

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

COURT OF APPEAL – SECOND DIST.

FILED

Apr 17, 2015

JOSEPH A. LANE, Clerk

sstahl Deputy Clerk

PASADENA POLICE OFFICERS
ASSOCIATION et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES
COUNTY,

Respondent,

CITY OF PASADENA et al.,

Real Parties in Interest; and

LOS ANGELES TIMES
COMMUNICATIONS et al.,

Interveners.

B260332


(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BC556464)

ORDER

On the court's own motion, the March 25, 2015, "Order on Petitioners' Motion to Have Sealed Their Reply to the Parties' Oppositions to Writ of Mandate; to File a Substitute Redacted Reply; and for Return of Their Inadvertently Unsealed and Unredacted Reply" is hereby VACATED.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.46(e)(6), "Petitioners' Reply to Preliminary Opposition to Petition for Writ of Mandate and Opposition to Petition for Writ of Mandate," filed on March 16, 2015, is unsealed in its entirety and reinstated, nunc pro tunc.

The clerk shall return to the parties Petitioners' March 16, 2015, "Reply to Preliminary Opposition to Petition for Writ of Mandate and Opposition to Petition for Writ of Mandate," provided to the clerk pursuant to the court's March 25, 2015, order. The redacted version of said document filed on March 25, 2015, is hereby stricken.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop on the left and a horizontal line extending to the right. The signature is written over two horizontal lines.

Acting Presiding Justice