IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

CENTRAL DIVISION

In re:)
JESSE C. TRENTADUE,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Case No. 2:08-CV-00788
UNITED STATES CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, et al.,))))
Defendants.)))

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLARK WADDOUPS

July 28, 2014

Transcript of Bench Trial

Laura W. Robinson, RPR, FCRR, CSR, CP 351 South West Temple 8.430 U.S. Courthouse Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801)328-4800

Appearances of Counsel:

For the Plaintiff: Jesse C. Trentadue

Attorney at Law Suitter Axland 8 E. Broadway Suite 200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

For the Defendant: Kathryn L. Wyer

Adam C. Siple
Attorneys at Law

US Department of Justice

Civil Division-Federal Programs

Branch

20 Massachusetts Ave NW Rm 7124

Washington, D.C. 20530

	I N D E X	
<u>Examina</u>	ations	Page
MONIC	A MITCHELL	10
	T EXAMINATION	11
	. WYER -EXAMINATION	50
	TRENTADUE	30
	ECT EXAMINATION	126
	. WYER	
	SS-EXAMINATION	136
	. TRENTADUE . VERNON	147
	T EXAMINATION	148
	. WYER	
	DIRE EXAMINATION	161
	TRENTADUE	1.60
	NUED DIRECT EXAMINATION . WYER	162
	-EXAMINATION	190
	. TRENTADUE	
	ECT EXAMINATION	213
	. WYER	225
	SS-EXAMINATION . TRENTADUE	225
	EXHIBITS	
No.	Description	<u>Page</u>
	Defendant's Exhibit 200	17
	Defendant's Exhibit 248	18
	Defendant's Exhibit 211	22
	Defendant's Exhibit 201	25
	Defendant's Exhibit 202	26
	Defendant's Exhibit 203	27
	Defendant's Exhibit 204	28
	Defendant's Exhibit 214	29
	Defendant's Exhibit 206	31
	Defendant's Exhibit 207	32
	Defendant's Exhibit 213	34
	Defendant's Exhibit 205 and	36
	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34	
	Defendant's Exhibit 234	36
	Defendant's Exhibit 208	37
	Defendant's Exhibit 209	37
	Defendant's Exhibits 243, 244, 245, 246	50
	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40	90

Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 10	94
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1	100
Plaintiff's Exhibit H to Exhibit 10	102
Plaintiff's Exhibit H to Exhibit 10	103
Plaintiff's Exhibit K to Exhibit 10	105
Plaintiff's Exhibit L to Exhibit 10	106
Plaintiff's Exhibit M to Exhibit 10	108
Plaintiff's Exhibit R to Exhibit 10	109
Plaintiff's Exhibit 20	110
Plaintiff's Exhibit 27	111
Plaintiff's Exhibit 28	112
Plaintiff's Exhibit 29	113
Plaintiff's Exhibit 30	114
Plaintiff's Exhibit 31	115
Plaintiff's Exhibit 32	116
Defendant's Exhibit 240	117
Plaintiff's Exhibit 46	118
Plaintiff's Exhibit 47	119
Plaintiff's Exhibit 48	120
Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 54	139
Defendant's Exhibit 249	182
Defendant's Exhibit 212	183
Plaintiff's Exhibits 35 and 37	202
Plaintiff's Exhibit 62	204
Plaintiff's Exhibit 60	206
Plaintiff's Exhibit 36	206
Plaintiff's Exhibits 55, 56, 57, 58, 65	209
and 66	
Plaintiff's Exhibit 68	211
Plaintiff's Exhibit 69	213

Salt Lake City, Utah, July 28, 2014

2

1

3

5

67

8

9

10

12

1314

15

1617

1819

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Good morning. We're here in the matter of Trentadue versus the FBI, case 2:08-CV-788. Will counsel please state their appearance.

MR. TRENTADUE: Jesse Trentadue, Your Honor, pro se.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. WYER: Kathryn Wyer, Your Honor, for the FBI.

MR. SIPLE: Adam Siple, Your Honor, for the FBI.

THE COURT: And we're here for the trial. Any preliminary matters we need to address before we proceed with opening statements?

MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Ms. Wyer, anything from the FBI?

MS. WYER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you wish to -- the FBI, as we agreed in the pretrial order, is going to proceed initially to present its case, and then the plaintiff will be allowed to put on his responsive case.

Do you wish to make an opening statement?

MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MS. WYER: Good morning, Your Honor, may it please the court. At issue in this case is the reasonableness of FBI search for videotapes responsive to the plaintiff's request

under the Freedom of Information Act or FOIA. There are essentially two tapes or I should say alleged tapes at issue here. One, a tape from the dashboard camera of Trooper Charlie Hanger's patrol car of the Oklahoma Highway Patrol who arrested Timothy McVeigh on April 19th, 1995, the day of the Oklahoma City bombing. And two, an alleged tape that shows the Ryder Truck detonation from that bombing three minutes and six seconds after the suspect exited the truck.

In this trial, the FBI will put on witnesses to convince Your Honor that the FBI did conduct a reasonable search by using the search methods of searching the locations that would be likely to find those tapes if they existed.

The first witness that the FBI will call is Monica
Mitchell from the FBI's office that handles FOIA requests.
Ms. Mitchell is the one that coordinated the FBI's response
to the plaintiff's request. Your Honor will hear from
Ms. Mitchell about the FBI's Central Record System and the
Automated Case Support System or the ACS which provides
tools for electronic searches of that record system.

Ms. Mitchell will explain that an ACS search for any records related to the Oklahoma City bombing investigation which the FBI refers to as OKBOMB, O-K-B-O-M-B, pointed in one direction, the Oklahoma OKBOMB case file housed in a warehouse in Oklahoma City. Ms. Mitchell will also explain

why the FBI at that point sent the request to the field office in Oklahoma City to conduct a further search.

Your Honor will also hear from Ms. Mitchell that the FBI sent plaintiff the Hanger tape, the first tape that I mentioned that was found during the search, along with 29 other videotapes including one tape that showed the Ryder Truck which was the only tape showing the Ryder Truck in the vicinity of the Murrah Building on the morning of April 19, 1995 that was found.

The FBI will then call Linda Vernon, the Oklahoma City Field Office employee who did the search that found all 30 videotapes that the plaintiff ended up getting. Ms. Vernon will explain that she was the FBI's discovery coordinator during the OKBOMB prosecution, and because of that she has particular search tools available to her that allowed her to conduct a thorough and accurate search.

Ms. Vernon will testify that with those tools, every videotape that the FBI collected during the OKBOMB investigation are -- is known and accounted for and that if a tape matching the description that the plaintiff provided did in fact exist, it would have been found through the searches that she did.

Your Honor will hear from these first two witnesses that all of the records that the FBI has ever found in regard to the plaintiff's FOIA requests were found through

the search that Ms. Vernon did. The plaintiff has refused to accept that the 30 tapes he got are the only tapes. Your Honor will hear evidence from additional witnesses that any other methods or locations for further searches would be fruitless.

The FBI's third witness will be Diane Lang, an evidence technician in the FBI's Oklahoma City Field Office. Ms. Lang will describe a separate search that she has in fact already done of the evidence side of the OKBOMB warehouse which is the place where videotape evidence would be stored. Your Honor will hear from Ms. Lang that she spent over two weeks, two full weeks on that search, but she failed to find a single tape responsive to the plaintiff's FOIA request that Ms. Vernon had not already found.

Ms. Lang is also the one that located FBI documentation confirming that the original Hanger tape was returned to the Oklahoma Highway Patrol in 2006 before the plaintiff's FOIA request was submitted.

The FBI will then call Dorris Reed who also works for the FBI at the Oklahoma City Field Office. Ms. Reed will explain that she is the go to person when it comes to the OKBOMB paper file which is also stored in the warehouse in the separate side, separate from the evidence. Your Honor will hear from Ms. Reed that when she wants to find particular records in the paper file, she goes to ACS and

that because the paper file is so massive, it would not make sense to go out to the warehouse and just start looking cold through paper files manually.

The FBI's next witness will be Michael Morgan who provides IT support for the Oklahoma City Field Office.

Mr. Morgan will explain why any search of shared network drives on the field office computer network which were formally I-Drives and now called S-Drives would not be likely to locate additional records or tapes. Your Honor will hear testimony from Mr. Morgan that the field office shared drives were already searched for all OKBOMB material back in 2001.

The FBI will then call Karen Thiessen, Unit Chief of the FBI Crime Labs Evidence Control Unit and Special Agent Mark Whitworth Unit Chief of the Explosives Unit of the Crime Lab. These witnesses will explain why it is extremely unlikely that any OKBOMB material, including videotapes, would be at the crime lab and that the searches that they did found nothing. The evidence will show that the FBI conducted a reasonable search. It will show that there are no other methods that could reasonably be used to identify any additional responsive records or videotapes and if the tapes that plaintiff has described were in the FBI's possession, they would have been found through the searches that were done and they would have been in the OKBOMB

1	warehouse which is the place that was repeatedly searched
2	for this case. We therefore ask the court to enter judgment
3	in favor of the FBI.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Trentadue, do you may wish
5	to make an opening statement?
6	MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: The FBI may proceed by calling its first
8	witness. Is there a request to exclude witnesses?
9	MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Then the witnesses may remain in
11	the courtroom.
12	MS. WYER: The FBI first calls Monica Mitchell.
13	THE COURT: If you would come to the stand to be
14	sworn, please.
15	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
16	MONICA MITCHELL,
17	called as a witness at the request of the FBI,
18	having been first duly sworn, was examined
19	and testified as follows:
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name for
22	the record.
23	THE WITNESS: Monica, M-O-N-I-C-A, Marie, M-A-R-I-E,
24	Mitchell, M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L.
25	//

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. WYER:

- Q. Good morning, Ms. Mitchell.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Ms. Mitchell, could you explain to the court where you work?
- A. I work for the FBI's Records Management Division
 -- the Records Information Dissemination Section.
 - Q. Can you explain what that office does?
- A. That office responds to FOIA and privacy act requests that it receives.
- Q. And what is -- what is your position in the office?
- A. My current position in that office is in the litigation support unit. I am an expert government information specialist.
 - Q. How long have you been in that position?
 - A. I have been in that position since 2008.
- Q. And did you have any previous experience in that office before you got that position?
- A. Yes. I came in in 2006 in the work process unit as an analyst there. And then about a year later I was re-assigned to the FOIPA unit which processes FOIA requests, the records responsive to that.
 - Q. And could you just briefly explain what those two

units do, but what does the work process unit do?

- A. The work process unit is the initial processing unit which is currently the title initial processing. They receive the requests, they review the request to determine one if it is a privacy act or FOIA request, and then they determine whether or not supporting information such as certification of authorization if it is a third-party request on an individual, um, they make sure that they have what is needed to consider the request perfected so that they can respond to it.
 - Q. And what does the FOIA unit do?
- A. The FOIPA unit they review the material, they scope the material, and they process the material for the release of nonexempt information.
- Q. Based on the background that you have that you described, are you familiar with how FOIA requests are handled by the FBI?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know how many FOIA requests come into the FBI every year?
 - A. This year it is projected to be about 18,000.
- Q. And can you explain to the court -- you already explained that about what happens initially that the work process unit does, could you continue explaining how the request is handled when that first comes in after what you

already described?

- A. Sure. They -- after the triage, the initial triage, if you will, it has been done and they determine that it is a perfected request, they then begin searching for responsive material by using key terms in the FOIA request that has been provided.
- Q. And how did they -- how -- what do they look to when trying to identify key terms that would be search terms?
- A. The request itself, key terms that may be mentioned such as an individual's name, date of birth, organization, a date of event, just key terms that might be referenced in the request itself.
- Q. And once they have identified those terms, where do they go to use those terms?
- A. Once they have determined that they have enough information to do a search, they will go to the Central Records System which maintains its -- the universe of the FBI's records that it has acquired over the course of its day-to-day law enforcement responsibilities.
- Q. So is the Central Records System the FBI's primary record system?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And how do they actually -- what tools do they actually use to search that system?

- 1 We use the Automated Case Index system which is 2 the investigative tool mainly for law enforcement purposes, 3 but we do use that tool to respond to FOIA and privacy act requests as well. 4 5 And are there other components, are there 6 different components within this Automated Case Support 7 system? 8 There are three components, the Electronic Case 9 File, the Universal Index, and the Investigative Case 10 Management. 11 MS. WYER: Your Honor, we have prepared this 12 demonstrative to show the structure of that system. This is 13 marked -- we marked this as Defendant's Exhibit 248, it is a 14 demonstrative. 15 Ms. Mitchell, could you look at what is marked in your binder as ACS diagram. Did you prepare that diagram? 16 17 I did. Α. 18 Does that represent the three different components that you referenced of ACS? 19 20 Α. It does. 21 And so your office -- does your office focus on a 22 particular one of those?
 - A. We focus on the Universal Index to do searches.
 - Q. And why is that?

23

24

25

A. Because that is searching the general indices to

the FBI's record system.

- Q. And does your office also go out and contact the agents who worked on an investigation to ask if they know of any records or where records might be generally?
- A. No. Normally we wouldn't do that because of resources. I mean, as I mentioned, we receive approximately 18,000 FOIA requests in a year. If we were to go out to case agents assigned to specific cases, it would be very burdensome. And in addition, it is possible that the agents may have been retired.
- Q. Would you expect any records to be locatable through the electronic search as opposed to going to case agents?
- A. Well, as I mentioned, the Universal Index searches the general indices which is again the collective universe of the records. So I would -- we use -- the policy is to use UNI which is the Universal Index for all searching for FOIA and privacy act requests.
- Q. Now, what kind of results will be identified through a UNI search?
- A. A UNI search will use key terms to search. As I mentioned, maybe a subject's name or event or organization.

