1	Joshua Koltun (Bar No. 173040)		
2	Attorney joshua@koltunattorney.com		
3	One Sansome Street		
4	Suite 3500, No. 500 San Francisco, California 94104		
	Telephone: 415.680.3410		
5	Facsimile: 866.462.5959		
6	Bruce D. Brown (appearing pro hac vice)		
7	bbrown@rcfp.org Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press		
8	1101 Wilson Blvd., Suite 1100		
9	Arlington, Virginia 22209 Telephone: 703.807.2100		
10	Facsimile: 703.807.2109		
11	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae		
12	REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS		
13			
14	Additional counsel for amici in Appendix B		
15			
16	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
17			
18			
19		DIVISION	
	CAROLYN JEWEL, et al.,	Case No: 08-CV-4373-JSW	
20	Plaintiffs,	BRIEF AMICI CURIAE OF REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR	
21	v.	FREEDOM OF THE PRESS AND 18	
22		OTHER NEWS ORGANIZATIONS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'	
23	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,	MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
24	Defendants.		
25		Date: October 31, 2014 Time: 9:00 a.m.	
26		Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
		Courtroom 5 – 2nd Floor	
27			
28	BRIEF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPP	OF PS' MOT FOR PARTIAL SI	
		1110 1, 1 011 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS 1 The Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press is an unincorporated association of reporters and editors with no parent corporation and no stock. 3 American Society of News Editors is a private, non-stock corporation that has no parent. 4 The Committee to Protect Journalists is an independent, nonprofit, nongovernmental 5 organization that promotes press freedom worldwide, that has no parent company and does not sell stock Courthouse News Service is a privately held corporation with no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation holds more than 10 percent of its stock. 8 First Amendment Coalition is a nonprofit organization with no parent company. It issues no stock and does not own any of the party's or amicus' stock. 10 First Look Media, Inc. is a non-profit non-stock corporation organized under the laws of Delaware. No publicly-held corporation holds an interest of 10% or more in First Look Media. 11 Inc. 12 Gannett Co., Inc. is a publicly traded company and has no affiliates or subsidiaries that are 13 publicly owned. No publicly held company holds 10% or more of its stock. 14 Investigative Reporters & Editors (IRE) is an independent, 501c3 nonprofit organization that 15 provides resources and training for journalists. IRE has no parent company and does not sell stock. 16 The Investigative Reporting Workshop is a privately funded, nonprofit news organization 17 affiliated with the American University School of Communication in Washington. It issues no stock. 18 19 The McClatchy Company is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol MNI. Contrarius Investment Management Limited owns 10% or more of the common 20 stock of The McClatchy Company. 21 The Media Consortium is a project of the Foundation for National Progress, a 501c3 nonprofit based in the United States. 22. MediaNews Group, Inc. is a privately held company. No publicly-held company owns ten percent or more of its equity interests. 24 The National Press Club is a not-for-profit corporation that has no parent company and issues no 25 stock. 26 National Public Radio, Inc. is a privately supported, not-for-profit membership organization that 27 has no parent company and issues no stock. 28

1	National Press Photographers Association is a 501(c)(6) nonprofit organization with no parent company. It issues no stock and does not own any of the party's or amicus' stock.
2	Online News Association is a not-for-profit organization. It has no parent corporation, and no
3	publicly traded corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
4	The Seattle Times Company: The McClatchy Company owns 49.5% of the voting common stock
5	and 70.6% of the nonvoting common stock of The Seattle Times Company.
6	The Tully Center for Free Speech is a subsidiary of Syracuse University.
7	WP Company LLC d/b/a The Washington Post is a wholly owned subsidiary of Nash Holdings
8	LLC. Nash Holdings LLC is privately held and does not have any outstanding securities in the hands of the public.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS i 3 4 5 6 THE INTEGRITY OF A CONFIDENTIAL REPORTER-SOURCE I. RELATIONSHIP IS CRITICAL TO PRODUCING GOOD 7 JOURNALISM, AND MASS TELEPHONE CALL TRACKING 8 COMPROMISES THAT RELATIONSHIP TO THE DETRIMENT OF 9 There is a long history of journalists breaking significant stories by A. 10 relying on information from confidential sources.4 11 Recent developments highlight the link between mass call tracking B. 12 and a chill on reporter-source communications......5 13 Mass call tracking negates safeguards the government has pledged C. 14 15 THE MASS TELEPHONE CALL TRACKING PROGRAM IS AN II. INHERENTLY OVERBROAD SYSTEM OF MONITORING AND 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 iii BRIEF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPP OF PS' MOT. FOR PARTIAL SJ

