id.*
- George Lardner Jr., *Earlier Spy Reports Went Unprosecuted*, Wash. Post, May 23, 1986, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1986/05/23/earlier-spy-reports-went-unprosecuted/bd3114ad-339e-4023-91ef-7eb7748ccdf4/?utm_term=.565ca3bb3b0f.
- ²⁸⁶ *Id.*
- See Sherry Sontag and Christopher Drew, Blind Man's Bluff: The Untold Story of American Submarine Espionage 558-59 (1998); Benjamin C. Bradlee, *The Post and Pelton: How the Press Looks at National Security*, Wash. Post, June 8, 1986, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/opinions/1986/06/08/the-post-and-pelton-how-the-press-looks-at-national-security/e7bde38e-b1e3-40ea-be87-7c9dc7baea7b/?utm_term=.d6278f7bfee7.
- Bradlee, *supra* note 287.
- ²⁸⁹ *Id.*
- ²⁹⁰ *Id.*
- ²⁹¹ See id. at 369-420.
- 282 2 Reporters Face Subpoenas in Thomas Case, N.Y. Times, Feb. 4, 1992, at A16, https://www.nytimes.com/1992/02/04/us/2-reporters-face-subpoenas-in-thomas-case.html.
- See All Things Considered: NPR's Nina Totenberg Recalls Breaking Anita Hill's Story in 1991 (Nat'l Pub. Radio Apr. 14, 2016), https://www.npr.org/2016/04/14/474265633/nprs-nina-totenberg-recalls-breaking-anita-hills-story-in-1991.
- Neil A. Lewis, *Inquiry Fails to Find Source of Leak at Thomas Hearing*, N.Y. Times, May 6, 1992, at A18, https://www.nytimes.com/1992/05/06/us/inquiry-fails-to-find-source-of-leak-at-thomas-hearing.html; Howard Kurtz, *A Revisionist's Nightmare*, Wash. Post, June 10, 1993, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/lifestyle/1993/06/10/a-revisionists-nightmare/ddc89554-700d-46de-9b6c-7576c2e1de6f.
- Lewis, supra note 294.
- ²⁹⁶ *Id.*
- Id.; see also Neil A. Lewis, Second Reporter Silent in Senate Leak Inquiry, N.Y. Times, Feb. 25, 1992, at A13, https://www.nytimes.com/1992/02/25/us/second-reporter-silent-in-senate-leak-inquiry.html.



08/22/politics/starr-grand-jury-leak-report-kavanaugh/index.html.

```
298
        Report of Senate Special Counsel Peter Fleming on Leak of Anita Hill's Charges Against Judge Clarence Thomas 18 (1991), http://anitahillcase.com/wp-content/themes/anita/pdf/
Fleming-Report.pdf. The chair of the Senate Rules Committee at the time was Sen. Wendell Ford (D-KY) and the ranking member was Sen. Ted Stevens (R-AK).
299
        Id. at 6.
300
        Report of the Special Master on Rule 6(e) Inquiry, In re Grand Jury Proceedings, Misc. Nos. 98-55, 98-77, and 98-228 (NHJ) (consolidated), at 1 (D.D.C. filed Jan. 29, 1999) [hereinafter OIC
Special Master Report], https://www.archives.gov/files/foia/docid-70105464.pdf.
301
        Id.
302
        Id. at 29.
303
        In re Sealed Case No. 99-3091 (Office of Independent Counsel Contempt Proceeding), 192 F.3d 995, 1005 (D.C. Cir. 1999) (per curiam).
304
        Dan Froomkin, Whitewater Special Report (Timeline), Wash. Post, 2000, https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/politics/special/whitewater/timeline.htm.
305
        Id.
306
        OIC Special Master Report, supra note 300, at 1.
307
308
        Id. at 26-27.
309
        Id. at 29.
310
        Id.
311
        Id. at 62. The D.C. Circuit has a procedure in place to handle Rule 6(e) complaints. First, Judge Holloway Johnson had to find that the 24 news reports contained prima facie violations of
Rule 6(e), which she did. The burden then fell on the OIC to rebut the prima facie case, which the special master found that it successfully did by, among other things, submitting sworn
affidavits denying that OIC personnel were the source of the information, showing that the information was inaccurate in some way and therefore was unlikely to have come from a government
source with actual knowledge of the matter, or presenting evidence as to the actual source. Id. at 8-9.
        Don Van Natta Jr., Starr Is Weighing Whether to Indict Sitting President, N.Y. Times, Jan. 31, 1999, at A1, https://www.nytimes.com/1999/01/31/us/president-s-trial-independent-
counsel-starr-weighing-whether-indict-sitting.html.
313
314
        In re Sealed Case No. 99-3091, 192 F.3d at 997.
315
        Id.
316
        Id. at 997-98.
317
        Id. at 996-97, 1003-05 ("We acknowledge, as did OIC, that such statements are troubling . . . . But bare statements that some assistant prosecutors in OIC wish to seek an indictment do
not implicate the grand jury; the prosecutors may not even be basing their opinion on information presented to a grand jury."); see also Bill Miller, Starr Leaks Not Illegal, Appeals Court Rules,
```

Wash. Post, Sept. 14, 1999, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1999/09/14/starr-leaks-not-illegal-appeals-court-rules/140b5f1b-5057-4666-9eeb-616ef51d4df8.

Dan Berman and Katelyn Polantz, Judge Orders Starr Grand Jury Leak Report to Be Released as Dems Seek Kavanaugh Info, CNN (Aug. 22, 2018), https://www.cnn.com/2018/



- Indictment, United States v. Lee, Criminal No. 99-1417 (D.N.M. Dec. 10, 1999) [hereinafter Lee Indictment], https://fas.org/irp/ops/ci/docs/lee_indict.html.
- Transcript of Proceedings at 3, United States v. Lee, No. 99-1417-JC (D.N.M. Sept. 13, 2000) [hereinafter Lee Transcript], https://fas.org/irp/ops/ci/whl_plea_transcript.html.
- ³²¹ *Id.* at 58.
- ³²² *Id.* at 10.
- Paul Farhi, U.S., Media Settle With Wen Ho Lee, Wash. Post, June 3, 2006, at A1, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/06/02/AR2006060201060.html.
- Wen Ho Lee Freed After Guilty Plea, ABC News (Sept. 13, 2000), https://abcnews.go.com/US/story?id=95771&page=1.
- See generally Matthew Purdy, The Making of a Suspect: The Case of Wen Ho Lee, N.Y. Times, Feb. 4, 2001, https://www.nytimes.com/2001/02/04/us/the-making-of-a-suspect-the-case-of-wen-ho-lee.html. The Purdy story is part of extensive coverage the New York Times undertook following the collapse of the government's case, and the concomitant criticism of the Times's coverage and reliance on leaks from government sources about the evidence against Dr. Lee. The New York Times also released an unusual statement on the case saying that, in retrospect, it "found some things [the Times] wish[ed it] had done differently in the course of the coverage to give Dr. Lee the full benefit of the doubt." The Times and Wen Ho Lee, N.Y. Times, Sept. 26, 2000, at A2, https://www.nytimes.com/2000/09/26/nyregion/the-times-and-wen-ho-lee.html.
- Purdy, *supra* note 325.
- ³²⁷ *Id.*
- Lee Indictment, supra note 319.
- ³²⁹ *Id.*
- 330 See 42 U.S.C. § 2014(aa) (2018) (definition of "special nuclear material") and 42 U.S.C. § 2071 (2018) (determination of other material as special nuclear material).
- See Lee Transcript, supra note 320, at 58:9-11.
- Matthew Purdy with James Sterngold, *The Prosecution Unravels: The Case of Wen Ho Lee*, N.Y. Times, Feb. 5, 2001, at A1, https://www.nytimes.com/2001/02/05/us/the-prosecution-unravels-the-case-of-wen-ho-lee.html.
- Another Reporter Found in Contempt in Wen Ho Lee Suit, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Nov. 17, 2005, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-resources/news/another-reporter-found-contempt-wen-ho-lee-suit.
- Lee v. Dep't of Justice, 413 F.3d 53, 56 (D.D.C. 2005).
- 335 *Id.*; *Another Reporter, supra* note 333.
- Another Reporter, supra note 333.
- Adam Liptak, News Media Pay in Scientist Suit, N.Y. Times, June 3, 2006, https://www.nytimes.com/2006/06/03/washington/03settle.html.
- Felicity Barringer, F.B.I. Head Said to Have Allowed Subpoena of Reporter Calls, N.Y. Times, Aug. 30, 2001, at B5, https://www.nytimes.com/2001/08/30/nyregion/fbi-head-said-to-have-allowed-subpoena-of-reporter-calls.html.
- Justice Releases Statistics on Subpoenas of Reporters, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Dec. 6, 2001, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-resources/news/justice-releases-statistics-subpoenas-reporters.
- Barringer, *supra* note 338.