 And you enter those terms and if there are records, you will receive a potential hit list.
 - Q. And does that list identify the location of the

records?

A. Every file has the file classification and the field office. So let's say headquarters would be HQ, Oklahoma City would be OC, so it would indicate which field that file was from.

- Q. And what would happen next?
- A. Once we do the search and we receive the potential responsive hits, then we would reach out for the files. If it is at headquarters, we would order those through the ordering process. If it is at the field and the files were located at the field, we would reach out to that field for the records and have them sent to Winchester to RIDS.
 - Q. And then what would happen?
- A. Once we receive the files, the work process unit would receive the files and they would determine its responsiveness by reviewing the file or scoping the file.
- Q. And then, um, the later processing is not an issue in this case so I don't want to spend a lot of time on it, but after, if responsive documents are found, then from what you said before it would go to the FOIA unit?
- A. Right. If we found responsive material, we would send it through our document processing lab to pull that material from paper into an electronic version so that FOIA could then review the material and review it for releasable

information. 1 2 Q. Now, are you familiar with a FOIA request that 3 the plaintiff in this case submitted to the FBI looking for videotapes and documents referencing the collection of those 4 5 tapes? 6 Α. I am. 7 Q. Could you look at Defendant's Exhibit 200. Let the record reflect that Defendant's Exhibit 200 has a 8 9 heading Freedom of Information Act Request with letterhead 10 from Jesse C. Trentadue. Do you recognize this document? 11 Α. I do. 12 Q. And what is this? 13 This is the original request for records from 14 Mr. Trentadue. 15 MS. WYER: Your Honor, the government moves to admit 16 Exhibit 200. 17 MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 200 is received. 18 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 200 was received 19 20 into evidence.) 21 THE COURT: Do you intend to offer Exhibit 248? 22 MS. WYER: 248, the demonstrative? Yes, Your Honor, 23 we think that would be helpful for the court. 24 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Trentadue? 25 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Exhibit 248 is also received.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 248 was received into evidence.)

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) So what was your role in regard to this request?
- A. Initially, I was not involved. When the initial request came in, um, it went to the initial processing unit which is also known or previously known as the work process unit. They handled the request because it was at the administrative stage.
 - Q. And then how did you become involved?
- A. I became involved because Mr. Trentadue pretty quickly filed his current lawsuit involving the requests.
- Q. And is that because you were in the litigation unit?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And so did you become involved before -- at what point of the search process or the process that you were describing did you become involved?
- A. I became involved after the initial search had been done.
- Q. But are you aware of what the first step was that was done when the request came in?
- A. I am, because once a case is in litigation, once it was assigned to me in the litigation support unit, it is

my role to confirm that the action taken was correct.

- Q. So do you know whether a search occurred and what that search was?
- A. Yes. The search of the UNI through ACS located one main file, the Oklahoma City OKBOMB file.
 - O. And where was that file?
 - A. The file was located at the field in Oklahoma.
 - Q. And did you do that search yourself?
- A. Initially, no. But once it reached litigation, I confirmed the search results and located the main file as well.
 - Q. What was the next step?
 - A. The next step during the litigation phase?
- Q. Or I mean how was -- how did the processing of the request continue after that main file, the OKBOMB file, was identified?
- A. At the administrative stage, once they located the main file the supervisor that supervised the search knew from institutional knowledge that the file was massive and in a warehouse in Oklahoma City. So she had the analyst that performed the initial search to e-mail our contact at the field.
- Q. And what did -- what was the communication between your office and the field office?
 - A. Once I became involved because of the lawsuit I

coordinated with the field directly to confirm what material was responsive to the request and to have that material sent to me.

- Q. Did your office actually ask the field office to conduct searches, further searches related to this request?
- A. Right. We had sent a copy of the request detailing what we were searching for and asked for their assistance because they were most familiar with the file itself.
- Q. So this is, from what you described before of the general process, is this different from what usually happens?
- A. It is, because of the knowledge that we had with the size of the field, the size of the OKBOMB file, and the knowledge that we knew the field had and the tools they had in order to do additional searching to locate the evidence that was requested.
- Q. Now, what, from your end, what was the next thing that your office was involved in regarding the plaintiff's FOIA request?
- A. Once it became involved in litigation and I became involved, I coordinated with the field to determine what the search results were from the field in addition to the main file what was in the file was responsive and coordinated with Linda Vernon at the field for that.

- O. And who is Linda Vernon?
- A. Linda Vernon is the accountant that had probably the most knowledge and still employed with the FBI. So we reached out to her for assistance.
- Q. Did you receive results from her reflecting the search that she had done?
- A. Yes. She e-mailed me an eight page spreadsheet of 244 videotapes and the supporting documents showing how the FBI became -- how the videotapes themselves were in the possession, how we received them.
- Q. Could you look at what is marked as Defendant's Exhibit 211? Let the record reflect that Exhibit 211 is a chart. Ms. Mitchell, do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And can you identify what that is?
- A. This is the spreadsheet that Linda prepared and e-mailed to me.
- Q. Now, did you also receive other materials from the field office?
- A. Right. We received -- she had sent me the five boxes of videotapes that correspond to what is on the chart, in addition to roughly 200 pages of supporting documents 302s, 192s.
- Q. And you mentioned the number 244 videotapes. Are you the one that identified the actual number of videotapes

reflected in this chart? 1 2 That is correct. Α. 3 Now, in terms of your office providing a response to the plaintiff, what was the first thing that happened 4 there? 5 A. Once it was in litigation and how we responded? 6 7 Right. When you were actually trying to provide Ο. the plaintiff with documents or records responsive to his 8 9 request, what was the first thing that happened there. 10 Well initially we located one of the tapes that Α. 11 he had requested was the Hanger tape. And because that was 12 easier to access than the 243 other videotapes referenced on 13 the chart, we had the field send us that Hanger tape so that 14 we could release that more quickly. 15 So is that the first video that the plaintiff 16 received? 17 That is correct. Α. MS. WYER: Could you look at Defendant's Exhibit 201. 18 19 And Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 211 into evidence. 20 THE COURT: Any objection? 21 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. 22 THE COURT: Exhibit 211 is received. 23 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 211 was received 24 into evidence.) 25 Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Do you recognize the -- let the

record reflect that Exhibit 201 is a letter dated

January 23rd, 2009, signed by David Hardy. Do you recognize
this letter?

- A. I do.
- O. And what is this?
- A. This is the letter that I prepared enclosing the copy of the Hanger videotape released in its entirety.
- Q. And you say released in its entirety, was that tape redacted or edited before it was released?
- A. No. We had received a copy from the field and it was my understanding that there -- that the video didn't --
- MR. TRENTADUE: Objection hearsay on this one, Your Honor, and foundation.
- MS. WYER: Your Honor, she is explaining the basis for her own actions.

THE COURT: Well, I think she needs to lay additional foundation as to how she knew what she is about to testify to.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Well, can you continue explaining the basis for what you're talking about?
- A. Well, I work under the supervision of the Office of General Counsel, FOIA Litigation, and I was on e-mails.

 I saw e-mails to and from the CDC at the field regarding the Hanger tape. And from the e-mails, I knew that the Hanger tape didn't warrant redactions. So therefore when I

received the copy of the Hanger tape, I presented it to the FOIA unit and asked them to make a copy using the media room that was in Winchester and I received the copy back and that is what this -- that is what was released with this letter.

- Q. So when you gave the tape to be copied, did you ask them to make any redactions or do any edits to the tape?
- A. No, because I was -- the e-mails that I had saw indicated that there was -- there was no reason for redaction because the video didn't show anything.
- Q. And so did you ask them to make an exact duplicate of that tape?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. And is that the tape that you sent to the plaintiff?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. Now after you got the charts, Exhibit 211, from Linda Vernon, what happened next in connection with the other response, the rest of the response?
- A. After we made the Hanger release and review of the chart, we sent a letter to Mr. Trentadue indicating the search fees and the duplication fees associated with the 244 tapes as well as the 200 pages of supporting documents responsive to his request.
- MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 201 into evidence and would like now to look at Exhibit 202.

MR. TRENTADUE: No objection to 201, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Exhibit 201 is received. 3 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 201 was received into evidence.) 4 5 (By Ms. Wyer) Let the record reflect that this 6 is a letter from the FBI to Jesse Trentadue dated March 24, 7 2009. Do you recognize this? This is the letter that with assistance and 8 9 supervision from FLU counsel we drafted regarding the search 10 fees and duplication fees. 11 And is it a standard practice to give a request 12 or notice of fees before it going any further? 13 It is when the amount exceeds \$25.00. 14 And do you -- is it also standard practice to 15 request advanced payment of these? In this case it exceeded \$250.00, it was several 16 17 thousand dollars, and we asked for advanced payment. And is that in accord with the normal practice? 18 Q. 19 Α. That is correct. 20 And what -- did you receive a response from the Ο. 21 plaintiff at that point? 22 We received a response back from Mr. Trentadue 23 indicating that he would like to reduce the scope of his 24 request and he listed out several, I believe 12, specific 25 videos or coverage for 12 different locations that he

2.1

wanted.

MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 202 and we would now look at Exhibit 203.

MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 202 is received.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 202 was received into evidence.)

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Let the record reflect that Exhibit 203 is a letter from Mr. Trentadue to myself dated April 13, 2009. Do you recognize this?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is this letter?
- A. This is the letter that I was referring to where Mr. Trentadue indicated that he wanted video coverage for there is 11 numbered items, and then in the paragraph below the numbered items he references the Ryder Truck.
- Q. So at that point did this letter become the operative request that you would act on?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And so what happened after that?
- A. After we received this letter, I again reached out to the field with assistance coordinating through Linda Vernon on the spreadsheet that she provided with the 244 videotapes which tapes to help me narrow down which tapes would be responsive to this narrowed request.

So did you ask Linda Vernon or the field office 1 Q. 2 to assist again in identifying the records responsive to 3 this request this FOIA request? Yes. She had more knowledge than myself with the 4 5 OKBOMB material and the evidence that was collected. 6 And did you ask her specifically about any Q. 7 footage that might show a Ryder Truck? 8 Yes. While his request, the numbered items 9 didn't include the Ryder Truck in the paragraph below it 10 did, so I made sure in my e-mail to Linda that I pointed out 11 that he again referenced this truck. 12 MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 203. MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Exhibit 203 is received. 15 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 203 was received 16 into evidence.) 17 (By Ms. Wyer) And we would like to look now at Exhibit 204. Ms. Mitchell, do you recognize what is marked 18 as Defendant's Exhibit 204? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 And could you -- let the record reflect this is a 22 letter from the FBI to Mr. Trentadue dated April 23rd, 2009. 23 Could you explain what this letter is? 24 This letter talks about his willingness to narrow Α. 25 the scope and that we had located 26 videotapes and the

costs associated with those. And we also noted that -- that the 200 pages of supporting documents and the costs associated with those.

- Q. And are those the 200 pages that had been originally identified before the narrowing?
- A. That is correct. We -- in Mr. Trentadue's narrowed request, he indicated that he wanted all 200 pages, he didn't want to narrow those pages.
- MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Defendant's Exhibit 204.

MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 204 is received.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 204 was received into evidence.)

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) What happened after, at that point, after you had gotten the results from Linda Vernon on the narrowed request?
- A. Once we had gotten the results, I went through the five boxes of 244 videotapes located those that were responsive to the narrowed request and took those VHS tapes to the FOIA unit for processing.
- Q. And is there any document that indicates what happened during the processing?
- A. The FOIA analyst as they were reviewing and processing the videotapes prepared kind of like a summary of

each tape that they processed.

Q. Could we look at Defendant's Exhibit Number 214.

Let the record reflect that Exhibit 214 is a 27 page document that begins with a DVD number on the top and various information. Do you recognize this document,

Ms. Mitchell?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain what this is?
- A. These are the summaries. As the analyst, there were several analysts assigned to process. I believe three processed the VHS tapes, and the analyst indicates the number that is on the label for each of the VHS tapes and indicates the time and what the DVD consisted of.
- Q. And does it indicate whether any redactions were made on the tapes?
 - A. It does.
- Q. And were any redactions made on any of the tapes that were processed?
 - A. No.
 - MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 214.
- MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Exhibit 214 is received.
- 23 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 214 was received into evidence.)
- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Did you then release the tapes

- that have been processed? 1 Yes. We did so in interim releases. 2 3 Q. Could we look at Defendant's Exhibit 206. Let the record reflect that Exhibit 206 is letter from the FBI 4 5 to Mr. Trentadue dated June 23rd, 2009. Do you recognize 6 what this document is, Ms. Mitchell? 7 Yes. This is the second release that we made to 8 Mr. Trentadue, the first being the Hanger tape, and this is the second of video releases. 9 10 Q. And does this identify the buildings of the 11 footage? 12 Yes. We released four videos from four Α. 13 locations, the Journal Record Building, the Oklahoma City 14 Public Library, the U.S. Post Office and Southwest -- the 15 Southwestern Bell. And a total of how many? 16 Q. 17 There were a total for this release --Α. Is it identified in the document? 18 Q. 19 Α. 22. Out from each one I indicate how many DVDs. 20 Ο. And I think --21 Α. 23. 23. 22 Ο.
 - Q. And earlier you had mentioned the number 26.

 Does that correspond exactly to the number of DVDs that the

Sorry, 23.

Α.