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

2	CASES	
3	ACLU v. Clapper, No. 13 Civ. 3994, Slip Op. 1 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 27, 2013)	
4 5	Application for Search Warrant for E-mail Account [redacted]@gmail.com, No. 1:10-mj-00291-AK (D.D.C., Affidavit in support of application for search warrant, unsealed Nov. 7, 2011)	
6	Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S. 665 (1972)	
7	Ex parte Jackson , 96 U.S. 727 (1877)	
8	Katz v. United States, 389 U.S. 347 (1967)	
9	Klayman v. Obama, No. 13-0851, Slip Op. 1 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 16, 2013)	
10	United States v. Sterling, 818 F. Supp. 2d 945 (E.D. Va. 2011)	
11	Warshak v. United States, 490 F.3d 455 (6 th Cir. 2007), vacated on other grounds, 532 F.3d 521 (6 th Cir. 2008)	
12	STATUTES	
13	Pen Register Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3121-3127 (2006)	
14 15	Policy With Regard to the Issuance of Subpoenas to Members of the News Media, 28 C.F.R. § 50.10(c)(4)(iii)(A) (2014)	
16	USA PATRIOT Act, Public Law 107-56, 115 Stat. 272 (2001)	
17	Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2518 (2006)	
18	OTHER AUTHORITIES	
19	Administration White Paper: Bulk Collection of Telephony Metadata Under Section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act (Aug. 9, 2013)	
20	Bernstein, Carl, PBS Frontline Interview	
21	Byers, Dylan, Reporters Say There's a Chill in the Air, POLITICO, June 8, 20137	
22	Department of Justice, Report on Review of News Media Policies, July 12, 2013	
2324	Downie Jr., Leonard, <i>The Obama Administration and the Press: Leak investigations and surveillance in post-9/11 America</i> , Comm. To Protect Journalists, Oct. 10, 2013	
25	Editorial, A Journalist 'Co-Conspirator', Wall St. J., May 20, 2013	
26	Editorial, Another Chilling Leak Investigation, N.Y. Times, May 21, 2013	
27	Face the Nation Transcripts, June 2, 2013, CBS News	
28	iv	

Case4:08-cv-04373-JSW Document268-1 Filed07/31/14 Page6 of 27

1	Gellman, Barton, NSA Broke Privacy Rules Thousands of Times Per Year, Audit Finds, Wash. Post, Aug. 15, 2013	
2	Gerstein, Josh, NSA broke rules on call-tracking program, court filings show, Politico, Sept. 10, 2013	
3 4	Kerr, Orin S., Applying the Fourth Amendment to the Internet: A General Approach,	
	62 Stan. L. Rev. 1005, 1018-22 (2010)	
5 6	Komperda, Jack, White House, lawmakers push for federal reporter shield law in wake of AP phone records seizure, The Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, May 15, 2013	
7	Kravets, David, Reporters Challenge Bonds' Leak Subpoena, Associated Press, May 31, 2006	
8	Leonnig, Carol D., Court: Ability to police U.S. spying program limited, Wash. Post, Aug. 15, 2013	
10	Liberty and Security in a Changing World: Report and Recommendations of The	
11	President's Review Group on Intelligence and Communications Technologies (Dec. 12, 2013)	
12	Mathew J. Tokson, The Content/Envelope Distinction in Internet Law, 50 WM. &	
13	MARY L. REV. 2105 (2009)	
14	Nicholas, Peter, <i>Obama's Other Mission: Soothing Allies on Espionage</i> , Wall St. Journal, Sept. 6 2013	
15	Pearlstine, Norman, PBS Frontline Interview	
16	Priest, Dana, CIA Holds Terror Suspects in Secret Prisons, Wash. Post, Nov. 2, 2005	
17	Thrue's and erri Electics eversight Board, Report on the Telephone Records	
18	Program Conducted under Section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act and on the Operations of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, Jan. 23, 2014	
19	Redden, Molly, Is the 'Chilling Effect' Real?, The New Republic, May 15, 2013	
20	Reilly, Ryan J., NYT Reporter Seeks to Quash Subpoena; Says Gov't Tried to	
21	Intimidate Him, Talking Points Memo TPMMuckraker Blog, June 22, 2011	
22	Remarks by the President on Review of Signals Intelligence, Jan. 17, 2014	
23	Risen, James & Eric Lichtblau, Bush Lets U.S. Spy on Callers Without Courts, N.Y. Times, Dec. 16, 2005	
24	Risen, James, Lawmakers Question White House Account of an Internet Surveillance Program, N.Y. Times, July 3, 2013	
25	Robinson, Eugene, <i>Obama Administration Mistakes Journalism for Espionage</i> , Wash.	
26	Post, May 20, 2013	
27		
28	V	

Case4:08-cv-04373-JSW Document268-1 Filed07/31/14 Page7 of 27

1	Royce-Bartlett, Lindy, <i>Leak Probe Has Chilled Sources, AP Exec Says</i> , CNN, June 19, 2013	6
2	Savage, Charlie, et al., <i>U.S. Confirms That It Gathers Online Data Overseas</i> , N.Y. Times, June 6, 2013	12
3 4	Schuman, Jamie, <i>The Shadows of the Spooks</i> , The News Media and the Law, Fall 2013	
5	Sensenbrenner, James, <i>This Abuse of the Patriot Act Must End</i> , The Guardian, June 9,	
6	2013	12
7	Shane, Scott, David Johnston, James Risen, Secret U.S. Endorsement of Severe Interrogations, N.Y. Times, Oct. 4, 2007	5
8	Sherman, Mark, Gov't Obtains Wide AP Phone Records in Probe, Associated Press, May 13, 2013	5
9	Transcript of President Obama's Press Conference (Aug. 9, 2013)	11
10 11	von Drehle, David, FBI's No. 2 Was 'Deep Throat': Mark Felt Ends 30-Year Mystery of The Post's Watergate Source, Wash. Post, June 1, 2005	
12	Walt, Vivienne, European Officials Infuriated by Alleged NSA Spying on Friendly	
13	Diplomats, Time, June 30 2013	
14	Zalesin, Jeff, AP Chief Points to Chilling Effect After Justice Investigation, The Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, June 19, 2013	6
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	vi	

DESCRIPTION OF AMICI CURIAE

The Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press is a voluntary, unincorporated association of reporters and editors that works to defend the First Amendment rights and freedom of information interests of the news media. The Reporters Committee has provided representation, guidance and research in First Amendment and Freedom of Information Act litigation since 1970.