Id.

```
341
        Susan Schmidt, Mueller Approved Subpoena for Reporter's Phone Files, Wash. Post, Aug. 30, 2001, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/2001/08/30/mueller-approved-
subpoena-for-reporters-phone-files/ec8ad6b8-9228-49dd-b90b-79f37db503b5/?utm_term=.4110ba5d6638.
342
        Barringer, supra note 338.
343
        Id.
344
        Sen. Grassley Questions AP Subpoena, Associated Press, Sept. 5, 2001, https://www.apnews.com/e1af491bbd0c143194f8588542302534.
345
        Letter from Daniel J. Bryant, Assistant Attorney Gen., to the Honorable Charles Grassley, U.S. Senate (Nov. 28, 2001), https://www.rcfp.org/news/documents/grassley.pdf.
346
        Letter from the Honorable Charles Grassley, U.S. Senate, to the Honorable Larry Thompson, Deputy Attorney Gen. (Dec. 6, 2001), https://www.rcfp.org/news/documents/grassley.pdf.
347
       In re Special Proceedings, 373 F.3d 37, 40 (1st Cir. 2004).
        See Jonathan Finer, R.I. Reporter Sentenced to Home Confinement, Wash. Post, Dec. 10, 2004, https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/2004/12/10/ri-reporter-sentenced-to-
home-confinement/77f29c46-e8ba-4c0c-a60b-a14b7adaf570.
        Television Reporter Released Early from Home Confinement, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Apr. 12, 2005, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-
resources/news/television-reporter-released-early-home-confinement.
        See In re Special Proceedings, 291 F. Supp. 2d 44, 47 (D.R.I. 2003), aff'd by 373 F.3d 37 (1st Cir. 2004).
351
        Id.
352
        In re Special Proceedings, 373 F.3d at 40-41.
353
        Id.
354
        Id. at 41.
355
        Id.
356
        Id.
357
        Id.
        Reporter Convicted of Criminal Contempt, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Nov. 22, 2004, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-resources/news/reporter-convicted-
criminal-contempt
        Id.
360
        Pam Belluck, Reporter Who Shielded Source Will Serve Sentence at Home, N.Y. Times, Dec. 10, 2004, https://www.nytimes.com/2004/12/10/us/reporter-who-shielded-source-will-serve-
sentence-at-home.html.
        Id.
362
        Television Reporter, supra note 349.
        Katie Zezima, Lawyer Says He Gave Convicted Reporter Videotape in Corruption Inquiry, N.Y. Times, Dec. 2, 2004, https://www.nytimes.com/2004/12/02/us/lawyer-says-he-gave-
convicted-reporter-videotape-in-corruption-inquiry.html.
```



Id.

```
365
        Id.
366
        See Ashcroft v. Randel, 391 F. Supp. 2d 1214, 1218 (N.D. Ga. 2005); John W. Dean, It Appears that Karl Rove is in Serious Trouble, Findlaw, July 15, 2005,
https://supreme.findlaw.com/legal-commentary/it-appears-that-karl-rove-is-in-serious-trouble.html.
       Ashcroft, 391 F. Supp. 2d at 1218.
368
        Felicity Barringer, Federal Worker Sentenced for Passing on Information, N.Y. Times, Jan. 16, 2003, https://www.nytimes.com/2003/01/16/us/federal-worker-sentenced-for-passing-on-
information.html.
        Conal Walsh, The Times, The Agent, and the Tory Peer, Guardian, August 11, 2002, https://www.theguardian.com/business/2002/aug/11/citynews.pressandpublishing.
370
        Id.; Barringer, supra note 368.
371
        Walsh, supra note 369.
372
        Barringer, supra note 368.
373
        New York Times v. Gonzales, 382 F. Supp. 2d 457, 467 (S.D.N.Y. 2005), vacated and remanded, 459 F.3d 160 (2d Cir. 2006).
374
        New York Times v. Gonzales, 459 F.3d 160, 171 (2d Cir. 2006).
375
        Judith Miller and Kurt Eichenwald, A Nation Challenged: The Investigation; U.S. Set to Widen Financial Assault, N.Y. Times, Oct. 1, 2001, https://www.nytimes.com/2001/10/01/us/a-
nation-challenged-the-investigation-us-set-to-widen-financial-assault.html.
        New York Times, 459 F.3d at 163.
377
        Id.
378
        Id.
379
        Id.
        Id. at 164 n.2. As for the news reports, GRF actually sued various news organizations, including the Times, for defamation precisely because of their reporting about its targeting by the
government for asset seizures as part of the response to 9/11. The district court granted summary judgment for defendants, finding the reports were true, and the Seventh Circuit affirmed,
holding that the "gist" of the reports in question was that GRF was under investigation, not guilty of terrorist financing. Global Relief Found. v. New York Times, 31 Media L. Rep. 1468 (N.D. III.
2003), aff'd, 390 F.3d 973 (7th Cir. 2004).
        New York Times, 382 F. Supp. 2d at 467.
382
        New York Times, 459 F.3d at 164.
383
        Id.
384
        Id.
385
        Id.
386
        Id. at 164-65.
        Id. at 165.
```



```
389
        Id.
390
        New York Times, 382 F. Supp. 2d at 513.
391
        Id. at 484-85.
392
        New York Times, 459 F.3d at 171 (common law holding, limited to facts before the court), 174 (First Amendment holding).
393
        Id. at 167-68 (citing Local 1814, International Longshoremen's Ass'n, AFL-CIO v. Waterfront Comm'n, 667 F.2d 267 (2d Cir. 1981) (holding that when government seeks third party
records that "play an integral part in facilitating an association's normal arrangements for obtaining members of contributions" First Amendment protections extend to those records)).
        Id. at 167.
395
        Id. at 174-75.
396
       Id. at 187 (quoting In re Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings in Petroleum Prods. Antitrust Litigation, 680 F.2d 5, 7-8 (2d Cir. 1982)).
397
        Id. (and citing Petroleum Prods. again).
398
        Id. (quoting the proposed federal shield law in 2006, the Free Flow of Information Act, S. 2831, 109th Cong., § 4(b)(4) (2006).
399
        Id. at 188-89.
400
        The petition for certiorari would have been due on January 31, 2007. The stay of mandate was denied on November 27, 2006. See Application to Stay Mandate of United States Court
of Appeals for the Second Circuit Pending Certiorari at 3, New York Times v. Gonzales, No. (filed Nov. 24, 2006), http://www.medialaw.org/images/stories/files/other_resources/
reportersprivilege/nytygonzales/NYTApplicationtoStay.pdf.
        Hatfill was never formally charged and no charges were ever filed against anyone in the anthrax attacks.
        David Freed, The Wrong Man, Atlantic, May 2010, https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2010/05/the-wrong-man/308019/; Scott Shane and Eric Lichtblau, Scientist is Paid
Millions by U.S. in Anthrax Suit, N.Y. Times, June 28, 2008, https://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/28/washington/28hatfill.html.
        Freed, supra note 402.
404
        Id.
405
        Scott Shane, Panel on Anthrax Inquiry Finds Case Against Ivins Persuasive, N.Y. Times, Mar. 23, 2011, https://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/24/us/24anthrax.html.
406
        Freed, supra note 402.
407
        Jason Ryan and Theresa Cook, DOJ Settles Hatfill Suit for $5.8 Million, ABC News, June 27, 2008, https://abcnews.go.com/TheLaw/DOJ/story?id=5264759&page=1.
408
        Eric Lichtblau, Reporter Held in Contempt in Anthrax Case, N.Y. Times, Feb. 20, 2008, https://www.nytimes.com/2008/02/20/us/20anthrax.html.
409
        Appeals Court Suspends Fines for Reporter Toni Locy; Shield Law Needed, Nat'l Press Photographers Ass'n, March 11, 2008, https://nppa.org/news/1477.
        Samantha Fredrickson, Locy Appeal Dismissed, Contempt Order Vacated, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Nov. 17, 2008, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-
resources/news/locy-appeal-dismissed-contempt-order-vacated.
411
```

- 412 See Hatfill v. New York Times Co., 416 F.3d 320, 324-25 (4th Cir. 2005).
- 413 Nicholas Kristof, Media's Balancing Act, N.Y. Times, Aug. 27, 2008, https://www.nytimes.com/2008/08/28/opinion/28kristof.html.