23

24

plaintiff received? You said 26 videos were identified as 1 2 responsive to the narrowed request? 3 No, because some of the footage on the VHS they Α. were done in old VHS form and we put them in DVD form. So 4 5 when we took the VHS, there was some -- there were a couple 6 of the VHS tapes that the length exceeded the amount that 7 could be put on one CD or one DVD. 8 Do you know the total number of DVDs that the 9 plaintiff ended up receiving? 10 Α. We gave him 30. 11 And is that including the Hanger tape? Ο. 12 That is including the Hanger tape. 13 MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Defendant's 14 Exhibit 206. 15 MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 206 is received. 16 17 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 206 was received into evidence.) 18 (By Ms. Wyer) Can we now look at Defendant's 19 20 Exhibit 207. Let the record reflect Exhibit 207 is a letter 21 dated July 16, 2009, from the FBI to Mr. Trentadue. Do you 22 recognize this document? 23 Yes. This is our third and final release to 24 Mr. Trentadue and this enclosed six Regency Tower tapes. 25 MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 207.

MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Exhibit 207 is received. 3 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 207 was received into evidence.) 4 5 (By Ms. Wyer) Did you ultimately prepare a list 6 of all of the tapes that were released? 7 Α. Yes. Could we look at Exhibit 213. Ms. Mitchell, do 8 9 you recognize Exhibit 213? 10 Α. Yes. This is the chart that I prepared showing 11 the description of each DVD that we processed and released 12 and the number of videos associated with each location and 13 then the evidence number that was actually on the spine from 14 the label from each VHS. 15 And what are attached -- are there -- is there 16 anything attached to this? 17 The attachments are copies that I made showing the one the face of the copy of the Hanger tape that I 18 19 received from the field, and then the other pages are the 20 spine labels on the VHS tapes for the other locations. 21 Q. Did you prepare those scans? 22 I'm sorry? Α. 23 Are you the one that prepared these scans? 0. 24 That is right. Α. 25 Going back to the first page of this document, Q.

did you learn in regard to the Regency Tower release, did 1 2 you later learn of any inaccuracy in the labeling of the 3 tapes? We did. 4 Α. 5 Could you explain what that was? 6 On the Regency Tower on one of the tapes it was 7 labeled 1B260/Q5 and later we determined that it should be 8 1B1345 I believe, 1B1354, sorry. 9 And are you looking at a page of the scans of Q. 10 those videos? 11 It is Bates number 56, D-00056. It shows the 12 scan with my handwriting on the label indicating that I had 13 checked about this footage. 14 Q. Did you check about that footage before the 15 release was made? 16 A. I remember e-mailing Linda Vernon but I think it 17 was after, after the footage had been released. 18 Q. Did you write this on the spine of the video 19 before or after the release? 20 I don't remember honestly. Actually, it must 21 have been during the processing for me to have written it, 22 but again I am not certain. 23 MS. WYER: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit 213 24 into evidence.

MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 213 is received.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 213 was received

into evidence.)

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Other than tapes, was there also a release of records?
- A. Right. We released the 200 pages of supporting documents.
 - Q. Were all of those released directly from the FBI?
- A. Well, we referred 36 pages of the 200 pages to two different other -- to two other government agencies for a direct response to Mr. Trentadue.
 - Q. And which agencies were those?
 - A. One to FEMA and one to GSA.
- Q. Could we look at Exhibit 205. Let the record reflect that Defendant's Exhibit 205 is a letter from the FBI to Mr. Trentadue dated May 28th, 2009. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Mitchell?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Could you explain what this is?
- A. This is the letter where we released the nonexempt information on 200 pages -- well, 164 pages of the 200 pages reviewed and it notates that we sent material to OGA for a direct response to Mr. Trentadue.
- Q. So 164 is 200 minus the 36 pages that you had mentioned?

- A. That is right.
- Q. And could we look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 34. Let the record reflect that Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 has the same letter as the first page and then is followed by a number of other pages. Is the material in Plaintiff's Exhibit 34, are those the 164 pages that were released at the time this letter was sent?
 - A. They appear to be. Do you want me to count them?
- Q. Well, you can look at the last page or the second to the last page?
 - A. It shows 167 Bates number.
- Q. Now, is that because the letter at the beginning is Bates stamped?
- A. That is correct. He Bates stamped the letter and our explanation of exemptions and our deleted page sheet showing the 36 pages that were not sent to him at that time.
- Q. Now, could we look at the last page of that document. And did you at some point -- were you -- did you locate a complete copy of the last page of this document?
- A. Yes, during when we were reviewing plaintiffs exhibits, yes.
- MS. WYER: Defendant moves to admit Exhibit 205 and Plaintiff's Exhibit 34.
 - MR. TRENTADUE: The motion is for 205 and 34?
 - MS. WYER: Yes.

1	MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Exhibit 205 Defendant's Exhibit 205 is
3	received. Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 is received.
4	(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 205 and
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 were received into evidence.)
6	Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Now, could we now look at
7	Exhibit 234. Let the record reflect that Defendant's
8	Exhibit 234 has a file number at the top ending in D-10874.
9	Ms. Mitchell, do you recognize this?
10	A. Yes, it is the last page of the release.
11	MS. WYER: Defendant moves to admit Exhibit 234.
12	THE COURT: Any objection?
13	MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit 234 is received.
15	(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 234 was received
16	into evidence.)
17	Q. (By Ms. Wyer) And did you receive a copy of the
18	release that FEMA made in regard to the pages that have been
19	referred to FEMA?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Can we look at Defendant's Exhibit 208. Do you
22	recognize that?
23	A. Yes. This is FEMA's direct response to
24	Mr. Trentadue.
25	MS. WYER: 208. Is it frozen? Defendant moves to

admit Exhibit 208. 1 2 THE COURT: Any objection to 208? 3 MR. TRENTADUE: Other than relevance, Your Honor, it is -- I thought the issue was the FBI's response, not FEMA's 4 response or the GSA's response. 5 MS. WYER: Well, these are pages that the FBI located 6 7 and referred to another agency so they were part of the 8 response. 9 THE COURT: Exhibit 208 is received. 10 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 208 was received 11 into evidence.) 12 Q. (By Ms. Wyer) And Exhibit 209, do you recognize 13 Exhibit 209? 14 209 is GSA's response. They were supposed to 15 respond directly to Mr. Trentadue but sent the material back to us so we released the information. 16 17 MS. WYER: Let the record reflect Exhibit 209 is a letter from the FBI to Mr. Trentadue dated April 29th, 2010. 18 19 We move to admit Exhibit 209. 20 THE COURT: Any objection to 209? 21 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. 22 Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Now going back to exhibit --23 THE COURT: Exhibit 209 is received. 24 MS. WYER: Sorry. 25 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 209 was received

into evidence.)

MS. WYER: Sorry, Your Honor.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Going back to Exhibit 200, the plaintiff's original request, did that request mention another FOIA request that had been made in the past by someone else?
- A. Yes. On the second page it mentioned the case caption David Hoffman versus DOJ.
- Q. And are you aware whether anything -- whether your office looked into that previous request when processing the response to the plaintiff's request?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection foundation, Your Honor. When was this done if it was done.

THE COURT: First she can answer yes or no and then we will find out how she knows.

THE WITNESS: I am familiar with it, yes. Um, at the initial stage, at the administrative stage when the request came in, they only searched for OKBOMB so they had not done a search for the *Hoffman* material. Once it reached litigation, I personally pulled the *Hoffman* civil litigation file and reviewed the file.

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection foundation of when this was done, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. TRENTADUE: When was this done?

MS. WYER: Those are questions that the plaintiff could ask on cross-examination, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection. A foundation question is appropriate. We need to know when she did this.

Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Mitchell, could you explain -first of all, as far as you know would there have been any
reason at the initial processing of the request for your
office to look at the *Hoffman* file?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Mitchell, are you familiar with the procedures for when -- are you familiar with what happened in regard to the processing of this request as you had described earlier?
 - A. Am I aware?
- Q. Are you familiar with what happened in regard to the initial search that was done and the subsequent referral to the field office as you had explained earlier?
- A. I am familiar with the searches that were performed.
- Q. And so as you had testified after the first search identified the OKBOMB file it was sent to the field office, correct?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection leading.

1 MS. WYER: I mean is that what -- you had already 2 testified to this. 3 THE COURT: Is that a question? MS. WYER: Do you recall -- well, she had already 4 5 testified to this, Your Honor. 6 (By Ms. Wyer) In any case, do you -- when -- do Q. 7 you recall when you looked at the Hoffman file? 8 I believe it was like four or so months ago. It 9 was several months ago. 10 Q. And do you -- what did you do in regard to the 11 Hoffman file when you looked at it? MR. TRENTADUE: Objection relevance, Your Honor. 12 13 was done in preparation for the trial not in preparation for 14 responding to my request. 15 THE COURT: What do you claim for this? 16 MR. TRENTADUE: I beg your pardon? I said --17 THE COURT: I'm asking Ms. Wyer what does the FBI claim for this information? 18 MS. WYER: This is something that the RIDS office did 19 20 in connection with this request. And so it is relevant to 21 the processing of the request and following up on things 22 that the plaintiff has suggested even if it occurred during 23 the -- during the litigation, the entire response occurred 24 during litigation, it is still relevant to its response.

THE COURT: Mr. Trentadue?

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, this was obviously done in preparation for this trial. It had nothing to do with responding to my FOIA request. There is also a hearsay problem here with the witness testifying as to what the file showed instead of providing that file to me as it should have been done. They never -- it was with my initial request to look at *Hoffman* file. They don't do it, they do it after the court sets the trial date and just before the trial they go look at this and the witnesses say there is nothing there.

THE COURT: I'm going to require additional foundation as to why she searched the *Hoffman* file, who instructed her to do it, why she did it, and when she did it. So you can proceed.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Mitchell, do you remember why you looked at the *Hoffman* file?
- A. I looked at the file -- well, let me back up. I looked at the request and determined that we had not responded to that portion of the request. So I personally culled the file to make sure that nothing in there was responsive.

THE COURT: What was it that caused you to go back and look at the request to determine that you hadn't reviewed the *Hoffman* file?

THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, this entire proceeding

1 or all --2 THE COURT: It was in response to the litigation? 3 THE WITNESS: Right, but as --THE COURT: It was not done as a part of the initial 4 5 search? 6 THE WITNESS: But all of the searching was done during 7 the litigation because he filed his litigation quickly after we received the FOIA request. 8 9 THE COURT: And the best of your recollection this was 10 done when? 11 THE WITNESS: Like four or five months ago. 12 THE COURT: Did someone ask you to search and review 13 the Hoffman file? 14 THE WITNESS: No. In my position I mean that is part of my job to make sure that we adequately responded to the 15 16 FOIA request. 17 THE COURT: How did it come to your attention that you had not looked at the Hoffman file? 18 19 THE WITNESS: Well, I corresponded with several 20 people, one being Linda Vernon at the field, through e-mails 2.1 asking if she was familiar and didn't get a response on that 22 portion of the e-mail so later I culled the file. 23 THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed. 24 (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Mitchell, can you explain to Q. 25 the court how you went about looking at the Hoffman file and

what were you looking for? 1 2 3 4 5 seeking. 6 7 relating to videotapes? 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 with those? 13 Α. 14 0.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Specifically I was looking for any information regarding videotapes or the possession of videotapes as requested on the other videotapes that Mr. Trentadue was
 - Did you find any documents in the Hoffman file
 - I found documentation, yes.
- The next set of documents is Defendant's Exhibits 243 through 246. Ms. Mitchell, could you take a look at that span of documents and explain whether you're familiar
 - Yes, I'm familiar with these.
 - Could you explain what those documents are?
- The first, Defendant's Exhibit 243, is the Third Declaration of Gregory Scott Rogers who was an agent for the FBI.
- Q. And so how did you -- are these the documents that you found in the Hoffman file?
 - MR. TRENTADUE: Objection foundation.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: They are.
- (By Ms. Wyer) And can you explain are these --Ο. are these the documents that you identified as potentially pertinent to the plaintiff's request?

THE COURT: Let me ask you to clarify the record. So far she has only referenced Defendant's Exhibit 243 and you're referring to documents. So we need to clarify exactly which document she is talking about.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Okay. Ms. Mitchell, could you just go through each of those exhibit numbers and explain what they are?
- A. Sure. 243 is again the Declaration of Gregory Rogers an agent for the FBI where he notes that a list of documents and videotapes --

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection hearsay on that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The document speaks for itself. Just describe which ones you are referring to. The content of the documents will speak for what is in the document.

THE WITNESS: So the title? You just want the title?
THE COURT: Just reference the title.

THE WITNESS: Okay. 243 is the Third Declaration of Gregory Scott Rogers. 244 is the FBI Headquarters Request, it is a chart.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) On Exhibit 244, can you explain what the label is on the bottom left hand corner of the first page?
- A. The bottom is a handwritten notation of the file and serial. So this came from 197-OC-60590 and it is serial 86. The 197 file class indicates that it is the civil

litigation file. And this is from the Hoffman civil 1 2 litigation, Hoffman V DOJ. 3 Q. And did you take note of anything else in that label? 4 5 At the bottom there is handwriting that says FBI 6 HQ and OC meaning the field list of documents provided to 7 the AUSA. 8 And did you understand what that meant? Ο. 9 These lists were provided to the AUSA, the 10 Assistant United States Attorney. 11 Q. Meaning the AUSA who was handling the Hoffman 12 case? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Q. And let the record reflect that the top of this 15 document is titled FBI Headquarters Request. Now, looking at 245 and 246, could you continue describing those? 16 17 245 is titled List of Admitted Exhibits and it Α. 18 says underneath the case caption is McVeigh 96-CR -- it is a 19 little illegible. It is the case number for the McVeigh 20 matter. 21 Ο. And 246? 22 246 is -- are the Admitted Exhibits for the 23 Nichols matter. 24 Q. Now, did you engage in some kind of analysis to

connect the first document with these other documents, the

Exhibit 243? 1 2 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection foundation, Your Honor. 3 When was this analysis done and for what purpose? THE COURT: Sustained. I think that you're implying 4 5 that these were all found as a part of the Hoffman file. 6 You have not asked her that question yet. 7 MS. WYER: Okay. (By Ms. Wyer) Did you find all of these documents 8 9 in the *Hoffman* file? 10 Α. Yes. In the civil matter Hoffman V DOJ which is 11 indicated on the serial stamp or handwritten stamp on 12 document exhibit -- Defendant's Exhibit 244. Q. And was there any connection between all of these 13 14 documents as far as you were able to tell? 15 A. Um, the connection --16 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection foundation on that, Your 17 Honor. 18 MS. WYER: I'm asking her a question so she can explain that, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the objection 21 as to being ambiguous as to what you mean by connection. 22 (By Ms. Wyer) Why did you identify these Q. 23 particular exhibits? 24 Again, I was looking for any reference to Α. 25 videotapes because that was the focus of Mr. Trentadue's

request. So I reviewed the civil litigation for the *Hoffman* matter, specifically for any video coverage that there may be. And I located the Rogers declaration which referenced 23 tapes that may be responsive to the *Hoffman* matter.