Additional *amici* are American Society of News Editors, the Committee to Protect Journalists, Courthouse News Service, First Amendment Coalition, First Look Media, Gannett Co., Inc., Investigative Reporters and Editors, Investigative Reporting Workshop at American University, The McClatchy Company, Media Consortium, MediaNews Group, Inc., d/b/a Digital First Media, The National Press Club, National Press Photographers Association, National Public Radio, Inc., Online News Association, The Seattle Times Company, Tully Center for Free Speech, and The Washington Post. They are more fully described in Appendix A.

INTRODUCTION

The Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, an executive branch body that advises President Obama, concluded in January that NSA surveillance programs deter confidential sources from speaking to journalists: "The Board believes that such a shift in behavior is entirely predictable and rational. Although we cannot quantify the full extent of the chilling effect, we believe that these results – among them greater hindrances to political activism and a less robust press – are real and will be detrimental to the nation." Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, *Report on the Telephone Records Program Conducted under Section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act and on the Operations of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court*, Jan. 23, 2014, at 164, http://bit.ly/ld01fII.

Plaintiffs move for partial summary judgment on the grounds that the government's collections of the content of their Internet communications violates the Fourth Amendment.

4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 |

Amici write separately to underscore the corrosive effect that this surveillance has on the ability of the media to report on matters of public interest. Widespread seizures of Internet communications are especially dangerous for reporters, who rely on confidentiality when developing stories about sensitive topics.

Indeed, many significant pieces of American journalism would not have been written without confidential sources. When the threat of dragnet surveillance reaches these sources, quality reporting is diminished. Since the public has become aware of National Security Agency surveillance programs, many reporters at major news outlets have said that these efforts have made sources less willing to talk with them, even about matters not related to national security.

The surveillance programs' indiscriminate deployment of government power demand exacting scrutiny from this Court. The government has shown a willingness to negotiate with the media in individual cases regarding the investigation of leaks and the use of subpoena power against journalists. Policy With Regard to the Issuance of Subpoenas to Members of the News Media, 28 C.F.R. § 50.10(c)(4)(iii)(A) (2014) ("The government should have pursued negotiations with the affected member of the news media, unless the Attorney General determines that, for compelling reasons, such negotiations would pose a clear and substantial threat to the integrity of the investigation, risk grave harm to national security, or present an imminent risk of death or serious bodily harm."). This cooperation is rendered pointless when cast against the backdrop of dragnet surveillance. The government's efforts to police its surveillance have proven insufficient, and accordingly, *amici* turn to this Court to vindicate the well-established rights of the press and public.

I.

THE INTEGRITY OF A CONFIDENTIAL REPORTER-SOURCE RELATIONSHIP IS CRITICAL TO PRODUCING GOOD JOURNALISM, AND MASS SURVEILLANCE COMPROMISES THAT RELATIONSHIP TO THE DETRIMENT OF THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

Wholesale government monitoring of Internet users leaves them uncertain of the privacy of their communications and thus unwilling to exchange potentially sensitive information. *Amici* are concerned that widespread surveillance is infringing on newsgathering rights and harming journalism of all types.

In a December 2013 opinion that found that the phone metadata collection program violates the Fourth Amendment, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia explained that "metadata from each person's phone 'reflects a wealth of detail about her familial, political, professional, religious, and sexual associations." *Klayman v. Obama*, No. 13-0851, Slip Op. 1, 54 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 16, 2013) (internal quotation omitted). *But see ACLU v. Clapper*, No. 13 Civ. 3994, Slip Op. 1 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 27, 2013).

As Justice Potter Stewart acknowledged, the spectre of government intrusion into private details frightens sources into silence: "When neither the reporter nor his source can rely on the shield of confidentiality against unrestrained use of [government] power, valuable information will not be published and the public dialogue will inevitably be impoverished." *Branzburg v. Hayes*, 408 U.S. 665, 732 (1972) (Stewart, J., dissenting). Although Justice Stewart was referring to the chilling effect of government subpoenas on the media-source relationship, dragnet surviellance causes the same problem. And unlike grand jury subpoenas to the press, which come with notice and an opportunity to quash, decisions about what communications to review in a collection program are made in secret, leaving both reporter and source vulnerable to surveillance at every turn, notwithstanding any promise of confidentiality. The result is self-censorship from sources and harm to the public discourse.

2 3 4

A. There is a long history of journalists breaking significant stories by relying on information from confidential sources.

Confidentiality has been essential to the news media's constitutionally protected duty of providing information to the public about such matters as political corruption, national security and foreign affairs. Many history-altering news stories would not have been reported without confidential communications between journalists and sources.