- ⁴¹⁴ See Hatfill, 416 F.3d at 337.
- Jerry Markon and Allan Lengel, *Judge Explains Tossing Out Suit Against N.Y. Times*, Wash. Post, Feb. 2, 2007, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/02/01/AR2007020101699.html.
- David Stout, Justices Reject Appeal in Anthrax Libel Suit, N.Y. Times, Dec. 15, 2008, https://www.nytimes.com/2008/12/15/washington/15web-hatfill.html.
- Kristof, *supra* note 413.
- Superseding Indictment, United States v. Franklin, Criminal No. 1:05CR225 (E.D. Va. Aug. 4, 2005), https://fas.org/irp/ops/ci/franklin0805.pdf.
- Josh Gerstein, Leniency for AIPAC Leaker, Politico, June 11, 2009, https://www.politico.com/story/2009/06/leniency-for-aipac-leaker-023671.
- David Johnston, *Pentagon Analyst Gets 12 Years for Disclosing Data*, N.Y. Times, Jan. 20, 2006, https://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/20/politics/pentagon-analyst-gets-12-years-for-disclosing-data.html.
- See United States v. Rosen, 445 F. Supp. 2d 602 (E.D. Va. 2006), aff'd, 557 F.3d 192 (4th Cir. 2009).
- 422 *Id.* at 608.
- 423 *Id.* at 608-09.
- 424 *Id.* at 639-40.
- 425 *Id.* at 627.
- ⁴²⁶ *Id.* at 625 (quoting United States v. Morison, 844 F.2d 1057, 1071 (4th Cir. 1988)).
- 1d. at 616 (quoting United States v. Morison, 622 F. Supp. 1009, 1011 (D. Md. 1985)).
- Jerry Markon, U.S. Drops Case Against Ex-Lobbyists, Wash. Post, May 2, 2009, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/05/01/AR2009050101310.html.
- Neil A. Lewis and David Johnston, U.S. to Drop Spy Case Against Pro-Israel Lobbyists, N.Y. Times, May 1, 2009, https://www.nytimes.com/2009/05/02/us/politics/02aipac.html.
- Neil A. Lewis, Libby Guilty of Lying in C.I.A. Leak Case, N.Y. Times, Mar. 7, 2007, https://www.nytimes.com/2007/03/07/washington/07libby.html.
- Sheryl Gay Stolberg, Bush Spares Libby 30-Month Jail Term, N.Y. Times, July 2, 2007, https://www.nytimes.com/2007/07/02/washington/02cnd-libby.html.
- Peter Baker, *Trump Pardons Scooter Libby in a Case that Mirrors His Own*, N.Y. Times, Apr. 13, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/13/us/politics/trump-pardon-scooter-libby.html.
- Joseph C. Wilson IV, What I Didn't Find in Africa, N.Y. Times, July 6, 2003, https://www.nytimes.com/2003/07/06/opinion/what-i-didn-t-find-in-africa.html.
- See Howard Kurtz, Reporters: Rove Told Him of Plame's CIA Tie, Wash. Post, July 18, 2005, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/07/17/AR2005071700755.

html; Key Players in the CIA Leak Investigation, Wash. Post, July 3, 2007, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/politics/special/plame/Plame KeyPlayers.html; Timothy Noah, Armitage Was Never "Off the Record," Slate, Sept. 19, 2006, http://www.slate.com/articles/news and politics/chatterbox/2006/09/armitage was never off the record.html.

- Susan Schmidt and Jim VandeHei, *N.Y. Times Reporter Released from Jail*, Wash. Post, Sept. 30, 2005, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/10/19/AR2005101900795.html.
- ⁴³⁶ United States v. Libby, 432 F. Supp. 2d 26, 35-36 (D.D.C. 2008).
- 437 *Id.* at 44.



- Carol D. Leonig and Amy Goldstein, *Libby Found Guilty in CIA Leak Case*, Wash. Post, Mar. 7, 2007, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/03/06/AR2007030600648.html.
- Indictment, United States v. Convertino, No. 06-20173 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 29, 2006), http://lawprofessors.typepad.com/whitecollarcrime_blog/files/convertino_indictment.pdf.
- Charles Doyle, Privilege Against Self-Incrimination Supplements Journalist Privilege, Cong. Research Serv., Oct. 20, 2015, https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/privilege.pdf.
- Danny Hakim and Eric Lichtblau, *After Convictions, the Undoing of a U.S. Terror Prosecution*, N.Y. Times, Oct. 7, 2004, https://www.nytimes.com/2004/10/07/us/after-convictions-the-undoing-of-a-us-terror-prosecution.html.
- ⁴⁴² *Id.*
- ⁴⁴³ *Id.*
- Judge Throws Out Terror Convictions, USA Today, Sept. 1, 2004, https://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/washington/2004-09-01-terror-doj_x.htm.
- Hakim and Lichtblau, *supra* note 441.
- See Kristen Rasmussen, Detroit Free Press Reporter's Subpoena Battle with Former Terrorism Prosecutor Nears End, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Media and the Law, Spring 2011, at 21, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-resources/news-media-law/news-media-and-law-spring-2011/detroit-free-press-reporter.
- ⁴⁴⁷ *Id.*
- 448 Convertino v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 393 F. Supp. 2d 42 (D.D.C. 2005).
- United States v. Convertino, No. 14-1722 (6th Cir. July 31, 2015), https://www.rcfp.org/sites/default/files/docs/20150825 164916 sixth circuit ashenfelter opinion.pdf.
- 450 *Id.* at 3-4.
- 451 *Id.* (citing Convertino v. Dep't of Justice, 684 F.3d 93, 99 (D.C. Cir. 2012)).
- 452 *Id.* at 5.
- 453 *Id.* at 12.
- Timeline: Barry Bonds and Steroid Allegations, Associated Press, Dec. 16, 2011 [hereinafter Bonds Timeline], https://www.newsday.com/sports/baseball/timeline-barry-bonds-and-steroid-allegations-1.3394535.
- State Bar's Opposition to Petition for Reinstatement at 5, In re Troy L. Ellerman, Case No. 14-R-474 (Ca. State Bar Court filed June 24, 2014) [hereinafter State Bar's Opposition], http://members.calbar.ca.gov/courtDocs/14-R-00474-1.pdf.
- Bonds Timeline, supra note 454.
- Paul Elias, BALCO Leak Agrees to Maximum Sentence, Wash. Post, July 5, 2007, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/07/05/AR2007070501652.html.
- Bonds Timeline, supra note 454.
- Adam Tanner, Judge Rejects Plea Deal for Steroid Case Leaker, Reuters, June 14, 2007, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-doping-balco-idUSN1419089620070615.
- Elias, supra note 457; Paul Elias, BALCO Leak Sentenced to 2 ½ Years, Wash. Post, July 12, 2007, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/07/12/AR2007071201888.html.