- Q. And did that -- did the Rogers declaration point you to any other documents?
- A. Yes. It references the list of documents and videotapes that were found.
- Q. And were you able to locate the lists that are referenced in that declaration?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are those exhibits that we have already talked about?
- A. Right. They are the list that we have already discussed, Exhibit 244 and 245 and 246.
- Q. Did you review these materials to see if they identified any additional tapes or documents that would be responsive to the Plaintiff's FOIA request in this case?
- A. I reviewed them and while they may reference a videotape, it is not the video coverage that Mr. Trentadue's request specifically sought.
- Q. And when you say that are you talking in particular about a part of the -- which part of -- what in particular are you talking about there?
 - A. On the request, the narrowed request, he had

asked for specific locations such as the Regency Tower. So

I was focusing on the terms that he provided in the narrowed
request and did not find video coverage for that. On

Defendant's Exhibit 244, on the chart, that references
documents --

- Q. Could we look at Bates stamp 398?
- A. Yes. On the type of document there is one videotape reference and it is the description is tape from Channel 4 regarding bomb site.
- Q. And is that -- is that page under the portion -- could you -- I don't know if you can flip through and see if that page is under a particular heading, on the first page, whereas on Bates Page 405 there is a different heading?
- A. That is correct. There were two charts prepared.

 One for Headquarters Request and one for the field, Oklahoma

 City Request.
- Q. Did you also look at videotapes identified in this Oklahoma City Request list on Bates Page 420?
- A. Yes. The Oklahoma City Request chart on the last page there are several entries for videotapes.
- Q. Did you determine whether anything on these lists identify videotapes responsive to the plaintiff's request that he had not received already?
 - A. Not that he had not received already, no.
 - Q. Now to summarize, did the plaintiff receive a

copy of the Hanger tape that he had requested? 1 2 A copy, yes. Α. 3 And did he receive a copy of a tape showing the Ryder Truck? 4 5 Α. Yes. There was footage on the Regency Tower 6 tape. 7 And was any other tape, other than that one Q. found, that showed a Ryder Truck? 8 Not that I remember. 9 Α. 10 On the morning of the bombing by the Murrah Q. 11 Building? 12 Α. No. 13 And based on your knowledge and experience 14 working in the FBI FOIA Records Office, can you think of any 15 other location within the FBI where records responsive to the plaintiff's request might be found or are likely to be 16 17 found? 18 Α. No. 19 Can you think of any other method for searching 20 that could be used by the FBI that would be likely to locate 21 additional tapes that the plaintiff has not already 22 received? 23 No, not that he has already received, no. Α. 24 MS. WYER: No further questions, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Cross-examination?

1	MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. It may take me a second to
2	set this up, Your Honor, but I think it will go quickly.
3	MS. WYER: Your Honor, I wanted to move to admit
4	Exhibits 243 through 246.
5	THE COURT: Exhibits 243 through 246 will be received.
6	(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibits 243, 244, 245 and 246
7	were received into evidence.)
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. TRENTADUE:
10	Q. Good morning, Ms. Mitchell.
11	A. Good morning.
12	Q. Just to get started on a few things you talked
13	about, before I get into my complete examination, Exhibits
14	243 243, 244, 245 and 246 you say that was part of your
15	spontaneous decision to review the Hoffman file?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. And my request for review of the <i>Hoffman</i> file was
18	part of my initial FOIA request years ago, wasn't it?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. And you do this review within the last four or
21	five months?
22	A. The review, yes, of the Hoffman file.
23	Q. Yes. And you did it to respond to my FOIA
24	request?
25	A. That is correct. Because at the administrative

stage it was an oversight. 1 2 Q. But you didn't send me Exhibit 243, did you, 3 ma'am? I don't know. I personally did not, no. I don't 4 Α. 5 know if counsel did. 6 So you provided those to counsel? 7 Yes. Α. 8 And you didn't send me Exhibit 244, did you, Ο. 9 ma'am? 10 A. No, sir. 11 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. These 12 exhibits are not responsive to the plaintiff's request. THE COURT: Overruled. 13 14 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) You didn't send me 15 Exhibit 245, did you, ma'am? 16 Α. No, sir. 17 You didn't send me Exhibit 246, did you, ma'am? 18 Α. I personally, no. And in truth, in fact, ma'am, and you're under 19 20 oath, you were asked to do this search in preparation for 21 this trial, weren't you? 22 That is not correct, sir. 23 And you communicated this to your trial counsel Ο. 24 though? 25 A. I work under the supervision of the Office of

General Counsel and I went through the file and gave him my 1 2 findings. 3 Q. Now, you say you were in the -- is it the litigation section? 4 Litigation Support Unit. 5 Okay. And is that part of the FOIA response 6 Q. 7 team? The FOIA processing unit. 8 Α. 9 Q. Yes. 10 We're all a team in responding to requests. I'm 11 not sure I understand if we're -- if you're asking if I do 12 FOIA processing, the answer is no. 13 Q. Did I understand you correctly that you, when it 14 goes to litigation, that you take an oversight role? 15 Of what was -- how the requests -- how it was 16 received and handled, yes. 17 And what is being produced, you take an oversight role in that, too? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 And you work with Mr. Hardy? Q. 21 I work under Mr. Hardy. I am under supervision 22 -- I have a supervisor and what is called a unit chief and 23 they report to Mr. Hardy. 24 Q. Okay. But do you report directly to Mr. Hardy?

I don't report directly to Mr. Hardy, I work

25

Α.

1	under Mr. Hardy. I do have meetings with Mr. Hardy if the
2	case warrants.
3	Q. Okay. Did you have meetings with Mr. Hardy about
4	this case?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. When is the last one?
7	A. The last one would have been a week ago.
8	Q. Okay. Who else was there besides you and
9	Mr. Hardy?
10	A. At the meeting it would have been counsel.
11	Q. Okay. And you were going over the testimony
12	you're giving here today?
13	A. My counsel
14	MS. WYER: Objection, calls for privileged
15	information.
16	MR. TRENTADUE: I'm not asking
17	THE COURT: Well, the subject matter of what they were
18	discussing is not privileged. Overruled. You can answer.
19	Just tell the answer is yes or no.
20	THE WITNESS: I am not sure I understand the question.
21	Are you asking if I was in the meeting?
22	THE COURT: Would you repeat the question.
23	Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) You attended a meeting a week
24	ago with Mr. Hardy and counsel?
25	A. That is correct.

1 And the purpose was to prepare for this trial? Q. 2 Correct. Α. 3 Okay. Previous to that time, when had you met with Mr. Hardy? 4 5 I met with him over the course of several -- I mean it varies, it is just whenever it warrants. 6 7 Q. Okay. With respect to this case, how many times 8 have you met with Mr. Hardy? Since 2008? 9 Α. 10 Q. Yes. 11 I would be guessing. I would say 10, 15 times. I would be, again, Your Honor, I would be guessing. 12 13 Q. Was counsel always present when you met with 14 Mr. Hardy? 15 Any time -- any time I speak to Mr. Hardy on any 16 case typically a member of my supervision such as the team 17 captain or my team -- my unit chief who is an attorney, um, 18 or the Office of General Counsel FOIA litigation counsel is 19 present, whether it be Polycom or in person. 20 Q. Now, let's look at Exhibit 214, defense exhibit, 21 if I can do that. I should have gotten this hooked up 22 before. There we go. It is not showing a signal, Your 23 Honor. If I could have just a second. I have it now. If

24

25

THE COURT: Perhaps we could ask the defense for use

you would turn to your exhibit --

of their electronic version of Exhibit 214 then we will have it on the screen. We now have it on the screen.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Yes. Now, if you look at the bottom of the page on Defense Exhibit 214, when you testified that your office prepared these copies for me and they were unredacted, if I understood you correctly you were saying you didn't redact anything you received?
 - A. That is right, I am not a FOIA analyst.
- Q. And when you look at the bottom of the page on 214, that reflects what you received from Oklahoma City, doesn't it?
 - A. The --

- MS. WYER: Objection Your Honor, lack of foundation.
- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Well, you testified about Exhibit 214 that you were familiar with this document and this process, did you not, ma'am?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But what portion? I guess I'm confused. Are you talking about under the DVD copies?
- Q. Yes, it says burned master redacted copy. Now, they don't send you the original from Oklahoma City, do they, ma'am?
- MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. There seems to be confusion in the plaintiff's understanding of this exhibit.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Correct, they don't send you the original?
- A. I don't know. I don't know if they were originals.
- Q. No, but isn't it the practice they usually send you a copy, what they call a master copy?
- A. That is not what this document suggests. The master copy just means that we maintained a master copy in our media room so that if additional requesters came in on the same material that you have requested, we would have a master copy to use for processing additional FOIA requests. And then burned copy for Mr. Trentadue just means that a copy was provided to you.
- Q. Okay. But back on my original question, you don't receive the originals from the field offices, do you, ma'am?
 - A. For this case or in all cases? I mean --
 - Q. For this case?
- A. For this case, I don't believe they were originals.
- Q. So you got whatever they decided to send you or whatever was in the file that they searched in Oklahoma City?
 - A. These were evidence files. I had nothing to

believe -- there is no reason for me to believe that they're 1 2 not. 3 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, move to strike as nonresponsive, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Sustained. The answer is stricken. Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, a little bit about what 6 7 exactly you do with FOIA and how the testimony you gave 8 relates to the various departments and searchable places. 9 May I approach, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: You may. Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, I have showed you -- I 11 12 have handed you a memorandum decision? 13 THE COURT: Do you want to mark this so we have a 14 clear record? 15 MR. TRENTADUE: I'm not going to offer it, Your Honor. 16 I want to ask her --17 THE COURT: We should have a record of what you're using whether you're going to offer it or not. 18 19 MR. TRENTADUE: I think this will be Plaintiff's 67. 20 THE COURT: Okay. This will be marked as Plaintiff's 21 Exhibit 67. 22 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Do you remember this case, 23 ma'am, the *Negley* case? 24 A. It was not one of my cases. I am not familiar 25 with Negley. I have heard the term Negley, but I'm not

familiar with the specifics of Negley.

Q. Well, in this case they mentioned --

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, she said she was not familiar with the case.

MR. TRENTADUE: Well, in this case they mention --

THE COURT: Yeah, let me rule on the objection.

MS. WYER: Lack of foundation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what the question is yet, so I'm going to overrule it.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) There is a discussion in the case, I'll represent to you and not take the time to read it, of search locations inside of the FBI. And that is a little chart I gave you. And the first one, I think you talked about it, was the Investigative Case Management System, correct, ma'am?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what again is the purpose of that?
- A. ICM is where we -- I say we, but I'm not involved in opening and closing cases, but I understand ICM is where you can open and close cases, you can set leads, it is a case management tool for agents and personnel working on their investigations.
- Q. And you search it by an index or do you know that?
 - A. ICM?

2 ICM is not searching the Universal Index as I 3 understand it. Q. Okay. I'm not -- I'm not talking about the 4 5 Universal Index. It is -- you search that by an index, not 6 by Universal Index. In other words, you don't go into text 7 base searches of the Investigative Case Management? 8 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, this is lack of 9 foundation. 10 (By Mr. Trentadue) Have you ever worked with the Q. 11 Investigative Case Management System before? 12 I worked with it -- no. As I mentioned, I don't 13 do investigative cases and that is more to manage your 14 investigative cases. 15 Okay. And then you have Electronic Case File? Ο. 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 And that is ECF? Q. Yes. 18 Α. 19 Which contains, as I understand it, all FBI generated documents? 20 21 Α. Not all, no. 22 Okay. Well, talk about the ones that are in Ο. 23 there. It contains FBI generated documents? 24 It may contain FBI generated documents. Α. 25 But there are some that are not put in there, Q.

1

Q.

Yes.

1	correct?
2	A. That is correct.
3	Q. But as far as the ones that are put in there,
4	they're text base searchable, aren't they?
5	A. Those that are in ECF are text base.
6	Q. And then you have documents that don't go into
7	ECF, correct?
8	A. There would be documents that are not text
9	searchable in ECF.
10	Q. And those documents you call restricted
11	documents?
12	A. I don't know the term restricted.
13	Q. Okay. But there are documents that you don't put
14	in there?
15	A. There may be documents that are not in ECF; that
16	is correct.
17	Q. If you search for these you do a manual search?
18	A. The manual manual indices?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. The manual indices, we would search the manual
21	indices. Everything from 1995 ACS was implemented in
22	1995. So there may not be text search information in ECF
23	that is searchable prior to that date.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. There would be manual cards for criminal

proceedings in our investigations, there would be manual cards from 19 -- I think it is 1978 and earlier there would be a manual card. But everything after 1978 would be in the automated indices, ACS.