Anonymous sources were the foundation of the more than 150 articles *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein wrote following the Watergate break-in. *See* David von Drehle, *FBI's No. 2 Was 'Deep Throat': Mark Felt Ends 30-Year Mystery of The Post's Watergate Source*, Wash. Post, June 1, 2005, http://wapo.st/JLIYvZ. Bernstein has said, "Almost all of the articles I co-authored with Mr. Woodward on Watergate could not have been reported or published without the assistance of our confidential sources and without the ability to grant them anonymity, including the individual known as Deep Throat." David Kravets, *Reporters Challenge Bonds' Leak Subpoena*, Associated Press, May 31, 2006, http://wapo.st/1ff0UNS.

Other major stories have similarly relied on confidential sources. *The New York Times* used them to break the story that, long before the scope of the current surveillance came to light, the NSA had an illegal wiretapping program that monitored phone calls and e-mail messages involving suspected terrorist operatives without the approval of federal courts. *See* James Risen & Eric Lichtblau, *Bush Lets U.S. Spy on Callers Without Courts*, N.Y. Times, Dec. 16, 2005, at A1, http://nyti.ms/neIMIB.¹ The *Times* also used confidential sources to report on the harsh

In my ongoing reporting and news gathering, numerous sources of confidential information have told me that they are comfortable speaking to me in confidence specifically because I have shown that I will honor my word and maintain their

¹ Risen has testified to the necessity of anonymous sources:

interrogations that terrorism suspects in U.S. custody have faced. *See, e.g.*, Scott Shane, David Johnston, James Risen, *Secret U.S. Endorsement of Severe Interrogations*, N.Y. Times, Oct. 4, 2007, at A1, http://nyti.ms/1dkyMgF. *The Washington Post* relied on confidential government sources, among others, to break the story of the Central Intelligence Agency's use of "black sites," a network of secret prisons for terrorism suspects. *See* Dana Priest, *CIA Holds Terror Suspects in Secret Prisons*, Wash. Post, Nov. 2, 2005, http://wapo.st/Ud8UD.

These are just a few examples of the important contributions to public knowledge that come from anonymous sources speaking to journalists. The mass call and email tracking at issue here compromises the ability of the news media to cultivate these sources.

B. Recent developments highlight the link between NSA surveillance and a chill on reporter-source communications.

The response to the Justice Department's seizure of records from 20 Associated Press telephone lines used by more than 100 reporters demonstrates the climate of fear that develops when government investigation tactics are brought to bear directly on the news media. *See* Mark Sherman, *Gov't Obtains Wide AP Phone Records in Probe*, Associated Press, May 13, 2013, http://bit.ly/11zhUOg.

After learning about the secret subpoenas in May 2013, AP President and CEO Gary

Pruitt said in a speech at the National Press Club that the seizure has made sources less willing to talk to his reporters: "Some of our longtime trusted sources have become nervous and anxious

confidence even in the face of Government efforts to force me to reveal their identities or information. The fact that I have not previously revealed my sources has allowed me to gain access to newsworthy information that I could not otherwise get.

See First Motion to Quash Subpoena, Attachment #2, Affidavit of James Risen at ¶ 64, United States v. Sterling, 818 F. Supp. 2d 945 (E.D. Va. 2011) (No. 10-485); see also Ryan J. Reilly, NYT Reporter Seeks to Quash Subpoena; Says Gov't Tried to Intimidate Him, Talking Points Memo TPMMuckraker Blog, June 22, 2011, http://bit.ly/14N87v.

about talking to us, even on stories that aren't about national security." Jeff Zalesin, *AP Chief Points to Chilling Effect After Justice Investigation*, The Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, June 19, 2013, http://rcfp.org/x?CSPl. The chilling effect, Pruitt said, is not limited to the AP: "Journalists at other news organizations have personally told me it has intimidated sources from speaking to them." *Id.* He continued, "In some cases, government employees that we once checked in with regularly will no longer speak to us by phone and some are reluctant to meet in person." *See* Lindy Royce-Bartlett, *Leak Probe Has Chilled Sources*, *AP Exec Says*, CNN, June 19, 2013, http://bit.ly/11NGbOH.

Also in 2013, the public learned that the FBI identified Fox News journalist James Rosen as a potential "aider and abettor and/or co-conspirator" in a search warrant application so that it could obtain his e-mails relating to the criminal investigation of a source. *See Application for Search Warrant for E-mail Account [redacted]@gmail.com*, No. 1:10-mj-00291-AK (D.D.C., Affidavit in support of application for search warrant, unsealed Nov. 7, 2011).

Many commentators have explored the connection between the Rosen case and an overall chill on the willingness of sources to come forward. *See* Editorial, *Another Chilling Leak Investigation*, N.Y. Times, May 21, 2013, http://nyti.ms/14vjDl5. ("With the decision to label a Fox News television reporter a possible 'co-conspirator' in a criminal investigation of a news leak, the Obama administration has moved beyond protecting government secrets to threatening fundamental freedoms of the press to gather news.") *See also* Eugene Robinson, *Obama Administration Mistakes Journalism for Espionage*, Wash. Post, May 20, 2013, http://bit.ly/13RvZrc. ("The Obama administration has no business rummaging through journalists' phone records, perusing their emails and tracking their movements in an attempt to keep them from gathering news. This heavy-handed business isn't chilling, it's just plain cold.")