- Christian Red, Troy Ellerman, Lawyer Who Leaked BALCO Grand Jury Testimony, Denied Reinstatement to California Bar, N.Y. Daily News, May 8, 2018,
- http://www.nydailynews.com/sports/balco-leaker-troy-ellerman-denied-calif-bar-reinstatement-article-1.3978730.
- BALCO Fast Facts, CNN, Apr. 15, 2018, https://www.cnn.com/2013/10/31/us/balco-fast-facts/index.html.
- ⁴⁶³ *Id.*
- State Bar's Opposition, supra note 455, at 2.
- Id. at 2-3; Mark Fainaru-Wada and Lance Williams, Sprinter Admitted Use of BALCO 'Magic Potion' / November Testimony to Federal Grand Jury Contradicts Record-Holder's Public Denials, S.F. Chron., June 24, 2004, https://www.sfgate.com/sports/article/Sprinter-admitted-use-of-BALCO-magic-potion-2712089.php.
- State Bar's Opposition, supra note 455, at 3.
- Mark Fainaru-Wada and Lance Williams, *Giambi Admitted Taking Steroids*, S.F. Chron., Dec. 2, 2004, https://www.sfgate.com/sports/article/Giambi-admitted-taking-steroids-2631890.php.
- State Bar's Opposition, supra note 455, at 4.
- 469 *Id.* at 4-5.
- 470 *Id.* at 5.
- BALCO Reporters No Longer in Contempt, Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, Mar. 2, 2007, https://www.rcfp.org/browse-media-law-resources/news/balco-reporters-no-longer-contempt.
- Also known as Samuel Shamai Leibowitz.
- Scott Shane, Leak Offers Look at Efforts by U.S. to Spy on Israel, N.Y. Times, Sept. 5, 2011, https://www.nytimes.com/2011/09/06/us/06leak.html; Laura Rozen and Josh Gerstein, FBI Leaker Should Have Raised Flags, Politico, Dec. 21, 2009, https://www.politico.com/story/2009/12/fbi-leaker-should-have-raised-flags-030888.
- Shane, *supra* note 473.
- ⁴⁷⁵ *Id.*
- Edgar and Schmidt, *supra* note 99, at 1064.
- Josh Gerstein, *Judge Gives Leaker 20 Months, But Isn't Sure Why*, Politico, May 24, 2010, https://www.politico.com/blogs/under-the-radar/2010/05/judge-gives-leaker-20-months-but-isnt-sure-why-027212.
- ⁴⁷⁸ *Id.*
- The investigation started under President George W. Bush.
- ⁴⁸⁰ United States v. Drake, 818 F. Supp. 2d 909, 910 (D. Md. 2011).
- 481 *Id.* at 911-12.
- James Risen and Eric Lichtblau, *Bush Lets U.S. Spy on Callers Without Courts*, N.Y. Times, Dec. 16, 2005, https://www.nytimes.com/2005/12/16/politics/bush-lets-us-spy-on-callers-without-courts.html. For the history of the evolution of Stellarwind, see Charlie Savage, Power Wars: The Relentless Rise of Presidential Authority and Secrecy 180-87 (2017).
- See generally Jane Mayer, The Secret Sharer, New Yorker, May 23, 2011, https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2011/05/23/the-secret-sharer.



- See Ex-Official for N.S.A. Accepts Deal in Leak Case, N.Y. Times, June 10, 2011, https://www.nytimes.com/2011/06/11/us/11justice.html.
- ⁴⁸⁵ Gorin v. United States, 312 U.S. 19 (1941).
- United States v. Drake, 818 F. Supp. 2d 909, 918 (D. Md. 2011) ("Thus, in a case such as this one that involves solely the willful retention of classified *documents*, not intangible information, there is no heightened mens rea requirement.").
- ⁴⁸⁷ United States v. Manning, 78 M.J. 501, 510 (A. Ct. Crim. App. May 31, 2018).
- Manning was originally charged with 12 counts. Those break down into four counts for failure to obey Army regulations under Article 92 of the UCMJ (three counts under Army Reg. 25-2, ¶ 4-6(k); and one count under Army Reg. 25-2, ¶ 4-5(a)(3)), and eight counts under Article 134 of the UCMJ, a catch-all provision that includes other offenses, including violations of federal criminal law that are not specifically included in the UCMJ (those eight are one count under the Espionage Act, 18 U.S.C. § 793(e); three counts under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(1); and four counts under Army Reg. 380-5, ¶ 7-4).
- United States v. Manning, ARMY 20130739 (1st Jud. Cir. Aug. 6, 2013) (ruling on defense motion to merge charges), http://www.documentcloud.org/documents/748540-ruling-defense-motion-to-merge-charges.html.
- Julie Tate and Ernesto Londono, *Judge Finds Manning Not Guilty of Aiding the Enemy, Guilty of Espionage*, Wash. Post, July 30, 2013, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/2013/07/29/e894a75c-f897-11e2-afc1-c850c6ee5af8 story.html?utm term=.ec4feb5116fc.
- Charlie Savage and Emmarie Huetteman, *Manning Sentenced to 35 Years for a Pivotal Leak of U.S. Files*, N.Y. Times, Aug. 21, 2013, https://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/22/us/manning-sentenced-for-leaking-government-secrets.html.
- ⁴⁹² United States v. Manning, 78 M.J. 501, 506 (A. Ct. Crim. App. May 31, 2018).
- 493 *Id.* at 520.
- Savage and Huetteman, *supra* note 491.
- What is Wikileaks, Wikileaks, Nov. 3, 2015, https://wikileaks.org/What-is-Wikileaks.html.
- See Stephen Aftergood, Wikileaks Fails "Due Diligence" Review, Fed'n Of Am. Scientists, June 28, 2010, https://fas.org/blogs/secrecy/2010/06/wikileaks_review/.
- See, e.g., Glenn Greenwald, Is Disclosure of Podesta's Emails a Step too Far? A Conversation with Naomi Klein, The Intercept, Oct. 19, 2016, https://theintercept.com/2016/10/19/is-disclosure-of-podestas-emails-a-step-too-far-a-conversation-with-naomi-klein/.
- ⁴⁹⁸ See United States v. Manning, 78 M.J. 501, 507-9 (A. Ct. Crim. App. May 31, 2018).
- Bill Chappell, Chelsea Manning, One Sentenced to 35 Years, Walks Free After 7 Years, Nat'l Pub. Radio, May 17, 2017, https://www.npr.org/sections/thetwo-way/2017/05/17/528731790/after-serving-7-years-of-a-35-year-sentence-chelsea-manning-to-walk-free.
- Brief of Amici Curiae Electronic Frontier Foundation et al. in Support of Appellant, United States v. Manning, 78 M.J. 501 (A. Ct. Crim. App. May 31, 2018), https://www.eff.org/document/us-v-chelsea-manning-eff-amicus-brief.
- ⁵⁰¹ *Id.*
- James Rosen, North Korea Intends to Match U.N. Resolution with New Nuclear Test, Fox News Politics, June 11, 2009, http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2009/06/11/north-korea-intends-match-resolution-new-nuclear-test.html. Please note that, at the time of publication, the article erroneously online lists the date of publication as December 24, 2015, but it was



published in June 2009. *See* Spencer S. Hsu, *State Dept. Contractor Charged in Leak to News Organization,* Wash. Post, Aug. 28, 2010, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/08/27/AR2010082704602.html.

- 503 See Government's Memorandum in Aid of Sentence, United States v. Kim, No. 10-cr-225 (CKK) (D.D.C. filed Mar. 24, 2014), ECF No. 285, https://fas.org/sgp/jud/kim/032414-sent.pdf.
- ⁵⁰⁴ See United States v. Kim, 808 F.Supp.2d 44, 49, 55, 57 (D.D.C. 2011).
- Affidavit in Support of Application for Search Warrant, , In re Email Acct. [REDACTED]@gmail.com on Computer Servers Operated by Google, Inc., 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, Cal. ¶ 40, No. 10-291 (D.D.C. filed Nov. 7, 2011), ECF No. 20-1, https://www.washingtonpost.com/apps/g/page/local/affidavit-for-search-warrant/162/.