- Q. Okay. But the ACS system came into existence in October of 1995?
 - A. Right, it was implemented then.

- Q. And prior to that it was a manual search of the indices?
- A. Again, as I mentioned for -- when you say manual indices, we're talking about cards that existed and you would have to manually search those for let's say a criminal investigation the cards would represent information from 19 -- I think it is 1987 and/or -- sorry, 1978 and earlier. Everything after 1978 was in -- is in ACS.
- Q. Okay. In terms of what doesn't go into ECF, who makes that decision?
- A. Um, I guess it is the individual working the case, so maybe the case agent.
 - MS. WYER: Your Honor, lack of foundation.
- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Well, somebody makes the decision, don't they, ma'am?
 - MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, lack of foundation.
- THE COURT: Well, let me back up and deal with it. As to the first objection, I will sustain that. As to the

second objection, overruled.Q. (By Mr. Trentadue)

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Do you have an understanding of who makes the decision not to put documents into the ECF system?
- A. That would be, I am just guessing, it would be the individual working the cases.
- Q. And in terms of the ECF system, in this case that wasn't searched, was it?
 - A. Which part, I'm sorry.
- Q. In my case, my FOIA request, the ECF wasn't searched, was it?
- A. The ECF wasn't searched because as I mentioned -MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, ma'am, nonresponsive. Move
 to strike.

THE COURT: So far there is nothing to strike, but let me caution you to listen carefully to the question and answer just the question that is asked.

MS. WYER: Your Honor, we ask that the witness be allowed to respond fully to the question.

THE COURT: Well, he is entitled to conduct his cross-examination and she is required to answer the question he asks. If you want to follow up on that you may do so on your redirect. Do you want to repeat the question,

Mr. Trentadue?

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, with respect to my

1	FOIA request, the ECF system was not searched, was it?
2	A. The ECF was not searched.
3	Q. Okay. And that is a text base searchable system,
4	isn't it, or data base?
5	A. For material that is in there, it would be text.
6	It is only as good as the information in there.
7	Q. And then I think you have, if you look at 44?
8	A. 44.
9	Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 44?
10	A. Where is 44?
11	Q. It will be in the blue binder.
12	A. Oh, this one?
13	Q. Yes, ma'am. Maybe I'll come up. Okay, as part
14	of your oversight on this case, fair to say that you
15	reviewed the filings in the case?
16	A. The filings?
17	Q. The briefs and motions I filed in the case?
18	A. Not all of them.
19	Q. You certainly read my correspondence with
20	counsel?
21	A. If it was provided to me. I am not copied on
22	everything.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall seeing this document,
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44?
25	A. No.

Q. At the bottom, and I'll lay the foundation for it with other witnesses, but let me ask you this. You don't ever remember seeing this document and the statement, it had been brought to my attention that the OKBOMB file is restricted?

MS. WYER: Objection, asked and answered, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) And that some of you have not been able to upload documents DTOU Unit Chief blank advised that we are to e-mail all documents related to the Nichols search and any related interviews in Oklahoma City to Oklahoma City to somebody. Also follow this with hardcopies of the documents. She will upload them there. Any problems call me. You don't recall seeing that?
 - A. I have not have seen this document.
- Q. Would it have been any concern to you if you had seen this document and you knew that all of the documents perhaps --
- MS. WYER: Objection, calls for speculation and lack of foundation.

THE COURT: You need to let Mr. Trentadue finish his question before you make your objection.

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Would it have been any concern to you, ma'am, to know that all of the documents were not being uploaded into the ACF in the OKBOMB case?

MS. WYER: Objection, lack of foundation. 1 2 THE COURT: The question is what is in her mind. 3 can answer that. MS. WYER: Assumes facts not in evidence, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, the question doesn't call for it to 6 be in evidence. He simply is asking if that would have been 7 a concern to her. She can answer yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes or no answer only? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, you should answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Well, if you're asking my opinion --11 (By Mr. Trentadue) If it was a concern to you, Q. 12 yes, ma'am? 13 A. Well, may I elaborate? Because I think it is --14 THE COURT: Just answer yes or no first and if 15 Mr. Trentadue wants to follow up he can. 16 THE WITNESS: Ask the question again, sir. 17 (By Mr. Trentadue) Would it concern you, if this Q. 18 was true, that all of the documents in the OKBOMB file are not being uploaded? 19 Α. 20 No. 21 That would certainly have an effect on your 22 ability to do your job though, wouldn't it? MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. This 23 24 document doesn't even say what the plaintiff is purporting 25 that it says. I mean there is --

THE COURT: Again, Ms. Wyer, please let Mr. Trentadue finish his questions before you make your objections.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) If in fact this is true, ma'am, it would certainly impact your ability to do your job as the law required, wouldn't it?
 - A. No.
- Q. So if all of the documents are not being uploaded into the ECF system, that wouldn't interfere with your ability to do your job?
 - A. No.
- Q. And then we look over and we see you also have here what is called an Electronic Surveillance database?
 - A. That is a system of record.
- Q. Yes. And it contains records on the FBI surveillance activities?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And it is searchable by an index?
 - A. The ELSUR indices, correct.
 - Q. And that wasn't searched in this case, was it?
- A. No, but your request was not for ELSUR related material. You asked for surveillance of the surrounding buildings, the Murrah Building. That would not be through the ELSUR, sir.
- Q. So you understood my request was for surveillance cameras from the surrounding buildings?

- A. You asked -- you asked for that as well as other specific locations.
- Q. If we could look at my request, exhibit -- initial request 200?
 - A. Plaintiff or exhibit -- or defendants?
 - Q. Defendant's 200?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And I start out and say, "I request a copy of all surveillance videotapes taken from the area surrounding the Alfred P. Murrah Building on April 19, 1995" and I list some buildings and then I go on and say, "this request would also include the security video tapes from the area that show the Ryder Truck detonation three minutes and six seconds after the suspects exited the truck." And I direct you to a specific secret service timeline, don't I, as to where that came from.
 - A. This is your original request, yes.
- Q. And then I go on and say, "I would also like copies of all reports, including 302's that describe and/or reference the FBI taking possession of these videotapes."
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Then on the second page I say, "I would like a copy of the videotape taken from Oklahoma Highway Patrol Officer Charlie Hanger's patrol car, which recorded the arrest of Timothy McVeigh on April 19, 1995." Then I go on

to say, "I would also like copies of all reports, including 302's that describe and/or reference the FBI taking possession of this videotape."

A. That is correct.

- Q. And then I go on and discuss with you the Hoffman case, and I say, "That case was captioned David Hoffman versus United States, it was brought in the United States

 District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma,"

 correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then even there I even attached, and I referenced the fact that Mr. Hoffman had years ago made the same request as myself. And if you look at page -- the top it will be Page 5 of 22, and this is an order from the Western District of Oklahoma the Hoffman case. Do you see the order, ma'am?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And Page 2 of the order paragraph 3 it says, this is what Mr. Hoffman is asking for, "The videotape taken from OHP Officer Charlie Hanger's patrol car upon the arrest of Timothy James McVeigh on 4/19/95." Paragraph 4 he asks for "Surveillance videos taken from the area surrounding -- from the area surrounding the Alfred P. Murrah Building on August -- on April 19, 1995," basically the same request I make, correct, ma'am?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Word for word almost, isn't it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then if you turn over to the next page,

 Page 11, then they're talking about a declaration of Mr. -is it Hodes? Do you know Mr. Hodes?
 - A. I have heard the name.
 - Q. What was his role with the FBI, if you know?
- A. I don't really remember. Honestly, I have heard the name.
- Q. Okay. But he apparently provided a declaration as to what the FBI was withholding from Mr. Hoffman's request, correct?
- A. I don't know without reading this. Which paragraph would you like me to read?
 - Q. Just read the top two.
 - A. What was the question?
- Q. And then if you -- the question is, he provides an affidavit or declaration to the court saying what the FBI found that was responsive to his request. And if you turn to the -- see the next page, Page 12, second paragraph, it says, "Concerning the searches for records requested by plaintiff, Mr. Hodes states in full:" And there is a description of the search and then it has FBI HQ. What do you understand that to be, ma'am?

I am not sure I am following your exhibit. So am 1 2 I looking at the number at the bottom? 3 Q. No. The Bate number or the top --4 5 Let's go with the number at the bottom. It would 6 be number 11 at the bottom? 7 Well the Bate Number 11. 8 Ο. Yes. 9 Α. The D-00011. 10 Q. Yes. 11 Because there are like three numbers on this Α. 12 page. So where on this page then am I looking? 13 Q. You see it starts the first full complete 14 paragraph says, "Concerning the searches for records." 15 Yes, sir. Α. And then Mr. Hoffman describes a search and then 16 Q. 17 he states down there he has an entry says, so the court responds or supposedly quotes Mr. Hoffman and says, FBI HQ. 18 19 What do you understand that to mean, ma'am? 20 FBI headquarters. Α. 21 That would be in Washington, D.C.? Q. 22 Α. D.C. 23 And it says 300 documents totalling 1,500 pages? Q. 24 Yes, sir, I see that. Α. 25 Q. One videotape?

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Did you make any inquiry of any one at FBI
3	headquarters what those 300 documents were?
4	A. No, sir. The headquarters file was also at the
5	field.
6	Q. And you reviewed the headquarters file?
7	A. I did not review the I didn't review any file.
8	No, sir.
9	Q. It says one videotape is being kept at FBI
10	headquarters?
11	A. That is what it says.
12	Q. Did you make any effort to find out what that one
13	videotape was?
14	A. No, sir.
15	Q. Didn't it seem strange to you in your history of
16	working with FOIA that FBI headquarters would keep evidence
17	rather than the field office that handles the investigation?
18	MS. WYER: Objection, calls for speculation.
19	THE COURT: I don't know how it calls for speculation
20	if he is asking her view of it. Maybe you could reformulate
21	the question.
22	Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) You handled many FOIA
23	requests?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. And in this particular case you went to the

Oklahoma City Field Office which handled the initial investigation, correct?

- A. Yes, sir. But they also maintained all OKBOMB related material.
- Q. Right. Because they were all transferred there, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And do you have any understanding as to how long they were going to keep that material there?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you have any understanding as to why they're keeping it?
- A. Um, I don't know what the retention policy is on that file. I don't know.
- Q. As far as you know, the policy is to keep material until they decide to get rid of it I guess?
- A. No, I think we're -- I think it is dependent upon the regulations set by NARA as to how long we keep files.
 - Q. But they are obviously keeping that?
 - A. For however long they are required to do so.
- Q. But my question to you, in your experience you always find it is the investigating field office that maintains the records on the case. I realize there are field offices that may do other parts of the investigation, but the case that is in charge the field office that is

in charge of the investigation maintains the evidence?

- A. Again, I don't do investigations, I can only speak to this case.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever had a case where you have had the FBI headquarters keeping 300 documents totalling 1,500 pages in a major criminal case?
- A. I have not had a request similar to yours so I can't answer that.
- Q. Have you ever had a similar case where the FBI headquarters is keeping one videotape in a major case such as OKBOMB?
- A. I don't know if they are keeping a tape that you're suggesting based on this declaration. I don't have personal knowledge of that, sir.
- Q. But you certainly didn't follow up and ask, did you?
- A. Again, all of the material was at the field. All of it is in the warehouse and we did searches for what you asked.
- Q. It is your understanding, ma'am, to be truthful and totally accurate that all of the materials are in the warehouse, correct?
 - A. As I understand, yes.
- Q. But you don't know that to be true though, do you, ma'am?

How would I know that for 100 percent? I can't 1 2 answer that. 3 Then if you look at 203, and this was my narrowed Q. request? 4 5 Α. Which number, I'm sorry? Plaintiff's number 203? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Plaintiffs --It would be in the blue book. 8 Ο. 9 Α. I didn't hear the number. 10 Q. It is 203. Actually it will be in the FBI's -it will be in the black binder. 11 12 And it is two what, I'm sorry? Α. 13 203. And that is my narrowed request? 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 Okay. And I say I want the Murrah Building videotapes and I ask for taken from externally mounted 16 17 cameras, don't I? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And I ask for the Journal Record Building and 20 again I ask for externally mounted cameras, don't I? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 And the Regency Tower Apartment Building I say Q. 23 externally mounted cameras, correct? 24 Α. That is correct. 25 And I do the same for the U.S. Post Office Q.

Building? 1 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 The Water Resources Building? Q. External, yes. 4 Α. 5 Q. The YMCA Building? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And U.S. Federal Courthouse? 0. 8 Α. Correct. The Old U.S. Federal Courthouse? 9 Q. 10 Α. Correct. 11 Former Oklahoma City Main Library? Q. 12 That is right. Α. 13 0. The parking lot at Sixth Street and Hudson? 14 Yes. Α. 15 All externally mounted cameras? Q. 16 Α. Correct. 17 And then I go on to say, in addition to these, I want most the ones taken from the Murrah Building on the 18 19 morning of April 19th, 1995. FBI documents currently in my 20 possession describe the security videotapes as showing a 21 Ryder Truck pulling up to the federal building and then 22 pausing, 7 to 10 seconds, before resuming into a slot in the 23 front of the building. The truck detonates three minutes 24 and six seconds after the suspects exited the vehicle. That

25

is what I asked for?