20 21

19

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

Together, the Rosen and AP cases show the danger to the flow of information to the
public when the news media is subject to invasive investigations that ignore the First
Amendment rights at stake. See Editorial, A Journalist 'Co-Conspirator', Wall St. J., May 20,
2013, http://on.wsj.com/10K5nV7. ("With the Fox News search following the AP subpoenas,
we now have evidence of a pattern of anti-media behavior. The suspicion has to be that maybe
these 'leak' investigations are less about deterring leakers and more about intimidating the
oress.")

Cases such as the AP subpoena and the Fox News search warrant cause serious harms to newsgathering, but unchecked surveillance has an equal or perhaps greater chilling effect. Sources now have very good reason to believe that their communications with journalists will always be "on file" with the government and that officials could disregard promised safeguards.

When such widespread surveillance is standard practice, source intimidation is inevitable, leading to a less robust media to serve the public. The New York Times' then-executive editor Jill Abramson explained on CBS's Face the Nation, "The reporters who work for the Times in Washington have told me that many of their sources are petrified to even return calls at this point." Face the Nation Transcripts, June 2, 2013, CBS News, http://cbsn.ws/1aGmeyd. See also Dylan Byers, Reporters Say There's a Chill in the Air, Politico, June 8, 2013, http://politi.co/11znRrJ. ("Reporters on the national security beat say it's not the fear of being prosecuted by the DOJ that worries them — it's the frightened silence of past trusted sources that could undermine . . . investigative journalism[.] Some formerly forthcoming sources have grown reluctant to return phone calls, even on unclassified matters, and, when they do talk, prefer inperson conversations that leave no phone logs, no emails, and no records of entering and leaving buildings[.]")

In a report that former Washington Post executive editor Leonard Downie Jr. wrote for
the Committee to Protect Journalists, numerous journalists said surveillance programs and leaks
prosecutions deter sources from speaking to them. The Obama Administration and the Press:
Leak investigations and surveillance in post-9/11 America, Comm. To Protect Journalists, Oct.
10, 2013, http://bit.ly/1c3Cnfg. Associated Press senior managing editor Michael Oreskes said:
"There's no question that sources are looking over their shoulders. Sources are more jittery and
more standoffish, not just in national security reporting. A lot of skittishness is at the more
routine level." Id. Washington Post national security reporter Rajiv Chandrasekaran said: "One
of the most pernicious effects is the chilling effect created across government on matters that are
less sensitive but certainly in the public interest as a check on government and elected officials."
Id.

Even sources whose work is removed from the national security realm – including many federal employees, corporate directors and leaders of non-governmental organizations involved in publicly controversial topics – have become less willing to talk. Jamie Schuman, The Shadows of the Spooks, The News Media and the Law, Fall 2013, at 11, http://bit.ly/1f16OaS. See also Molly Redden, Is the 'Chilling Effect' Real?, The New Republic, May 15, 2013, http://on.tnr.com/18Lgq3D. ("Officials are reluctant to get anywhere close to the line...[I]t actually has been much harder to get people to talk about anything, even in a sensitive-butunclassified area."). Discussing the NSA surveillance programs, New York Times investigative reporter and three-time Pulitzer Prize winner David Barstow said, "I have absolutely no doubt whatsoever that stories have not gotten done because of this." Jamie Schuman, The Shadows of the Spooks at 9.

22.

C. The surveillance methods at issue here are especially damaging to journalism because they target content as well as metadata.

While the metadata at issue in *First Unitarian Church of Los Angeles v. National*Security Agency puts the identity of sources at risk, the collection of content – which also is at issue here – is potentially more damaging because it exposes the private words and thoughts that lie at the heart of newsgathering and form the basis of news pieces.

Legislators and courts have long realized this distinction by granting more protection to content of telephone and email messages than to metadata. The section of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act known as the Wiretap Act institutes enhanced requirements to obtain the content of telephone and electronic communications. 18 U.S.C. § 2518 (2006). See also The Content/Envelope Distinction in Internet Law at 2113. Courts only issue these warrants for select crimes, and evidence obtained in violation of the act can be excluded from trial. 18 U.S.C. § 2516, 2518 (2006). In contrast, the Pen Register Act, which sets rules for the collection of metadata, does not even require a reasonable expection of privacy for searches and information obtained is not subject to the exclusionary rule. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3121-3127 (2006). See also The Content/Envelope Distinction in Internet Law, 50 WM. & MARY L. REV. at 2113. While amici believe that indiscriminate collection of metadata harms reporter-source relationships, the additional protections that legislators have given to the substantive content of communications shows how pernicious to the free flow of information the seizures at issue in this case are.

As early as 1878, the Supreme Court recognized an elevated privacy right in the content of communications. *See Ex parte Jackson*, 96 U.S. 727 (1877) (finding searches of contents of letters unreasonable in contrast to merely looking at the writings on the outside of envelopes). Following *Ex parte Jackson*, *Katz v. United States* extended Fourth Amendment protection to the content of people's telephone calls. 389 U.S. 347, 352 (1967) (explaining that a person is

"entitled to assume that the words he utters into the mouthpiece will not be broadcast to the world").