 Id. ¶ 38.
- See Ann E. Marimow, Justice Department's Scrutiny of Fox News Reporter James Rosen in Leak Case Draws Fire, Wash. Post, May 20, 2013, https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/justice-departments-scrutiny-of-fox-news-reporter-james-rosen-in-leak-case-draws-fire/2013/05/20/c6289eba-c162-11e2-8bd8-2788030e6b44_story.html?utm_term=.5e4901cdf662.
- United States v. Kim, No. 10-225 (CKK), at 6 (D.D.C. May 30, 2013), ECF No. 137, https://fas.org/sgp/jud/kim/072413-opinion3.pdf (order granting in part and denying in part defendant's third motion to compel), https://fas.org/sgp/jud/kim/072413-opinion3.pdf. The court declined to adopt the Morison construction of "national defense information" for five reasons. One, the Morison court adopted the trial court's instruction to avoid potential overbreadth concerns; Kim had not brought an overbreadth challenge, just vagueness in a prior motion. *Id.* at 7. Two, with respect to whether the information would aid an *enemy*, the court noted that the statute uses the term "advantage of any foreign nation," and that the plain language suggests the foreign nation need not be an adversary. *Id.* at 8. Three, in cases like Kim's that involve the disclosure of classified information, adoption of the Morison construction would require the jury to "second guess" the classification and would convert the trial into one of the classifying entity. *Id.* at 9. Four, the court could not find a single case in the Fourth Circuit adopting the Morison construction, and the two courts that have addressed the issue (at that point Rosen and Kiriakou) interpret Morison to require that the government show that the information is "the type" that, if disclosed, could harm the United States. *Id.* at 9-10. Finally, five, the court was unable to find any authority outside the Fourth Circuit adopting the Morison construction. *Id.* at 10.
- ⁵⁰⁹ United States v. Sterling, 818 F. Supp. 2d. 945, 950 (E.D. Va. 2011), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 724 F.3d 482 (4th Cir. 2013), cert. denied, 134 S.Ct. 2696 (2014).
- Peter Maass, *Jeffrey Sterling, Convicted of Leaking About Botched CIA Program, Has Been Released from Prison*, Intercept, January 19, 2018, https://theintercept.com/2018/01/19/jeffrey-sterling-cia-leaking-prison/.
- See United States v. Sterling, No. 10-cr-485-001, 2015 WL 2208448, *1 (E.D. Va. May 11, 2015) (trial court judgment).
- James Risen, State of War 197 (2006).
- ⁵¹³ Sterling v. Tenet, 416 F.3d 338, 341 (4th Cir. 2005).
- United States v. Sterling, 860 F.3d 233, 239 (4th Cir. 2017) (affirming defendant's conviction, with one exception regarding venue for a charge of unauthorized disclosure of NDI).
- 515 Sterling, 416 F.3d at 347.
- James Risen, Fired by C.I.A., He Says Agency Practiced Bias, N.Y. Times, Mar. 2, 2002, https://www.nytimes.com/2002/03/02/us/fired-by-cia-he-says-agency-practiced-bias.html.
- ⁵¹⁷ See United States v. Sterling, 724 F.3d 482, 489 (4th Cir. 2013).
- ⁵¹⁸ Risen, *supra* note 516, at 193-216.
- ⁵¹⁹ *Sterling*, 860 F.3d at 239.
- ⁵²⁰ See id. at 240.



- United States v. Sterling, 818 F. Supp. 2d 945, 947 (E.D. Va. 2013), rev'd by, United States v. Sterling, 724 F.3d 482 (4th Cir. 2013). The investigation into the Operation Merlin disclosures began in March 2006 and the first subpoena was issued to Risen on January 28, 2008.
- ⁵²² *Id.*
- ⁵²³ *Id.* at 951; see also LaRouche v. Nat'l Broad. Co., 780 F.2d 1134, 1139 (4th Cir. 2003).
- 524 Sterling, 724 F.3d at 492, 499.
- ⁵²⁵ Risen v. United States, 134 S. Ct. 2696 (2014).
- United States v. Sterling, 860 F.3d 233, 240 (4th Cir. 2017).
- Josh Gerstein, Risen Finally Off the Hook in Leak Trial, Politico, Jan. 12, 2015, https://www.politico.com/blogs/under-the-radar/2015/01/risen-finally-off-hook-in-leak-trial-200952.
- Charlie Savage, *Amid Moves on Shield Laws, Journalist Tells of a 2011 Subpoena Fight*, N.Y. Times, May 30, 2014, https://www.nytimes.com/2014/05/31/us/politics/amid-moves-on-shield-laws-a-journalist-tells-of-2011-subpoena-fight.html.
- Mike Levine, Somali-Americans Accused of Al-Qaeda Ties Indicted on Terror Charges, Sources Say, Fox News, July 2, 2009, http://www.foxnews.com/story/2009/07/02/somali-americans-accused-al-qaeda-ties-indicted-on-terror-charges-sources-say.html (please note that, at the time of publication, the date listed online appears to be wrong); Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Terror Charges Unsealed in Minneapolis Against Eight Men (Nov. 23, 2009), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/terror-charges-unsealed-minneapolis-against-eight-men-justice-department-announces.
- Matt Apuzzo, Fox News Reporter Fought Subpoena in Justice Dept. Leak, N.Y. Times, Oct. 9, 2014, https://www.nytimes.com/2014/10/10/us/fox-news-reporter-fought-subpoena-in-leak-inquiry.html.
- ⁵³¹ *Id.*
- United States v. Kiriakou, No. 12-cr-127 (LMB), 2012 WL 3263854, *1 (E.D. Va. Aug. 8, 2012).
- Scott Shane, Ex-Officer is First From C.I.A. to Face Prison for a Leak, N.Y. Times, Jan. 5, 2013, https://www.nytimes.com/2013/01/06/us/former-cia-officer-is-the-first-to-face-prison-for-a-classified-leak.html.
- Scott Shane, Former C.I.A. Officer Released After Nearly Two Years in Prison for Leak Case, N.Y. Times, Feb. 9, 2015, https://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/10/us/former-cia-officer-released-after-nearly-two-years-in-prison-for-leak-case.html.
- See Scott Shane, C.I.A. Agents Sense Shifting Support for Methods, N.Y. Times, Dec. 13, 2007, https://www.nytimes.com/2007/12/13/washington/13inquire.html.
- There have only been two successful uses of the Intelligence Identities Protection Act, Pub. L. No. 97-200, 96 Stat. 122 (1982): the prosecutions of Kiriakou and Sharon Scranage, a CIA secretary in Ghana. Interestingly, of the four senators who voted against the law, one was Daniel Patrick Moynihan (D-NY), who sought a pardon for Samuel Morison out of concern for the impact of "leaks" prosecutions on journalists, and another was future Vice President Joe Biden (D-DE), who wrote an op-ed expressing concern at the unintended consequences of the law on legitimate journalism. He posited a case where a journalist learns that a CIA agent has been turned by a foreign government, but who would face criminal prosecution for disclosing that fact. See Joseph R. Biden Jr., A Spy Law That Harms National Security, Christian Sci. Monitor, Apr. 6, 1982, https://www.csmonitor.com/1982/0406/040622.html.
- ⁵³⁷ *Kiriakou*, No. 12-cr-127 (LMB), 2012 WL 3263854, *2.
- Id.; See Scott Shane, Inside a 9/11 Mastermind's Interrogation, N.Y. Times, June 22, 2008, https://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/22/washington/22ksm.html.



- United States v. Kiriakou, No. 12-cr-127 (LMB), 2012 WL 3263854, *1 n.2 (E.D. Va. Aug. 8, 2012).
- Press Release, CIA, Message from the Director: Former Officer Convicted in Leak Case (Oct. 23, 2012), https://www.cia.gov/news-information/press-releases-statements/2012-press-releases-statements/statement-former-officer-convicted.html.
- Greg Miller and Sari Horwitz, *David Petraeus Resigns as CIA Director*, Wash. Post, Nov. 9, 2012, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/david-petraeus-resigns-as-cia-director/2012/11/09/636d204e-2aa8-11e2-bab2-eda299503684 story.html?utm term=.f00bfbce4b1f.
- Editorial, A Double-Standard on Government Secrets for David Petraeus, L.A. Times, Mar. 5, 2015, http://www.latimes.com/opinion/editorials/la-ed-0305-petraeus-20150305-story.html.
- See Plea Agreement, United States v. Sachtleben, No. 13-cr-0200-WTL-TAB (S.D. Ind. Sept. 23, 2013), http://www.emptywheel.net/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/130923-Leak-Plea-Agreement.pdf.
- Government's Combined Sentencing Memorandum, United States v. Sachtleben, Nos. 12-cr-0127 WTL-KPF, 13-cr-0200 WTL-TAB, 2013 WL 5744234 (S.D. Ind. Oct. 21, 2013) [hereinafter Combined Sentencing Memorandum].
- Letter from James Wyda, Office of the Federal Public Defender District of Maryland, to the Honorable A. David Copperthite, Ex. A (Oct. 20, 2016) (listing recent cases involving allegations of theft of government property and unauthorized retention of classified materials).
- See generally Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of the Application of the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press for Public Access to Certain Sealed Court Records, In re the Application of Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press for Access to Certain Sealed Court Records, Misc. Action No. 17-mc-8 (S.D. Ind. filed Jan. 31, 2017), https://www.rcfp.org/sites/default/files/litigation/inreapplic 2017-02-01 memorandum of points and authorities sa.pdf.
- See Combined Sentencing Memorandum, supra note 544.
- Kim Zetter, *Obama Administration Secretly Obtains Phone Records of AP Journalists*, Wired, May 13, 2013, https://www.wired.com/2013/05/doj-got-reporter-phone-records/ ("More than 100 AP journalists are known to routinely use some of the numbers that were covered under the subpoena.").
- See Letter from James M. Cole, Deputy Attorney Gen., Dep't of Justice, to Gary B. Pruitt, President and CEO, Associated Press (May 14, 2013), https://www.washingtonpost.com/apps/g/page/politics/justice-department-letter-to-the-associated-press/147/.
- Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Former Federal Contractor Petitions to Plead Guilty to Unlawfully Disclosing National Defense Information and Distributing Child Pornography (Sept. 23, 2013), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/former-federal-contractor-petitions-plead-guilty-unlawfullly-disclosing-national-defense.
- Letter from Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press and 51 news organizations to Eric Holder, Attorney Gen., and James Cole, Deputy Attorney Gen., Dep't of Justice (May 14, 2013), https://www.rcfp.org/sites/default/files/Media%20coalition%20letter%20re%20AP%20subpoena.pdf.
- ⁵⁵² Criminal Complaint, United States v. Hitselberger, No. 12-cr-231 (RC) (D.D.C. Aug. 6, 2012) [hereinafter *Hitselberger Complaint*], https://fas.org/sgp/jud/hitsel/complaint.pdf.
- Indictment, United States v. Hitselberger, No. 12-cr-231 (RC) (D.D.C. Oct. 26, 2012), https://fas.org/sgp/jud/hitsel/indict.pdf.
- ⁵⁵⁴ *Id.*
- United States v. Hitselberger, No. 12-cr-231 (RC) (D.D.C. July 18, 2014) (Judgment), https://fas.org/sgp/jud/hitsel/judgment.pdf.
- Josh Gerstein, Ex-Navy Linguist Pleads Guilty in Secret Documents Case, Politico, Apr. 25, 2014, https://www.politico.com/blogs/under-the-radar/2014/04/ex-navy-lingust-pleads-guilty-in-secret-documents-case-187436.



```
557
        Hitselberger Complaint, supra note 552, ¶ 9.
558
        Id. ¶ 12.
559
        Id.
560
        Id.
561
        Id. ¶ 13.
562
        Id. ¶ 14.
563
        Id. ¶ 15.
564
       Josh Gerstein, Judge Orders Release of Linguist for Navy, Politico, Dec. 19, 2012, https://www.politico.com/blogs/under-the-radar/2012/12/judge-orders-release-of-linguist-for-navy-
152440.
```