1 Α. Correct. 2 And did you, in the course of my correspondence 3 with your counsel, you have undoubtedly seen that timeline where that description of the tape where the videotape 4 5 occurs, haven't you, ma'am? 6 Α. Um, yes. 7 Did you do anything to follow up on that to see Ο. if it was true? 8 9 Α. Um --10 I mean here you have to admit that the Q. 11 description is pretty detailed? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 It says seven to ten seconds pausing before 14 resuming into the slot. Detonation three minutes and 15 six seconds after the suspects exited the vehicle. Pretty 16 specific? 17 MS. WYER: Objection relevance, Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 18 19 (By Mr. Trentadue) Didn't it come to your mind 20 that that tape may exist? 21 MS. WYER: Objection relevance. 22 THE COURT: Um --23 THE WITNESS: From everything that we did, we went 24 above and beyond.

THE COURT: Let me rule on the objection.

Mr. Trentadue, in terms of the existence of the tape and the question you have just framed, articulate why you believe that is relevant?

MR. TRENTADUE: It is not relevant.

THE COURT: Okay. Then the objection --

MR. TRENTADUE: That is what I'm asking is, armed with this knowledge, did you do anything to follow up?

THE COURT: That question I will allow.

MR. TRENTADUE: To see if there was something to it.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I have had numerous communications with the field. Each time that you submitted, whether it be by letter or inquiry to counsel, each time we or I personally contacted the field. Again, they had the most knowledge of this case. May I?

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) But in this particular timeline, they mentioned names, Secret Service Agent McNulty who prepared the timeline, they mentioned that information is being imparted to them by Director Freeh and someone else at FBI headquarters. Did you ask anyone about Director Freeh's involvement in providing this information?
- A. With respect to the timeline that you refer to, one, I don't know the authenticity of the document. Two --

THE COURT: Let me remind you. Listen to the question again and answer just the question that is asked. If your counsel wants you to give a further explanation, she can do

that on her direct. Would you repeat your question, 1 2 Mr. Trentadue? 3 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Other than -- yes, Your Honor. Other than ask someone within the Bureau of Oklahoma 4 5 City, did you make any effort to inquire of anyone at 6 headquarters if this had occurred? 7 Α. No. And where evidence of the possible existence of 8 Ο. 9 records related to this tape might exist? 10 A. No, I didn't. 11 Now, on your potential sources of search, you had 12 the ZyImage, don't you, or ZyData base? 13 I believe we referred to it as the ZyIndex. 14 Q. Okay, ZyIndex. And that is a stand-alone 15 database, isn't it? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And it is, you know, text base searches don't Q. 18 you? 19 Α. Zy allows for text base. 20 So if you type in the records it will produce the Q. 21 documents that contain those words? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Okay. Now part of the FBI's official file system Ο. 24 are sub files, aren't there? 25 Α. There are sub files.

1 Q. Huh? 2 Yes, some files there are sub files. 3 And sub files are dedicated to a particular Q. subject, aren't they? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 MS. WYER: Objection, lack of foundation. (By Mr. Trentadue) You have reviewed the records Q. 7 8 in part of the FOIA request, correct, the FBI? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. You have become familiar with their record 11 keeping process, I would think? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And you have become familiar with their record 14 preparation process I would think? 15 Um, I don't -- I'm sorry. For example, you know what a sub file is? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And in each sub file is a discrete category of 19 the main file, isn't it? 20 Α. It pertains to the main, is that what you mean? 21 Yes, I mean dedicated to a particular subject? Q. 22 That is right. But the information in the sub Α. 23 could also be in the main. It just depends, yes. 24 Q. But --25 There could be a copy. Α.

But typically sub files are dedicated to specific 1 Q. 2 subjects? 3 Α. Right. Q. Okay. And not all sub files are uploaded into 4 5 the ECF, are they? 6 I think we have talked about this before. Not 7 everything is uploaded into ECF. And by the same token, not all sub files are 8 9 uploaded into the you call it the ZyImage? 10 Α. Zy. 11 Q. Index? 12 A. I don't personally use Zy, I don't know what is 13 uploaded into Zy. 14 Q. Do you understand that if you're looking for a 15 sub file that hasn't been uploaded, you have to use a manual index? 16 17 Um, not necessarily, no. Well, but isn't it -- it is required in many 18 instances, isn't it? If it is not -- if it is not part of 19 the ZyIndex system, it is not part of the ECF system, then 20 21 you are going to have to do a manual search on an index 22 word, aren't you? 23 A. Well, there are other indexes available at the 24 field that -- I mean there are other ways to find it other 25 than manually searching.

1 Q. Okay. Do you know if a search was done for the 2 sub files in response to my FOIA request? Α. 3 A manual search was not done, but they did search ZyIndex as well as an evidence database that was used during 4 5 discovery for the criminal proceedings. 6 Q. But if the material I'm looking for is not in 7 those databases, then you're not going to find it with a text base search? 8 9 Α. No, sir. 10 And then you have a system they refer to as the Q. 11 -- that little handout I gave you the index cards? 12 Α. Yes. Number five? That is what you're talking about. 13 14 You became automated in '95. Back before then, you did a 15 search on index cards? 16 A. Right. There were -- there were manual index 17 cards, three by five cards. And those would be both at the field office? 18 Q. 19 No, all of that material has been transferred out 20 to Winchester. 21 Okay. And then you have what is called a 22 Universal Index, you call it UNI? 23 The Universal Index, correct. Α. 24 And that is an index of all FBI investigative Q. 25 administrative cases?

1 Α. That is correct. 2 And the agent who prepares the report prepares Q. 3 the index, doesn't he? Those working on the case would indicate what 4 5 would be indexed. 6 Q. So you have what goes in there is the names of 7 the individuals and entities that are the subject of the 8 investigation? 9 A. They put in pertinent things that they believe 10 they can access their case files. 11 Yeah, so it would be names? Q. 12 Α. It could be names. 13 Dates of birth, contact information, that sort of 14 thing? 15 Date of events, organizations that they're associated with, anything to help them locate their case 16 17 file. Q. But it is, other than that, it is discretionary 18 19 with the agents what other information he puts in there, 20 correct? 21 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. 22 23 THE WITNESS: It is discretionary, but keep in mind 24 they retrieve this information. They use this data to 25 retrieve their case -- their investigative cases.

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) But the agent makes the decision and if the agent decides not to put in a descriptive word such as videotape or Murrah Building, it will not be found by search of the Universal Index, will it?

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, this is irrelevant because here the only search in ACS that was done was for the term OKBOMB so which referred to the main file in Oklahoma City. So the question of what other search terms in ACS and the indices might be there is not relevant.

THE COURT: I think the question is whether these files should have been searched.

MS. WYER: Well, Your Honor, the main file where all such documents would be was located through the one search with one search term that was used.

THE COURT: Well --

MS. WYER: There was only one ACS search. Everything else was done through other -- one index search and all of the other searching was done through other means. So this is not relevant.

THE COURT: Well, I think it is relevant. The question is is whether it was within the scope of what should have been searched. I think that is Mr. Trentadue's point.

MR. TRENTADUE: It is.

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) So the one search you did was

just for the OKBOMB file?

- A. Are you talking about me?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Or personally?
- Q. Well, what was done in this case?
- A. Um --
- Q. Of UNI?
- A. Well, UNI is part of ACS. There were other searches done of ACS. You're specifically talking about one of the components which is UNI. Um, there are three components and we did use ICM to search for responsive material as well as the ZyIndex that you have referenced here as well as the evidence database. We did multiple searches. While you're suggesting that we didn't search but the term tape or whatever term it was that you used I believe it was tape or video to suggest that -- that we didn't find what was responsive based on searching only UNI, that is not the case here. We did multiple searches of the various databases.
- Q And we'll talk about that. Are you familiar with the term search slip?
 - A. Correct, there are search slips.
- Q. And actually the person who performs the search has a search slip which tells what the search you're going to conduct, correct?

1 That is a practice at RIDS. Whether or not the Α. 2 field, I can't speak for the field, but --3 Q. But there is a search slip, it tells what searches were done, correct? 4 5 At our location. I can only speak for our 6 location, yes, sir. And it tells what search terms were used? 7 Ο. Which we have explained, yes. 8 9 And it says if there are any restrictions on the Q. 10 search it would indicate that too, wouldn't it? 11 Α. Restrictions? We search based on the terms provided in the request. So if you limited it to the date, 12 then that would be a restriction. 13 14 O. But if there are other restrictions that would be 15 reflected on the search term, wouldn't it? 16 Α. I don't know what you mean -- -17 Q. Search slip? I don't know what you mean by restriction other 18 19 than like a cut off date or a specific event date. I don't 20 know what you mean by restriction. 21 Q. But you say dates are one restriction that are 22 reflected on the search slip? 23 If we're speaking specifically for your request,

we would limit based on the terms you provided and the date

provided that you wanted information on.

24

1 And I take it then you have a search slip for the Q. 2 search that was done at your location? 3 Α. At the admin stage, correct. I don't recall seeing that ever being produced? 4 5 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. There 6 has been no discovery so no reason that that would be 7 produced. THE COURT: Well, maybe it should have been produced. 8 9 If this would be a convenient place for us to take our mid 10 morning break, Mr. Trentadue. 11 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. If we could have 12 Mr. Gardner up here to give me a hand. I can move a lot 13 faster if I can get my system working. It works from the 14 table. 15 THE COURT: Let's take a 15 minute break so we can 16 solve this problem. 17 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. 18 (Recess.) 19 THE COURT: We are back in Trentadue versus the FBI. 20 Mr. Trentadue, you may continue. 21 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. 22 (By Mr. Trentadue) Ms. Mitchell, if you would 0. 23 look at the blue binder, Exhibit 54. That is Mr. Hardy's 24 Third Supplemental Declaration filed in this case.

Yes, sir.

Α.

You have reviewed that, haven't you, ma'am? 1 Q. 2 Reviewed? Α. 3 Looked at it? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. Read it? 6 Yes, I didn't hear you, sorry. 7 In fact, I think he has filed six, I think, 0. declarations? 8 9 Α. That is correct. 10 Q. And you have looked at all of those and reviewed 11 them? 12 Α. That is right. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you. 13 14 Correct. Α. 15 Correct. And on page -- in Exhibit 54, if you look at 54.10, it will be page -- it will be Page 10 16 17 Paragraph 20. Mr. Hardy says in that paragraph, having reviewed all of the plaintiff's filings in the case, I 18 19 continue to attest that all locations likely to contain 20 information responsive to plaintiff's FOIA request have been searched and all responsive information that was located 21 22 through the searches have been provided to the plaintiff. 23 Mr. Hardy said that, didn't he? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And he goes on to say on Page 10-11, he says, I Q.

1 am unaware of the existence or likely location of additional 2 -- likely location of additional tapes responsive to 3 plaintiff's FOIA request including tapes from the Murrah building or any additional Hanger tapes other than the tape 4 5 that plaintiff already received and do not know of anyone 6 else -- of anyone who would know where additional tapes 7 would be located? Yes. 8 Α. 9 And Mr. Hardy said that? Q. 10 That is what is written, yes. Α. 11 Now if you would look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 40? Q. 12 THE COURT: Do you want PX -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 54 13 received into evidence? 14 MR. TRENTADUE: I beg your pardon? THE COURT: Do you want Plaintiff's Exhibit 54 15 16 received into evidence? 17 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. I would offer the portions I 18 have read, Your Honor, of the exhibit, but not the entire Exhibit 54. 19 20 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) And this is a lead control number out of the OKBOMB case. Down at the bottom it talks 21 22 in terms of videotapes being kept at the Washington 23 Metropolitan Field Office, correct? 24 A. It mentions the field, yes.

Q. Okay. Did you make any inquiry of the Washington

Metropolitan Field Office? 1 2 No, sir. Α. 3 Do you have any understanding why they would have tapes in the bombing case in Oklahoma at the Washington 4 5 Metropolitan Field Office? Again, all of the material would have been sent 6 7 to the field, Oklahoma City that is. MR. TRENTADUE: Move to strike as nonresponsive. 8 9 (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, my question was would Q. 10 you have any understanding why they would have had the tape 11 there? 12 Α. No. 13 MS. WYER: Objection, lack of foundation, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: I'm going to strike her previous answer 15 and I'll -- because there has been no foundation for her 16 having personal knowledge of that. And as to the second 17 objection, as to the objection, I'm going to overrule that. She can be asked whether she has some understanding. 18 19 (By Mr. Trentadue) Do you have an understanding 20 why they would keep a tape in the Washington Metropolitan 21 Field Office? 22 Α. No. 23 MR. TRENTADUE: Ma'am, if you would look at 24 Exhibit 10. 25 THE COURT: Do you want Exhibit 40 to be received as a

1 part of the record? 2 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor, relevance. This document 4 refers to something that has nothing to do with the 5 6 Plaintiff's FOIA request. THE COURT: It has to do with whether or not there 7 were locations that should be reasonably searched. 8 9 MS. WYER: This document does not have anything to do 10 with that, Your Honor. 11 MR. TRENTADUE: I disagree, Your Honor. Apparently 12 they had a tape that was important enough to keep in the 13 Washington Metropolitan Field Office. 14 MS. WYER: There is nothing on the face of that 15 document that identifies it as potentially responsive to the 16 Plaintiff's FOIA request, Your Honor. THE COURT: The document will be received. Objection 17 18 is overruled. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 was received 19 20 into evidence.) 21 (By Mr. Trentadue) Exhibit 10 is Mr. Hardy's 22 First Declaration in this case. You read that too, didn't 23 you, ma'am? 24 A. Yes, sir.

Now, if you look at Page 13, in Paragraph 35

25

Q.