The content of communications receives this special protection because it contains people's "innermost thoughts." Orin S. Kerr, Applying the Fourth Amendment to the Internet: A General Approach, 62 Stan. L. Rev. 1005, 1018-22 (2010) (comparing email content to the inside of a person's home, which also gets heigtened Fourth Amendment protection). *See also Warshak v. United States*, 490 F.3d 455, 473 (6th Cir. 2007) (finding people have reasonable expectation of privacy in email content because it is material that the author "seeks to preserve as private") (internal quotation omitted), *vacated on other grounds*, 532 F.3d 521 (6th Cir. 2008).

It is especially important for journalists that the content of email and telephone messages remain private because that work product forms the background for and basis of investigative articles. When he was editor-in-chief of *Time Magazine*, Norman Pearlstine called information from anonymous sources part of the "fabric of American journalism." Norman Pearlstine, PBS Frontline Interview, http://to.pbs.org/1bkSCSV (last visited Jan. 23, 2014). Carl Bernstein added, "I know of very little important reporting of the last 30 to 40 years that has been done without use of confidential sources…". Carl Bernstein, PBS Frontline Interview, http://to.pbs.org/1dSBtWR (last visited Jan. 23, 2014).

This type of reporting is "essential to a flourishing self-governing society," the President's Review Group on Intelligence and Communications Policies, which President Obama appointed to offer recommendations for surveillance reform, emphasized in its December 2013 report. Liberty and Security in a Changing World: Report and Recommendations of The President's Review Group on Intelligence and Communications Technologies, 1, 127 (Dec. 12, 2013), http://l.usa.gov/lcBct0k. ("Part of the responsibility of our free press is to ferret out and expose information that government officials would prefer to keep secret when such secrecy is

unwarranted.") Like the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, this group warned that surveillance programs could have "serious repercussions" on journalism. *Id*.

Fear of unchecked seizures of the content of communications directly threatens journalism by chilling sources into silence.

II. MASS SURVEILLANCE NEGATES SAFEGUARDS THE GOVERNMENT HAS PLEDGED IN RESPONSE TO THREATS TO JOURNALISM.

One consequence of the outcry over the AP and Fox News seizures was the Department of Justice's decision to revisit its rules for issuing subpoenas to the media. *See generally* Department of Justice, *Report on Review of News Media Policies*, July 12, 2013, http://1.usa.gov/12mkn9B. The Justice Department will now require prosecutors to give the news media advance notice of a subpoena, except in rare cases where notice poses a clear and substantial threat to the investigation, risks grave harm to national security, or presents an imminent risk of death or bodily harm. *Id.* at 2. The report says the Department also will create a News Media Review Committee to provide oversight of media-related investigations, *see id.* at 4.

Additionally, the Obama administration has asked Congress to adopt a federal shield law, which would give journalists a qualified privilege not to testify about information from confidential sources. *See* Jack Komperda, *White House, lawmakers push for federal reporter shield law in wake of AP phone records seizure*, The Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, May 15, 2013, http://rcfp.org/x?0lyA. President Obama also has pledged to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, which rules on the constitutionality of many NSA programs.

Transcript of President Obama's Press Conference (Aug. 9, 2013), http://l.usa.gov/13pyCLa. In addition to ordering the declassification of some Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court opinions, Obama has called on Congress to enable independent advocates to appear before the

court, which now only hears from a government official. Remarks by the President on Review of Signals Intelligence, Jan. 14, 2014, http://l.usa.gov/lawEWY8.

With these steps, the government has professed an interest in handling investigations affecting journalistic rights on a case-by-case basis, with meaningful analysis based on the particular set of circumstances. This commitment is meaningless if rampant tracking of Internet communications continues unabated.

III. THE MASS SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM IS AN INHERENTLY OVERBROAD SYSTEM OF MONITORING AND INVESTIGATION.

Criminal investigations depend on monitoring the communications of suspects without running afoul of those suspects' constitutional rights. This strategy is vastly different from the surveillance at issue here. There is a significant distinction between monitoring specific communications, based on a particularized reason to believe that a crime has occured, and the implementation of a widespread system of surveillance. *See* Charlie Savage, et al., *U.S. Confirms That It Gathers Online Data Overseas*, N.Y. Times, June 6, 2013, http://nyti.ms/10SZXaO.

The protections built into these enormous databases cannot prevent overstepping in all cases.² In his January speech on NSA surveillance, President Obama acknowledged "the potnential for abuse as intelligence capabilities advance and more and more private information is digitalized." Remarks by the President on Review of Signals Intelligence, Jan. 17, 2014.

² The government's actions have been questioned under the USA PATRIOT Act, Public Law 107-56, 115 Stat. 272 (2001), as well. The act's author, Rep. James Sensenbrenner (R-Wis.), spoke out against using Section 215 of the Patriot Act to justify such a broad program: "The administration claims authority to sift through details of our private lives because the Patriot Act says that it can. I disagree. I authored the Patriot Act, and this is an abuse of that law." James Sensenbrenner, *This Abuse of the Patriot Act Must End*, The Guardian, June 9, 2013, http://bit.ly/lduGJjt.