- Hitselberger Complaint, supra note 552, ¶ 17-19.
- Defendant's Reply to Government's Sentencing Memorandum, United States v. Hitselberger, No. 12-cr-231 (RC) (D.D.C. June 27, 2014), https://fas.org/sgp/jud/hitsel/062714-reply.pdf.
- ⁵⁶⁷ *Id.* at 2.
- Steven Mufson, Fed Chief Says Her Name is on List Given to House Panel in Leak Investigation, Wash. Post, May 4, 2015, https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/economy/fed-chief-says-her-name-is-on-list-given-to-house-panel-in-leak-investigation/2015/05/04/06a4973c-f2a8-11e4-b2f3-af5479e6bbdd story.html?utm term=.0a54de108385.
- Binyamin Appelbaum, *Richmond Fed President Resigns, Admitting He Violated Confidentiality*, N.Y. Times, Apr. 4, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/04/business/lacker-leak-fed.html.
- Mark DeCambre, What Is Medley Global Advisors and Why Were They Talking to the Fed's Lacker? MarketWatch, Apr. 4, 2017, https://www.marketwatch.com/story/so-what-is-medley-global-advisors-anyway-2017-04-04.
- Aruna Visnawatha et al., *Questions About Leak at Federal Reserve Escalate to Insider-Trading Probe*, Wall Street J., Oct. 1, 2015, https://www.wsj.com/articles/questions-about-leak-at-federal-reserve-escalate-to-insider-trading-probe-1443650303?ns=prod/accounts-wsj.
- Appelbaum, *supra* note 569.
- ⁵⁷³ *Id.*
- Visnawatha, *supra* note 571.
- Appelbaum, *supra* note 569.
- United States v. Snowden, No. 13-cr-265 (CMH) (E.D. Va. June 14, 2013) (Complaint), https://fas.org/sgp/jud/snowden/complaint.pdf.
- ⁵⁷⁷ 50 U.S.C.A. § 1861 (West 2018).
- Charlie Savage, *N.S.A. Halts Collection of Americans' Emails About Foreign Targets*, N.Y. Times, Apr. 28, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/28/us/politics/nsa-surveillance-terrorism-privacy.html; Charlie Savage, *N.S.A. Said to Search Content of Messages to and From U.S.*, N.Y. Times, Aug. 8, 2013, https://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/08/us/broader-sifting-of-data-abroad-is-seen-by-nsa.html?action=click&module=RelatedCoverage&pgtype=Article®ion=Footer.



- Bill of Information, United States v. Petraeus, No. 15-cr-47 (W.D.N.C. Mar. 3, 2015), https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/press-releases/attachments/2015/ 03/03/petraeus-bill-of-information.pdf.
- Plea Agreement, United States v. Petraeus, No. 15-cr-47, ¶ 8 (W.D.N.C. Mar. 3, 2015), https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/press-releases/attachments/2015/03/03/petraeus-plea-agreement.pdf.
- Michael S. Schmidt and Matt Apuzzo, *David Petraeus Is Sentenced to Probation in Leaks Investigation*, N.Y. Times, Apr. 23, 2015, https://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/24/us/david-petraeus-to-be-sentenced-in-leak-investigation.html. The judge imposed an additional \$60,000 fine on top of what prosecutors had asked for, citing the "seriousness of the offense." *Id.*
- See generally Adam Weinstein and Mark Follman, *The David Petraeus Scandal, Explained*, Mother Jones, Nov. 12, 2012, https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2012/11/david-petraeus-scandal-explained/.
- Factual Basis, United States v. Petraeus, No. 15-cr-47, ¶ 17 (W.D.N.C. Mar. 3, 2015), https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/press-releases/attachments/2015/03/03/petraeus-factual-basis.pdf.
- ⁵⁸⁴ *Id.* at 32.
- Adam Goldman, How David Petraeus Avoided Felony Charges and Possible Prison Time, Wash. Post, Jan. 25, 2016, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/how-david-petraeus-avoided-felony-charges-and-possible-prison-time/2016/01/25/d77628dc-bfab-11e5-83d4-42e3bceea902 story.html?utm term=.3bb21d2f9ea2.
- Charlie Savage, James Cartwright, Ex-General, Pleads Guilty in Leak Case, N.Y. Times, Oct. 17, 2016, https://www.nytimes.com/2016/10/18/us/marine-general-james-cartwright-leak-fbi.html.
- Charlie Savage, Obama Pardons James Cartwright, General Who Lied to F.B.I. in Leak Case, N.Y. Times, Jan. 17, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/17/us/politics/obama-pardons-james-cartwright-general-who-lied-to-fbi-in-leak-case.html.
- Charlie Savage, *Prosecutors Seek 2 Years in Jail for James Cartwright in Leak Case*, N.Y. Times, Jan. 10, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/10/us/politics/leak-iran-james-cartwright.html.
- Id.; see also United States Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing, United States v. Cartwright, No. 16-cr-188 (D.D.C. Jan. 10, 2017), https://fas.org/sgp/news/2017/01/cartwright-pros.pdf.
- Gordon Lubold, *Obama's Favorite General Stripped of His Security Clearance*, Foreign Policy, Sept. 24, 2013, https://foreignpolicy.com/2013/09/24/obamas-favorite-general-stripped-of-his-security-clearance/.
- See Patricia Hurtado, Vegas Gambler in Insider Case Echoes Trump Attacks on FBI Leaks, Bloomberg, May 29, 2018, https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-05-29/vegas-gambler-in-insider-case-echoes-trump-attacks-on-fbi-leaks.
- ⁵⁹² *Id.*
- Letter from Preet Bharara, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of N.Y., to the Honorable P. Kevin Castel (Dec. 16, 2016).
- Alison Frankel, For FBI Leakers, Insider Trading Case is Chilling Tale of Consequences, Reuters, Mar. 6, 2017, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-otc-leak/for-fbi-leakers-insider-trading-case-is-chilling-tale-of-consequences-idUSKBN16D2Q8.
- Patricia Hurtado, *Judge Raises Prospect of Hearing on FBI Leaks in Gambler Appeal*, Bloomberg, May 29, 2018, https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-05-29/judge-raises-prospect-of-hearing-on-fbi-leaks-in-gambler-appeal.