- Mr. Hardy describes the search terms used in the ZyIndex System, doesn't he, ma'am?
 - A. The ZyIndex, yes.
- Q. ZyIndex. And among others he says Murrah Federal Building, Journal Record Building, Regency Tower Apartment Building, Southwest Bell Building, YMCA Building, Ryder Truck, Hanger, surveillance, video, tape and camera?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it is your understanding that these were the search terms used on the ZyIndex, correct?
 - A. Speaking of Zy, correct.
- Q. Yeah. You don't have any personal knowledge of that, but it is your understanding these were the terms that were used, correct?
- A. I do have personal knowledge because I coordinated the searches with Linda Vernon.
- Q. Okay. And fair to say that using these terms would have produced in the ZyIndex every document that contained one of these terms?
 - A. In Zy.
 - Q. Yes, sir?
- A. ZyIndex would locate documents, but not the chain of custody.
- Q. No, but I mean it would come up with documents that said any document that had used Murrah Building would

1	be it is a text based searchable database, isn't it?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. So every document if you wanted to know every
4	document that said Murrah Building, it would produce it?
5	A. We're talking OKBOMB.
6	Q. Yes.
7	A. Yes, ZyIndex was used for the Oklahoma City
8	investigation.
9	Q. And they use them for other major cases too,
L O	don't they, it is a stand-alone for each major case?
11	A. Not each, no.
L2	Q. Okay. But in OKBOMB they used it?
L3	A. In OKBOMB they used it.
L 4	Q. Now, would the ECF allow you to search all of the
15	records in the FBI in that system with one search or is it
L 6	case specific?
L 7	A. No, you would have to enter, just as we did here
18	for the ZyIndex. You couldn't search, you couldn't add
L 9	connectors to all of these terms and do one search.
20	Q. No, what I mean is the ZyIndex we're talking
21	about here searched the OKBOMB records, correct?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. Would the ECF I think that is what it was
24	called.
25	A. ECF.

Would a search in that search all files? 1 Q. 2 Um, no, because of the date that ACS was Α. 3 implemented was after OKBOMB. 4 That was a poor question. I meant to ask you, so 5 OKBOMB materials would not be in the ECF system? 6 Α. Some but not all. 7 Okay. But when you search ECF, would a search 0. search other cases other than OKBOMB? 8 9 In ECF, if you did a generic term is that what 10 you --11 Q. Yes? If there was uploadable text, it could find, it 12 13 is possible. 14 It is a text based search though, isn't it? Ο. 15 That is correct. But it contains all of the documents that are 16 Q. 17 uploaded into the ECF from investigations could be searched with a text base search of ECF? 18 19 If it was uploaded, if there was text based --Α. 20 Ο. Search? 21 -- search. Α. 22 Ο. Yes. 23 Uh-huh (affirmative). Α. 24 And so if you had, for example, misplaced a Q. 25 document, misfiled it, a place to look for it might be ECF,

1 wouldn't it? If it wasn't filed in a particular file it 2 should have been? 3 Again, the ECF is only as good as the information Α. that is in there. If it wasn't uploaded, you wouldn't find 4 5 it --6 Ο. But if it was --7 -- through the ECF search. 8 But if it was uploaded, you would find it Ο. 9 certainly, wouldn't you? 10 A. You could locate it that way. If it -- if it 11 didn't predate the implement -- when ACS was implemented and 12 yes if searched, if text was available. 13 Q. Now, when you do a search and we would offer Your 14 Honor Paragraph 35 of Mr. Hardy's declaration from 15 Exhibit 10? 16 THE COURT: Any objection to receiving just that 17 paragraph? 18 MS. WYER: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: That paragraph will be received. 20 (Whereupon, Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 was 21 received into evidence.) 22 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, when you do a search for 23 this, ma'am, it is going to produce a lot of documents using 24 these terms, isn't it? 25 A. I have never seen ZyIndex to know what the list

or hits. I mean I don't know what it looks like. 1 2 Q. I guess it would depend on how many there were, 3 wouldn't it? That is correct. 4 5 But it will produce some responsive documents and 6 some nonresponsive, correct? 7 Depending on the hits, correct. 8 And somebody has to review the documents to see 9 whether it is responsive to my request or it is not 10 responsive, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Who did that review in this case? 13 We reached out to the field so that would -- the 14 field would be the one that would know the answer. I 15 wouldn't. Q. So you don't know how many documents are produced 16 17 and you don't know what the review consisted of? MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, confusing. Is this 18 an ECF search he is talking about? 19 20 THE COURT: Clarify your question. 21 (By Mr. Trentadue) The ZyIndex search was done Q. 22 in this case? 23 Zy was done. Α. 24 Q. And it was done using these terms in 25 Paragraph 35?

- A. Correct.
- Q. I'm assuming, therefore, that there were documents produced that may not have been responsive to my FOIA request?
- A. Hits, not documents produced, but hits, potential hits.
- Q. Potential hits. And somebody would have to review the documents reflected by those hits to see if they fell within the scope of my request, correct?
- A. Again, I have not used Zy. But if it is similar to ACS using the UNI and you get the hits, that is correct.
 - Q. Do you know if Mr. Hardy made that review?
 - A. He made the review of Zy -- of the ZyIndex hits.
 - Q. For responsive and nonresponsive documents?
 - A. Um, no.
 - Q. You know or you don't know?
- A. No, he would not have performed that search.

 Again, all of the information is at the field.
- Q. I'm not saying he did the search, I'm saying would they have sent the documents to him and said these are what we found, you decide what is responsive and what is not responsive?
- A. Again, the file is massive. Potentially these potential hits could produce -- I mean I would be guessing how many pages. They would not send the material to us.

- 1 They would review it at the field.
- Q. Well, who would review -- exemptions were claimed in this case?
 - A. Well, you're talking about the 200 pages that were produced.
 - O. Yes. Yes.

- A. That is different.
- Q. Well, a hit we're talking about reflects a page, doesn't it?
- A. The hit could be -- could be -- the hit list from the Zy, we're still talking Zy.
 - Q. Yes.
- A. The list may -- if we're doing a generic search such as what is in 35 for surveillance or video or tape, the list could generate a massive amount of material that the field would have to review to determine if it was responsive to your request.
- Q. Okay. And but also with respect to -- out of that material, 160 pages were determined to be responsive?
 - A. 200 pages were determined to be.
 - Q. But 160 reflecting the FBI's own records?
 - A. 164, correct.
- Q. 164, correct. And so those were the ones that were found using these generic search terms?
 - A. Not ZyIndex alone.

Q. But they were found?

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. Under 403 this is wasteful. We're calling the witness who actually performed the ZyIndex search. This witness did not perform that search.

MR. TRENTADUE: I gathered that. I'm saying you told me Mr. Hardy doesn't, but also exemptions were declared as --

THE COURT: Let me rule on the objection. The objection is overruled.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) The exemptions were claimed for 164 of those, I mean, for a number of those documents, correct?
- A. Yes. That is done at our location through the FOIA unit.
- Q. Okay. And so your location blacked out some of the names for personal information reasons?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And some documents you withheld in their entirety?
- A. Only those that were referred and you later got those.
- Q. I'm saying but whoever made the determination as to responsiveness that wasn't you, that is all I wanted to make clear on that. Now --

1 THE COURT: Let her answer the question. Is that 2 correct? 3 THE WITNESS: No, that is not correct. When the material came to me I determined -- I agreed that the 4 5 material she sent related to your request. 6 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Okay. So you did your own 7 independent review? 8 I looked at the material. That is my job. 9 Q. Okay. That is what I wasn't sure of, ma'am. Now 10 Mr. Hardy in his declaration has a number of exhibits 11 attached. And if you see at the top of the page up here, 12 for example, the page we're looking at here now, says 13 Page 13 of 96? 14 Yes, sir, the pacer, the docket. 15 Yes. And look at Page 37 of 96? Q. 16 The cover sheet. Α. 17 Q. Yes. And that is Exhibit H, right? 18 Α. Η. 19 And if you turn around to the next page on 38, 20 that is a letter? 21 Α. A letter. 22 That I wrote to Ms. Wyer, your counsel, correct? Q. 23 Correct, DOJ counsel. Α. 24 Yes. And it is regarding the Hanger videotape? Q. 25 Yes, sir. Α.

MR. TRENTADUE: And the Hanger videotape is Exhibit 1, 1 2 Your Honor. We would offer that. 3 THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit 1? MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor, relevance. The tape is 4 5 not -- he got the tape so the content of the tape is not 6 relevant. 7 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I didn't get the tape I 8 requested so I think it is very much relevant. 9 THE COURT: Is there any dispute that this is, in 10 fact, the tape that was provided? 11 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir, no dispute to the fact that 12 is the tape. THE COURT: Ms. Wyer, any dispute that this was the 13 14 tape that was provided? 15 MS. WYER: I have not looked at the tape, Your Honor. 16 If the plaintiff represents to the court that this is the 17 tape that he received from the FBI we will accept that. THE COURT: Objection is overruled. The document is 18 19 received. 20 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 was received 21 into evidence.) 22 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, you reviewed that tape 23 didn't you, ma'am? 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Okay, you didn't. I'm afraid to do this, Your

Honor, but I'm going to try to play Exhibit 1, just a small portion of it.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 was played.)

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) I asked for the tape, ma'am, of the arrest of Timothy McVeigh, didn't I? The videotape of Trooper Hanger's dash cam recorder in that letter?
 - A. In this letter?
- Q. I'm referring to the tape -- that was part of my FOIA request was the tape of Mr. McVeigh's arrest?
 - A. That is what I was going to look at.
 - Q. This doesn't show Mr. McVeigh's arrest, does it?
 - A. No, it doesn't show an individual but that's --
- Q. It shows an empty car on the side of the road, correct?
- A. It does, but that is -- I don't see an individual. The individual, I would be speculating as to where the individual is, maybe he is already arrested.

(Whereupon, the video ended.)

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) But I am writing back this letter April 10 -- April 9, 2009, I'm writing back to your counsel saying, look, I got the tape, and I said I include an attached story from May 12th, 1995, Houston Chronicle, where they describe a truck being pulled over with McVeigh in the course of that arrest, don't I?
 - A. You have an attachment, that is correct.

Q. I even include the article, don't I?

A. Yes.

Q. And I quote, and the article says, "Law enforcement sources said Thursday night that Colbern" the guy they said that was stopped with McVeigh or following McVeigh, "was identified through his brown pickup. It was captured, by chance, on video taken from the state trooper's car that stopped Timothy McVeigh for speeding only 80 minutes after the blast."

"An automatic camera in the car of Trooper Charles

Hanger was taping the arrest of McVeigh. In the background

was the image of the pickup, which also pulled over while

McVeigh was being questioned."

Now, I sent that article to your counsel but I'm assuming it must have been forwarded to your office because Mr. Hardy includes it in his deposition as exhibit -- or his declaration as Exhibit H, doesn't he?

A. That is correct.

MR. TRENTADUE: We would offer Exhibit H, Your Honor.

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay.

MR. TRENTADUE: It is not being offered for the truth of the matter, Your Honor, only that the information was conveyed.

THE COURT: It is received for that purpose. The objection is overruled.

MR. TRENTADUE: It is 10, Mr. Hardy's Exhibit 10 contains a number of exhibits attached as --

THE COURT: It is Exhibit H to Exhibit 10. Okay. Exhibit H to Exhibit 10 is received.

(Whereupon, Exhibit H to Exhibit 10 was received into evidence.)

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Do you know what, if anything, was done to follow up on that story to see if it was true and who that source of the information was?
- A. I think I previously indicated that after every inquiry you made I reached out to the field. We did the search, we located the OHP which stands for the Oklahoma Highway Patrol that was indicated on the DVD that you just played that is what we received. That was the only copy that we had that we provided.
 - Q. And that was Exhibit 213, wasn't it?
 - A. I don't remember what exhibit.
- Q. If we look at that one in the binder, maybe this will save you having to look back, it says the Defendant's Exhibit 213, chart Oklahoma Highway Patrol Hanger, one videotape?
 - A. That is what we provided, yes.
- Q. And this is -- and the second page on the bottom it says 54, that is copy of the videotape that you received from Oklahoma?

- 1
- That is the copy, yes. Α.
- 2

And that is a DVD, isn't it, ma'am? Q.

3

It is a DVD. Α.

- 4
- And when you look at all of the other tapes you received in that same period of time, such as the next page,
- 5 6
- they are VHSs, aren't they?
- 7

Α. They are.

is not clear, Your Honor.

- 8
- And then if you would turn to Exhibit K, in
- 9
- Mr. Hardy's declarations which is on Page 48 at the top, if

you turn to the next page it is letter of April 26, 2009.

10

11

- It is again addressed to your counsel. And on the second
- 12
- page I ask counsel I say, next, I have a question about the
- 13
- videotape from the dash board camera in Oklahoma Patrolman
- 14
- Hanger's car that was taken on the morning of April 19,
- 15
- 1995, the day he was arrested -- he arrested Tim McVeigh.

Is the FBI willing to send the original videotape to Salt

16

17

- Lake City so that I can view it at either your office or the
- 18
- FBI Field Office -- Salt Lake City Field Office?
- 19

That is what the letter says.

- 20
- MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit K to Mr. Hardy's declaration which is Exhibit 10.
- 21

22

- MS. WYER: For what purpose is this being offered?
- 23
- MR. TRENTADUE: Because, Your Honor, I will tie --
- 25

24

connect it up but it will show through a series of

correspondence that there was never any assertions made that they didn't have the original tape. There was never any assertions made that anything had happened to that tape in the correspondence with me.

THE COURT: Any objection for that purpose?

MS. WYER: I am not sure I understand.

THE COURT: His explanation was that it is offered for showing that a request was made and no search was made to follow up on the request.

MS. WYER: The word original is ambiguous because I don't know whether it means the original --

THE COURT: That is argument. That is argument. I'm going to overrule the objection and that exhibit is received.

(Whereupon, Exhibit K to Exhibit 10 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, if you would turn to Exhibit L now which is Page 51. And if you turn to the next page this is a letter received back from Ms. Wyer. If you look at the paragraph I have highlighted near the bottom it says, "In regard to your request to review the original of the videotape that was previously sent to you, that simply goes far beyond the scope of anything that FOIA requires. The FBI has previously indicated that the copy you received is a complete and unedited copy of the videotape."