Indeed, government documents released in September 2013 show that the NSA regularly
searched call logs of about 15,000 numbers that did not have a reasonable, articulable suspicion
of terrorism for three years until March 2009. Josh Gerstein, NSA broke rules on call-tracking
program, court filings show, Politico, Sept. 10, 2013, http://politi.co/17UxEJR. Additionally, an
internal NSA audit from 2012 revealed that the agency conducted unauthorized searches of data,
including phone records and email, of thousands of Americans since 2008. See Barton Gellman,
NSA Broke Privacy Rules Thousands of Times Per Year, Audit Finds, Wash. Post, Aug. 15, 2013
http://wapo.st/16SWco2. These breaches cast doubt on the government's ability to police itself
when implementing such a far-reaching mass call-tracking program. In fact, FISA Court chief
judge Reggie B. Walton said his court "does not have the capacity to investigate issues of
noncompliance." Carol D. Leonnig, Court: Ability to police U.S. spying program limited, Wash.
Post, Aug. 15, 2013, http://wapo.st/1cR581f.

Furthermore, public equivocations by national security leaders illuminate the need for judicial involvement to protect the important rights at stake. In response to a question at a Senate Committee hearing in March from U.S. Senator Ron Wyden asking, "Does the NSA collect any type of data at all on millions or hundreds of millions of Americans?," Defendant Clapper said, "No, sir." Glenn Kessler, *James Clapper's 'Least Untruthful' Statement to the Senate*, Wash. Post, June 12, 2013, http://wapo.st/170VVSu. After the disclosure of the "vast Internet surveillance program run by the National Security Agency," Defendant Clapper released a "letter of apology" to Congress that the statements to the Senate were "clearly erroneous." James Risen, *Lawmakers Question White House Account of an Internet Surveillance Program*, N.Y. Times, July 3, 2013, http://nyti.ms/16PNs0q.

Equivocations and noncompliance make it impossible for individuals, including journalists and their sources, to understand how the surveillance program interferes with their

Case4:08-cv-04373-JSW Document268-1 Filed07/31/14 Page21 of 27

1	protected communications. While the gover	rnment maintains that it only uses signals intelligence
2	for "legitimate national security purposes,"	see Remarks by the President on Review of Signals
3	Intelligence, Jan. 14, 2014, such a broad lab	el does not give reporters and sources assurance that
4	their professional conversations are safe from	m government review. Concerns over "national
5	security" can range from the very real threat	t of loss of life if certain information is published to
6	minor embarrassment and damage to trade relations when our allies realize the U.S. government	
7	has been spying on them. See, e.g., James F	Risen and Eric Lichtblau, Bush Lets U.S. Spy on
8	Callers without Courts, N.Y. Times, Dec. 19	6, 2005, http://nyti.ms/16C62Xp; Vivienne Walt,
10	European Officials Infuriated by Alleged NSA Spying on Friendly Diplomats, Time, June 30,	
11	2013, http://ti.me/19LYkl5; Peter Nicholas, Obama's Other Mission: Soothing Allies on	
12	Espionage, Wall St. Journal, Sept. 6, 2013, http://on.wsj.com/15BeQ0f. Uncertainty about	
13		
14	whether their communications are at risk of exposure causes sources on a wide range of topics to	
15	fall silent. See Leonard Downie Jr., The Obama Administration and the Press: Leak	
16	investigations and surveillance in post-9/11 America; Jamie Schuman, The Shadows of the	
17	Spooks, The News Media and the Law, Fall 2013.	
18	Without judicial oversight, these problems could grow worse. This Court has the	
19	opportunity to step in and vindicate well-established rights of the media and public under the	
20	First Amendment.	
21	DATED: July 31, 2014	Respectfully submitted,
22		By:/s/ Joshua Koltun
23		Joshua Koltun, Attorney
24		Bruce D. Brown The Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press
25		Attorneys for <i>Amici Curiae</i>
26 27		REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR
28		FREEDOM OF THE PRESS AND 18 OTHER NEWS ORGANIZATIONS
20		1.4

APPENDIX A

With some 500 members, American Society of News Editors ("ASNE") is an organization that includes directing editors of daily newspapers throughout the Americas. ASNE changed its name in April 2009 to American Society of News Editors and approved broadening its membership to editors of online news providers and academic leaders. Founded in 1922 as American Society of Newspaper Editors, ASNE is active in a number of areas of interest to top editors with priorities on improving freedom of information, diversity, readership and the credibility of newspapers.

The Committee to Protect Journalists promotes press freedom worldwide and defends the right of journalists to report the news without fear of reprisal. CPJ ensures the free flow of news and commentary by taking action wherever journalists are attacked, imprisoned, killed, kidnapped, threatened, censored, or harassed.

Courthouse News Service is a California-based legal news service for lawyers and the news media that focuses on court coverage throughout the nation, reporting on matters raised in trial courts and courts of appeal up to and including the U.S. Supreme Court.

First Amendment Coalition is a nonprofit public interest organization dedicated to defending free speech, free press and open government rights in order to make government, at all levels, more accountable to the people. The Coalition's mission assumes that government transparency and an informed electorate are essential to a self-governing democracy. To that end, we resist excessive government secrecy (while recognizing the need to protect legitimate state secrets) and censorship of all kinds.

First Look Media, Inc. is a new non-profit digital media venture that produces The Intercept, a digital magazine focused on national security reporting.

Gannett Co., Inc. is an international news and information company that publishes more than 80 daily newspapers in the United States – including *USA TODAY* – which reach 11.6 million readers daily. The company's broadcasting portfolio includes more than 40 TV stations, reaching approximately one-third of all television households in America. Each of Gannett's daily newspapers and TV stations operates Internet sites offering news and advertising that is customized for the market served and integrated with its publishing or broadcasting operations.