⁵⁹⁶ *Id.*

Katie Mettler, Judge Denies Bail for Accused NSA Leaker Reality Winner after Not Guilty Plea, Wash. Post, June 9, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2017/06/09/judges-denies-bail-for-accused-nsa-leaker-reality-winner-after-not-guilty-plea/?utm_term=.13eccf4a57e0; Memorandum in Support of Motion to Reopen Detention Hearing Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) and Impose Conditions of Release and Request for a Hearing, United States v. Winner, No. 17-cr-34 at 1 (S.D. Ga. Sept. 23, 2017), https://standwithreality.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/092317-096.1-defense-brief-bail-review.pdf.

Affidavit in Support of Application for Arrest Warrant, United States v. Winner, 17-mj-24 (BKE), ¶ 14 (S.D. Ga. June 5, 2017), https://www.justice.gov/opa/press-release/file/971331/download.

See United States v. Winner, No. 17-cr-034 (S.D. Ga. Apr. 27, 2018) (Order), https://www.leagle.com/decision/infdco20180509905; Josh Gerstein, Accused NSA Leaker Wants to Subpoena States, Cybersecurity Firms and Federal Agencies, Politico, Apr. 1, 2018, https://www.politico.com/blogs/under-the-radar/2018/04/01/accused-nsa-leaker-cybersecurity-494321.

Plea Agreement and Factual Stipulations, United States v. Albury, No. 18-cr-67 (WMW) (Apr. 17, 2018), https://fas.org/sgp/news/2018/04/albury-plea.pdf.

Charlie Savage and Mitch Smith, *Ex-Minneapolis F.B.I. Agent Is Sentenced to 4 Years in Leak Case*, N.Y. Times, Oct. 18, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/18/us/politics/terry-albury-fbi-sentencing.html.

Steve Karnowski, Former Minnesota FBI Agent Cites Racism in Explaining Leak, Associated Press, Apr. 17, 2018, https://www.apnews.com/fc4f77d73b6b423a83d811e4512ea819/
Former-Minnesota-FBI-agent-cites-racism-in-explaining-leak.

Application for a Search Warrant, In re The Office Located at the Minneapolis-St. Paul Int'l Airport in Room G-1141-07, No. 18-cr-67 (WMW), ¶ 17 (DTS) (D. Minn. Jan. 1, 2018), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/4426181-Minneapolis-FBI-Agent-Search-Warrant-Application.html#document/p2.

609 *Id.* 610 *Id.*

Zack Kopplin, How the FBI Uses the Freedom of Information Act to Track Down Whistleblowers, Wash. Post, Apr. 9, 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/posteverything/wp/2018/04/09/how-the-fbi-uses-the-freedom-of-information-act-to-track-down-whistleblowers/?utm term=.4a0d875de218.

Superseding Indictment, United States v. Schulte, 17-cr-548 (PAC) (S.D.N.Y. June 18, 2018), https://static01.nyt.com/files/2018/us/politics/cia-wikileaks-indictment.pdf?authuser=1.

Adam Goldman, New Charges in Huge C.I.A. Breach Known as Vault 7, N.Y. Times, June 18, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/18/us/politics/charges-cia-breach-vault-7.html.

Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Federal Government Contractor in Georgia Charged with Removing and Mailing Classified Materials to a News Outlet (June 5, 2017), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/federal-government-contractor-georgia-charged-removing-and-mailing-classified-materials-news.

See also Superseding Indictment, United States v. Winner, 17-cr-34 (S.D. Ga. Sept. 6, 2017), https://cryptome.org/2017/09/winner-072.pdf.

Charlie Savage and Alan Blinder, *Reality Winner, N.S.A. Contractor Accused in Leak, Pleads Guilty*, N.Y. Times, June 26, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/26/us/reality-winner-nsa-leak-guilty-plea.html.

Dustin Volz, *Ex-NSA Contractor Reality Winner Sentenced to 63 Months for Leak*, Wall Street J., Aug. 23, 2018, https://www.wsj.com/articles/ex-nsa-contractor-reality-winner-sentenced-to-63-months-for-leak-1535040176.



- Scott Shane and Adam Goldman, Suspect Identified in C.I.A. Leak Was Charged, but Not for the Breach, N.Y. Times, May 15, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/15/us/cia-hacking-tools-leak.html.
- Barbara Leonard, CIA Employee Charged With 'Vault 7' Data Leak, Courthouse News Serv., June 19, 2018, https://www.courthousenews.com/cia-employee-charged-with-vault-7-data-leak/.
- Indictment ¶¶ 31-35, United States v. Wolfe, No. 18-cr-170 (D.D.C. June 7, 2018) [hereinafter Wolfe Indictment], https://fas.org/sgp/news/2018/06/wolfe-indict.pdf.
- Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Former U.S. Senate Employee Pleads Guilty to False Statements Charge (Oct. 15, 2018), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/former-us-senate-employee-pleads-guilty-false-statements-charge.
- Spencer S. Hsu and Rachel Weiner, *Ex-Senate Intelligence Committee Aide Sentenced to Two Months in Leak Probe*, Wash. Post, Dec. 20, 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/public-safety/ex-senate-intelligence-committee-aide-sentenced-to-two-months-in-leak-probe/2018/12/20/86dffc20-ffd8-11e8-ad40-cdfd0e0dd65a story.html?utm term=.d53d0d550584.
- 619 *Id.*
- Adam Goldman et al., Ex-Senate Aide Charged in Leak Case Where Times Reporter's Records Were Seized, N.Y. Times, June 7, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/07/us/politics/times-reporter-phone-records-seized.html.
- 621 *Id.*
- Wolfe Indictment, supra note 616, ¶¶ 17-21.
- Government's Sentencing Memorandum and Motion for Upward Departure and/or Variance at 12, United States v. James A. Wolfe, No. 18-cr-170 (KBJ) (D.D.C. filed Dec. 11, 2018) [hereinafter Wolfe Sentencing Memorandum].
- Michael Grynbaum et al., How an Affair Between a Reporter and a Security Aide Has Rattled Washington Media, N.Y. Times, June 24, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/24/business/media/james-wolfe-ali-watkins-leaks-reporter.html.
- Scott Shane and Ron Nixon, Border Agent Who Questioned Reporter Is Investigated for Computer Misuse, N.Y. Times, July 12, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/12/business/jeffrey-rambo-james-wolfe-leaks.html.
- *Id.*; Harris, *supra* note 624.
- Letter from Senator Richard Burr et al. to the Hon. Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson (Nov. 30, 2018), https://www.politico.com/f/?id=00000167-a07e-df8f-adff-a97f77d10001.
- ⁶²⁹ *Id.*
- Wolfe Sentencing Memorandum, supra note 623, at 3.
- 631 *Id.*
- 632 *Id.*



```
633
        Id. at 3-4.
634
        See 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(2) (2016).
635
        See Devlin Barrett, FBI In Internal Feud Over Hillary Clinton Probe, Wall Street J., Oct. 30, 2016, https://www.wsj.com/articles/laptop-may-include-thousands-of-emails-linked-to-hillary-
clintons-private-server-1477854957.
636
        Id.
637
        Id.
638
        Id.
639
        Id.
        Oversight and Review Div., Office of the Inspector Gen., Dep't of Justice, A Report of Investigation of Certain Allegations Relating to Former FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe 1 (Feb.
2018) [hereinafter McCabe OIG Report], https://static01.nyt.com/files/2018/us/politics/20180413a-doj-oig-mccabe-report.pdf.
641
642
        Id.; Matt Zapotosky, Prosecutors Use Grand Jury as Investigation of Andrew McCabe Intensifies, Wash. Post, Sept. 6, 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-
security/prosecutors-use-grand-jury-as-investigation-of-andrew-mccabe-intensifies/2018/09/06/aa922b2e-b137-11e8-9a6a-565d92a3585d storv.html?noredirect=on&utm term=.
23f7a11fb403.
643
        McCabe OIG Report, supra note 640, at 1.
644
        Id. at 2.
645
        Id.
646
        Zapotosky, supra note 642.
        Id. (quoting McCabe's lawyer, Michael Bromwich, as saying, "[t]oday's leak about a procedural step taken more than a month ago—occurring in the midst of a disastrous week for the
President—is a sad and poorly veiled attempt to try to distract the American public.") (emphasis added).
        Complaint ¶¶ 1-4, United States v. Edwards, No. 18-mj-8861 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 16, 2018) [hereinafter Edwards Complaint], https://www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/press-
release/file/1101511/download.
        Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Senior FinCen Employee Arrested and Charged with Unlawfully Disclosing SARs (Oct. 17, 2018), https://www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/press-
release/file/1101511/download.
        Devlin Barrett, Matt Zapotosky, and Rachel Weiner, Senior Treasury Employee Charged with Leaking Documents Related to Russia Probe, Wash. Post, Oct. 17, 2018,
```

https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/senior-treasury-employee-charged-with-leaking-documents-related-to-russia-probe/2018/10/17/74f67faa-d226-11e8-83d6-

- Press Release, *supra* note 649.
- 652 *Edwards Complaint* ¶¶ 12c, 16-18.

