

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA PLANNING

COMMISSION,

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Appellant,

DECEMBER TERM, 2023

: No. 0944

FAYE ANDERSON,

v.

LEAD CASE

Appellee.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA MAYOR'S

OFFICE,

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Appellant,

DECEMBER TERM, 2023

: No. 2522

FAYE ANDERSON,

CONSOLIDATED

Appellee.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,

v.

Appellant,

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

v.

JANUARY TERM, 2024

No. 2443

FAYE ANDERSON,

Appellee.

CONSOLIDATED

STIPULATION TO REMAND CONSOLIDATED CASES TO THE OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

Appellants, the City of Philadelphia Planning Commission, the City of Philadelphia Mayor's Office, and the City of Philadelphia (collectively "the City"), and Appellee, Faye Anderson, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

Case ID: 231200944 Control No.: 24110749 1. The City appealed three Right to Know Law final determinations from the Office

of Open Records ("OOR").

2. Those cases are now consolidated before the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas

as City of Philadelphia Planning Commission v. Anderson, Lead Case No. 2023-

0944.

3. Pursuant to an agreed-upon schedule, the Court on June 17, 2024 issued an order

that required a rolling production of documents, followed by an exemption log to

be provided by August 30, 2024.

4. After seeking an extension, the City provided the exemption log on September 13,

2024. The exemption log contained 621 Excel Sheet lines of claimed exemptions.

5. The parties have met and conferred twice, once on October 4, 2024 and a second

time on October 28, 2024, to determine whether there was any agreement on with

regard to the privileges, exemptions, redactions, and objections at issue.

6. The parties have agreed that 184 of the claimed exemptions are exempt because

they contain personal email address or phone numbers.

7. Sorting out the personal email address or phone number documents, the number of

relevant documents still in dispute is 437.

8. Given the large number and size of documents that must be reviewed and litigated,

the parties agree that judicial economy would be best served by remanding the

consolidated cases to the OOR for the parties to establish an agreed-upon schedule

that would include expanded deadlines for filings.

9. This Court has recently found it appropriate to remand a case to the OOR and the

parties submit that the same result is merited here to save time for the parties and

2 of 4

the court and to efficiently resolve issues. See City of Philadelphia Law Department

v. Matthew Haverstick, December Term 2023, Case ID. 231203248. Remand Order,

September 18, 2024.

10. The parties agree that upon remand to the OOR, the OOR will allow for and

accommodate the participation of any third parties who may wish to intervene in

the proceedings. The parties agree that if the OOR determines that third-party

intervenors necessitate additional fact-finding, the OOR may choose to conduct

hearings, take additional evidence, or review documents in camera.

11. The parties agree that upon remand to the OOR, the OOR will be provided with the

exemption log (omitting the redactions personal emails and phone numbers),

affidavits regarding any withheld or redacted records, and all documents provided

to Requester during the rolling production schedule. The City will provide exempt

and/or privileged records to the OOR in order for the OOR to conduct any in

camera review of records that the Appeals Officer may deem necessary

12. The OOR is directed to review the exemption log, affidavits, documents, withheld

and redacted in camera as deemed necessary by the Appeals Officer, and the parties

arguments and submissions and issue a Final Determination by a deadline mutually

agreed upon by the parties, but no later than May 31, 2024.

3 of 4

Case ID: 231200944 Control No.: 24110749

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA LAW DEPARTMENT

By: Amy F. Sata

MARGOT B. SMITH

Deputy City Solicitor – Right to Know Compliance & Legislation Group City of Philadelphia Law Department One Parkway Building, 17th Floor 1515 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19102

REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

By:

PAULA KNUDSEN BURKE

Local Legal Initiative Attorney (Pennsylvania) 4000 Crums Mill Rd., Ste. 101

Harrisburg, PA 17112

ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of ______, 2024, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the above stipulation by the parties is hereby APPROVED. It is hereby ORDERED that the consolidated cases shall be remanded to the Office of Open Records to conduct further proceedings as laid out in the parties' stipulation. This Court relinquishes jurisdiction of the instant appeals.

BY THE COUR	T:	
-------------	-----------	--

J.