Investigative Reporters and Editors, Inc. is a grassroots nonprofit organization dedicated to improving the quality of investigative reporting. IRE was formed in 1975 to create a forum in which journalists throughout the world could help each other by sharing story ideas, newsgathering techniques and news sources.

The Investigative Reporting Workshop, a project of the School of Communication (SOC) at American University, is a nonprofit, professional newsroom. The Workshop publishes indepth stories at investigative reporting workshop.org about government and corporate accountability, ranging widely from the environment and health to national security and the economy.

The McClatchy Company, through its affiliates, is the third-largest newspaper publisher in the United States with 30 daily newspapers and related websites as well as numerous community newspapers and niche publications.

The Media Consortium is a network of the country's leading, progressive, independent media outlets. Our mission is to amplify independent media's voice, increase our collective clout, leverage our current audience and reach new ones.

MediaNews Group's more than 800 multi-platform products reach 61 million Americans each month across 18 states.

The National Press Club is the world's leading professional organization for journalists. Founded in 1908, the Club has 3,100 members representing most major news organizations. The Club defends a free press worldwide. Each year, the Club holds over 2,000 events, including news conferences, luncheons and panels, and more than 250,000 guests come through its doors.

The National Press Photographers Association ("NPPA") is a 501(c)(6) non-profit organization dedicated to the advancement of visual journalism in its creation, editing and distribution. NPPA's approximately 7,000 members include television and still photographers, editors, students and representatives of businesses that serve the visual journalism industry. Since its founding in 1946, the NPPA has vigorously promoted the constitutional rights of journalists as well as freedom of the press in all its forms, especially as it relates to visual journalism. The submission of this brief was duly authorized by Mickey H. Osterreicher, its General Counsel.

National Public Radio, Inc. is an award-winning producer and distributor of noncommercial news programming. A privately supported, not-for-profit membership organization, NPR serves a growing audience of more than 26 million listeners each week by providing news programming to 285 member stations that are independently operated, noncommercial public radio stations. In addition, NPR provides original online content and audio streaming of its news programming. NPR.org offers hourly newscasts, special features and 10 years of archived audio and information.

Online News Association ("ONA") is the world's largest association of online journalists. ONA's mission is to inspire innovation and excellence among journalists to better serve the public. ONA's more than 2,000 members include news writers, producers, designers, editors, bloggers, technologists, photographers, academics, students and others who produce news for the Internet or other digital delivery systems. ONA hosts the annual Online News Association conference and administers the Online Journalism Awards. ONA is dedicated to advancing the

interests of digital journalists and the public generally by encouraging editorial integrity and independence, journalistic excellence and freedom of expression and access.

The Seattle Times Company, locally owned since 1896, publishes the daily newspaper The Seattle Times, together with The Issaquah Press, Yakima Herald-Republic, Walla Walla Union-Bulletin, Sammamish Review and Newcastle-News, all in Washington state.

The Tully Center for Free Speech began in Fall, 2006, at Syracuse University's S.I. Newhouse School of Public Communications, one of the nation's premier schools of mass communications.

WP Company LLC (d/b/a The Washington Post) publishes one of the nation's most prominent daily newspapers, as well as a website, www.washingtonpost.com, that is read by an average of more than 20 million unique visitors per month.

1	APPENDIX B
2	Of counsel for amici:
3	Kevin M. Goldberg Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC
4 5	1300 N. 17th St., 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22209 Counsel for American Society of News Editors
6	Rachel Matteo-Boehm Bryan Cave LLP
7 8	560 Mission Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94105 Counsel for Courthouse News Service
9	Peter Scheer First Amendment Coalition
10	534 Fourth St., Suite B San Rafael, CA 94901
11 12	Lynn Oberlander General Counsel, Media Operations
13	First Look Media, Inc. 162 Fifth Avenue 8th Floor
14	New York, New York 10010
15	Barbara W. Wall Vice President/Senior
16 17	Associate General Counsel Gannett Co., Inc. 7950 Jones Branch Drive McLean, VA 22107
18	Karole Morgan-Prager
19 20	Juan Cornejo The McClatchy Company 2100 Q Street
21	Sacramento, CA 95816
22	David S. Bralow General Counsel
23	MediaNews Group 448 Lincoln Highway Fairless Hills, PA 19030
24	Charles D. Tobin
25	Holland & Knight LLP 800 17th Street, NW
26	Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006 Counsel for The National Press Club
27	Mickey H. Osterreicher
28	10

Case4:08-cv-04373-JSW Document268-1 Filed07/31/14 Page27 of 27

1 2	1100 M&T Center, 3 Fountain Plaza, Buffalo, NY 14203 Counsel for National Press Photographers Association
3	Greg Lewis Denise Leary
4	Ashley Messenger National Public Radio, Inc.
5	1111 North Capitol St. NE Washington, D.C. 20002
6	Michael Kovaka
7	1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 700
8	Washington, DC 20004 Counsel for Online News Association
9	Bruce E. H. Johnson Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
10	1201 Third Ave., Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101
11	Counsel for The Seattle Times Co.
12	John B. Kennedy James A. McLaughlin
13	Kalea S. Clark The Washington Post
14	1150 15th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20071
15	rashington, B.C. 20071
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	20
	20