291fcead2ab1 story.html?utm term=.fdd2e4002f13.

653 *Id.* ¶¶ 23-24.



- Daniel M. Kowalski, Ware Immigration, https://www.david-ware.com/About-Our-Attorneys/Daniel-M-Kowalski.shtml; Sam Tabachnik, "I Sleep Like a Baby": Colorado Lawyer Subpoenaed by ICE over Leaked Memo Refuses to Cooperate, Denver Post, Oct. 18, 2018, https://www.denverpost.com/2018/10/18/colorado-lawyer-subpoenaed-ice-leak-hunt/.

 Tabachnik, supra note 654. The July 11 memo was removed from the publication, but is available on other websites.

 Den't of Homeland Security Summons to Appear and/or Produce Records, ICE-OPR-EX-2019-00001 (Oct. 16, 2018), https://www.documents/supra/documents/5023914-Denied Post of Homeland Security Summons to Appear and/or Produce Records, ICE-OPR-EX-2019-00001 (Oct. 16, 2018), https://www.documents/supra/document
- Dep't of Homeland Security Summons to Appear and/or Produce Records, ICE-OPR-FX-2019-00001 (Oct. 16, 2018), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/5023914-DHS-summons-to-Daniel-Kowalski.html.
- See Tabachnik, supra note 654; Debra Cassens Weiss, Immigration Lawyer Says He Won't Comply With Subpoena for Source of Leaked ICE Memo, ABA Journal, Oct. 22, 2018, http://www.abajournal.com/news/article/immigration_lawyer_says_he_wont_comply_with_subpoena_for_source_of_leaked_i.
- As of September 27, 2017, the named agent, Michael T. Moreland, was the special agent in charge of the Office of Professional Responsibility for ICE's Southeast Region. *See* Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Federal Agent, Colombian Narcotics Kingpin and Colombian National Indicted for Conspiracy, Corruption and Obstruction (Sept. 25, 2017), https://perma.cc/V2B9-3TLE.

 Dep't. of Homeland Security Summons to Appear and/or Produce Records, *supra* note 656, at 4.
- See Tabachnik, supra note 654.
- 661 *Id.*
- 662 Complaint, United States v. Fry, No. 3-19-70176 (N.D. Cal. filed Feb. 4, 2019), https://perma.cc/368J-AHUT [hereinafter TIGTA Complaint].
- 663 *Id.* ¶ 25.
- See Mike McIntire et al., Firm Tied to Russian Oligarch Made Payments to Michael Cohen, N.Y. Times, May 8, 2018, https://perma.cc/PK47-7WTL; Philip Bump, How Money Flowed Through Michael Cohen's Multi-Purpose Shell Company, Wash. Post, May 8, 2018, https://perma.cc/4JZ8-UG66.
- 665 See Letter from Stephen M. Ryan, McDermott Will & Emery, to Judge Kimba Wood, S.D.N.Y. (May 9, 2018), https://perma.cc/7UPD-VN6X (citing to the Avenatti dossier).
- Ronan Farrow, Missing Files Motivated the Leak of Michael Cohen's Financial Records, New Yorker, May 16, 2018, https://perma.cc/4QK7-CKSQ.
- ⁶⁶⁷ *Id.*
- ⁶⁶⁸ *Id.*
- 669 *Id.*
- TIGTA Complaint, supra note 662, ¶ 10.
- 671 *Id.* ¶ 11.
- 672 *Id.* ¶ 12-13.
- 673 *Id.* ¶ 12.
- 674 *Id.* ¶ 17-18, 7 n.3.
- 675 *Id.* ¶ 20.
- ⁶⁷⁶ *Id.*
- 677 *Id.* ¶ 22.
- 678 *Id.* ¶ 24.



```
679
        Id.
680
        Id.
681
        Yvonne Juris, IRS Worker Accused in Michael Cohen Leak Pleads Not Guilty, Law360, Mar. 14, 2019, https://www.law360.com/tax-authority/articles/1138945/irs-worker-accused-in-
michael-cohen-leak-pleads-not-guilty.
        Indictment at 1, United States v. Hale, No. 1:19-CR-59 (E.D. Va. filed Mar. 7, 2019), https://perma.cc/Q5P3-UDCL [hereinafter Hale Indictment].
683
        Id.; Press Release, Dep't of Justice, Former Intelligence Analyst Charged with Disclosing Classified Information (May 9, 2019), https://perma.cc/FG9U-AX4S.
684
        Hale Indictment, supra note 682, at 1-2.
685
        Id. at 2.
686
        Id.
687
        Id. at 5.
688
689
        Adam Goldman, Ex-Intelligence Analyst Charged with Leaking Information to a Reporter, N.Y. Times, May 9, 2019, https://perma.cc/S9BL-G9AD.
690
        The Drone Papers, The Intercept, https://perma.cc/W3HQ-FZXH.
691
        Jeremy Scahill et al., The Assassination Complex (2017).
692
        Hale Indictment, supra note 682, at 11.
693
        Id. at 7-8.
694
        Id. at 8.
695
        Id.
696
        Id. at 9-10.
697
        Id. at 9, 11.
698
        Id. at 11.
699
        Id. at 15.
700
        Id. at 9-10, 15.
701
        See Dan Noyes, ABC7 Obtains San Francisco Police Report on Death of Public Defender Jeff Adachi, ABC 7, Feb. 24, 2019, https://perma.cc/GS5K-X7L3; Evan Sernoffsky, SF Police Officials
Investigating Leaked Report on Death of Jeff Adachi, S.F. Chronicle, Feb. 25, 2019, https://perma.cc/29HY-4UD5.
        Jaxon Van Derbeken et al., Authorities Investigating Public Defender Jeff Adachi's Death as Suspicious, NBC Bay Area, Feb. 22, 2019, https://perma.cc/9K9P-HLNZ.
703
        Id.
        Decl. of Brian Carmody in Support of Ex Parte Application of Non-Party Journalist Bryan Carmody for Order Quashing Search Warrants and for Return of Improperly Seized
Newsgathering Materials, or, in the Alternative, to Shorten Time ¶ 10, Misc. Case No. (Ca. Super. Ct., Cty. of S.F., filed May 17, 2019) [hereinafter Carmody Declaration].
        Id. ¶ 11.
```



```
706
        Noyes, supra note 701.
707
        Carmody Declaration, supra note 704, ¶ 12.
708
        Id.
709
        Id.
710
        Id.
711
        Id. ¶ 13.
712
        Id.
713
        Id.
714
        Id. ¶ 15.
715
        Id.
716
        Id. ¶¶ 13, 15.
717
        Id. Ex. B.
718
        Id. ¶ 16.
719
        Id. ¶ 14.
720
        Id.
721
        Id.; Eli Rosenberg, A Reporter Declined to Reveal His Source. Then Police Showed Up at His Front Door with Guns, Wash. Post, May 11, 2019, https://perma.cc/HVA3-HBBB.
722
        Carmody Declaration, supra note 704, ¶ 14.
723
        Id.
724
        Id.
725
        Notice of Motion and Motion of Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press and 59 Media Organizations for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Letter in Support of Ex Parte Application of
```

Notice of Motion and Motion of Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press and 59 Media Organizations for Leave to File *Amicus Curiae* Letter in Support of Ex Parte Application of Non-Party Journalist Bryan Carmody for Order Quashing Search Warrant and for Return of Improperly Seized Newsgathering Materials, or, in the Alternative, to Shorten Time, Search Warrant Nos. SW43684 and SW43687 (Cal. Sup. Ct., Cty. of San Francisco filed on May 20, 2019).

Notice of Motion and Motion by Media Coalition to Unseal Arrest and Search Warrant Records; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support; Declaration of David Snyder; Declaration of Duffy Carolan, Ex. A Thereto, Misc. Case. Nos. 2516292-(09), 2516293-(10).