1 That is what it says, that is correct. Α. 2 MR. TRENTADUE: And move to strike the last response 3 as nonresponsive, Your Honor. THE COURT: Which part do you want stricken? 4 MR. TRENTADUE: I read to you the section that says 5 6 the tape was -- I think it was sent -- let me ask you a 7 question, ma'am. 8 (By Mr. Trentadue) You read that language that I 9 quoted. Is there anything in there that says that the FBI 10 didn't have the original videotape? 11 It does not say anything about an original. MR. TRENTADUE: Okay. We offer Exhibit L to 12 13 Mr. Hardy's Declaration Exhibit 10. 14 THE COURT: Any objection? 15 MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor, object relevance. 16 something that I wrote based on my understanding. It 17 doesn't represent anything about whether the FBI had an 18 original tape or not. This is whether the plaintiff could 19 view the tape, the tape from which the copy was made. THE COURT: Overruled. Exhibit L to Exhibit 10 is 20 21 received. 22 (Whereupon, Exhibit L to Exhibit 10 was 23 received into evidence.) 24 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) If you look at Exhibit M, 25 ma'am, which is Page 53, and I am writing this as of May 8,

2009, letter and I said, "I would, however, ask your client to reconsider its position on the Hanger videotape. Toward that end, I have enclosed another newspaper story about the contents of that tape, including the presence of Steven Colbern's truck in the tape. This story is about the initial appearance of Colbern in Federal Court in Arizona."

If you turnover several pages, ma'am, there is the article and the last page I think is highlighted, let me put the highlight in for you. And it says, "Authorities call -- said Colbern owns the brown pickup that was caught on an Oklahoma Trooper's video camera when McVeigh, the prime subject in the April 19th bombing, was stopped on a traffic violation 90 minutes after the blast."

Do you know what, if anything, was done to follow up on this additional information about the existence -- about the contents of the tape that I had received?

A. I think I have answered this before.

MR. TRENTADUE: Okay. Move to admit, Your Honor, Exhibit M to Mr. Hardy's declaration which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 10.

MS. WYER: We object to this on grounds of hearsay,
Your Honor. The plaintiff has just represented that he is
representing the content of this article as the truth.

MR. TRENTADUE: No I'm not, Your Honor. I have offered it for the limited admission that this isn't about

the truth of this matter. I'm offering it as non hearsay that the statements were made and the information was communicated to the plaintiff.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. It is received for that purpose.

(Whereupon, Exhibit M to Exhibit 10 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) If you look at Exhibit R, ma'am, which is Page 71. And again, I'm writing to follow up as October 7, 2009, letter about the surveillance tapes and I am particularly asking about my request for the surveillance tape that government documents describes the Ryder Truck pulling up to the federal building and then pausing seven to ten seconds before resuming into a slot in front of the building. The truck detonates three minutes and six seconds after the suspects exited the truck. And I also attached to that, ma'am, the timeline portions where that testimony -- where those statements are made. Do you see that, ma'am? It will be on the Page 77 and Page 78?

A. Yes.

MS. TRENTADUE: And this appears in Mr. Hardy's declaration as Exhibit R. I would offer this again, Your Honor, not for the truth of the matter but just for the fact that the information was communicated to Mr. Hardy.

THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MS. WYER: Its relevance, Your Honor, because it is 2 not relevant unless it is true and even then it would not be 3 relevant. THE COURT: Overruled. The document is received. 4 5 (Whereupon, Exhibit R to Exhibit 10 6 was received into evidence.) 7 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, if you would look at Exhibit 20, ma'am. Well actually look, if you would, look 8 9 at Page 85 of Mr. Hardy's declaration. It refers to my 10 letter to Ms. Wyer of January 22nd, 2010, correct? 11

- Α. What was the question?
- I didn't mean to direct you out of Mr. Hardy's declaration. If you would look at page -- keep those two in front of you. If you look at Page 85 of Mr. Hardy's declaration which was Exhibit 10?
 - Α. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. And that refers to a January 22nd, 2010 letter I'm writing to them.
- Right. The letter -- the date was incorrect. had written it on there.
- And in the last paragraph I reference the fact that I'm enclosing photographs depicting the cameras that were in place on the Murrah Building that morning and then missing from the Murrah Building surveillance camera later that morning, correct? Mr. Hardy's -- that is Exhibit P to

Mr. Hardy's declaration. He doesn't include the 1 2 photographs. Now if you look at Exhibit 20, in my other 3 exhibits, if you look through there, there is a series of paragraphs attached; aren't there? 4 5 Α. Attached to 20? 6 Ο. Yes. 7 Uh-huh (affirmative). Α. And A, B and C? 8 Ο. 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, we would offer Exhibit 20. Again, not for the purpose of the truth of the matters 11 12 asserted therein, but just for the fact that this 13 information was communicated to Mr. Hardy. 14 THE COURT: Ms. Wyer? 15 MS. WYER: A relevance objection and we dispute the 16 authenticity of the photographs, the time that they're taken 17 is not identified and the location. 18 THE COURT: Again, they're being offered for the 19 purpose that they were communicated to the -- to the FBI for -- to follow up on the request. They're received for that 20 21 reason. Objection is overruled. 22 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 20 was received 23 into evidence.) 24 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, if you would look at 25 Exhibit 27, ma'am, and this is an e-mail I received from

Ms. Wyer saying -- dated February 2nd, 2010, I have
forwarded this and your earlier letter to the FBI. I
understand from them that it may take a while for them to
research these issues. I will let you know when I receive a
response.

We would offer Exhibit 27, Your Honor. Or plaintiff
would offer Exhibit 27.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor, relevance.

MR. TRENTADUE: It makes clear that the information I am sending is being communicated to Mr. Hardy back at the FOIA Section of the FBI.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled. It is received.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 27 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) And ma'am, if you would look at Exhibit 28, now. This is a January 23rd, 2012, letter that I sent to Ms. Wyer and it is talking about the videotapes I received from the Regency Tower. And specifically I am telling her that the tape I received, or tapes I received, do not match the testimony given by Mr. Hersley at the McVeigh preliminary hearing. And then I attach a copy of the portions of that testimony.

A. That is what the letter says but if you're asking me about Hersley's testimony, I don't know.

1 Q. I mean it is attached, correct? 2 There are attachments. Α. 3 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit 28. 4 Again, not for the truth of the matters asserted, just for 5 the fact that the information was communicated to counsel 6 for the FBI. 7 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. We requested that 8 the plaintiff provide a complete copy of this transcript and 9 he did not do so. If he wants to do that we would --10 THE COURT: Is this a complete copy of what was 11 provided? 12 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir, I don't have a complete copy. 13 THE COURT: Listen to my question. Is this the 14 complete copy of what you provided to the FBI? 15 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Objection is overruled. It is received. 17 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 28 was received 18 into evidence.) 19 (By Mr. Trentadue) If you would look at 20 Exhibit 29, ma'am, and this is Ms. Wyer writing back to me 21 and says she sent on my letter to the FBI, correct? 22 I am sorry it is saying what? 23 She is writing -- hold on a second. She says in 0. 24 the first paragraph, I am writing to let you know that I 25 forwarded your letter of January 23rd, 2012, to the FBI and

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

asked them about the photographs and the Regency Tower videotapes that you referenced. I have been informed that, as indicated in the testimony of Special Agent Hersley that you attached to your letter, still photographs were made from videotape footage from a surveillance camera at the Regency Tower Building in Oklahoma City, period."

It says that.

MR. TRENTADUE: We would offer exhibit -- I would offer Exhibit 29, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. WYER: No objection.

THE COURT: Exhibit 29 is received.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 29 was received into evidence.)

(By Mr. Trentadue) And Exhibit 30, ma'am. you will turn to the third page. At the bottom you will see where I am writing again to complain about the videotapes that I have received from the Regency Tower didn't quite comport with Mr. Hersley's testimony, correct? If you follow it over to the next page, too, Page 4. Plaintiff would offer Exhibit 27, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection to 27.

MS. WYER: Relevance, Your Honor.

MR. TRENTADUE: It is just to show that this -- my objections are being communicated, Your Honor, to the FBI. THE COURT: Actually I said 27 it is actually Plaintiff's Exhibit 30.

MR. TRENTADUE: Plaintiff's Exhibit 30, I'm sorry.

That is what it is again showing, a communication.

THE COURT: Okay. It is received for that purpose. Objection is overruled.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 30 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now Exhibit 31, ma'am. And this is a February 1 letter I wrote to Ms. Wyer, excuse me, Ms. Wyer wrote to me and she says in that second sentence, "In regard to your inquiry concerning the videotape footage from which the photographs mentioned in Special Agent Hersley's testimony were produced, the FBI has indicated to me that this footage was provided to you in the release that accompanied Mr. Hardy's letter of July 16, 2009, in the DVD labeled 1B6 -- 1B260 Regency Tower 4/19/95 Q5. The FBI has also asked me to relay to you that the photographs mentioned by Special Agent Hersley bear time stamps indicating that the specific time period of that footage, from which the photographs were produced, is April 19, 1995 between 08:56:53 seconds and 08:57.15."

Your Honor, I would offer Plaintiff's Exhibit 31.

MS. WYER: No objection.

THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 is received.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Then, ma'am, if you would look at Exhibit 32, this is a letter I immediately write back to Ms. Wyer February 1, 2012, and I say, "I am writing to follow up with you both on Ms. Wyer's letter to me of February 1, 2012, regarding the Regency Tower surveillance camera videotapes. I have DVD 1B260 to which Ms. Wyer refers to in her letter, but the film on that DVD is from the surveillance camera located in the lobby of the Regency Tower facing the entrance. Yes, in a blur, it does show the Ryder Truck passing at the time indicated in Ms. Wyer's letter." Then I go on to include a photograph of the Regency Tower in the background with what appears to be a surveillance camera on it and then following up on our earlier conversations. Plaintiff would offer Exhibit 32, Your Honor.

MS. WYER: Relevance, Your Honor.

MR. TRENTADUE: Again, Your Honor, I'm offering it not for the truth, but for the fact that we're communicating all of this information to Mr. Hardy through his counsel.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MS. WYER: And also, Your Honor, this actually mischaracterizes Agent Hersley's testimony because Agent Hersley did not refer to any exterior camera as a source of

1 photographs.

THE COURT: Well, the document says what it says. You can argue how the FBI chose to respond to the letter, but it is received for the purposes indicated.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 32 was received into evidence.)

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now if we could look at Defense Exhibit 240. Do you have that, ma'am?
- A. I don't have it. You have got it on the screen though.
- Q. It is a laboratory report that counsel has submitted to defense exhibit. I assume, I don't want to mischaracterize your testimony, but I assume that -- I understood that you reviewed the documents that are the exhibits at this trial here today, too, didn't you?
 - A. Um, yes, most of them.
- Q. Yes. And this purports to be a laboratory report concerning the Regency Tower videotape?
 - A. Is it just one page?
 - Q. No, there is several pages to it if you turn --
 - A. On the first page I don't see --
- Q. In the book it will be easier to see. But I'll go to the second page which is what is of most interest to me. Midway down the page it is specimen Q5 which is what we're talking about. The FBI gives a Q number to evidence,

don't they, ma'am? Q5 -- that is how you identify different items of evidence?

- A. I don't know if Q is the only -- I don't know much about that.
- Q. This appears to be referring to the Q5 Regency tape which is the subject of my correspondence with Ms. Wyer. And it says, quote, "Specimen Q5 contained images of a truck with, quote, Ryder markings. The first video frame which depicted a full view of the truck reflected a time and date of 5:56:53 on 4/19/1995. The last video frame which depicted a view of the truck reflected a time and date of 8:57:16 on 4/19/95. The last video frame recorded on 4/19/95 reflected a time of 9:00:21 seconds." We would offer Defendant's Exhibit 240, Your Honor.

MS. WYER: No objection.

THE COURT: Exhibit 240 is received.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 240 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 46, this was a declaration from Emanuel Johnson, a former FBI Agent, describing the FBI's evidence and record keeping procedures. You can see from the notations at the top it was filed of record in this case.

You recall that Mr. Hardy said he had reviewed all of the filings in this case. Is there any reason to believe

that he didn't review this one?

A. He has reviewed all of the filings.

MR. TRENTADUE: We would offer Exhibit 46, Your Honor.

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay. This is a declaration that was filed in briefing as an exhibit. It is not something that the plaintiffs sent to the FBI as an inquiry so it cannot be admitted as evidence of something that he communicated to the FBI.

MR. TRENTADUE: I believe, Your Honor, I offer it again not for the truth, but for the fact that Mr. Johnson sets out these procedures and this information was obviously reviewed by Mr. Hardy and the issue is what if anything did Mr. Hardy do about that.

THE COURT: It is received. Objection is overruled.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 46 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Exhibit 47, ma'am. This is a Second Declaration of Mr. Johnson again filed of record in this case. The witness has testified that Mr. Hardy reviewed all of the filings. We would offer it again not for the truth of matter stated therein, but for the fact that it was communicated to Mr. Hardy and we would like to know what, if anything, Mr. Hardy did about that?

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay. This document was submitted by plaintiff as a declaration in his

briefing to support his arguments and summary judgment proceedings. It was not -- did not call upon Mr. Hardy to do anything.

THE COURT: Your response to that?

MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. I think that the law requires Mr. Hardy to follow up on any potential leads or suggestive sources to look for any material that has been requested. Certainly when he is getting all this information and he has some duty with respect to doing that.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled. I will receive it. The weight of the import of the document will be based on what Mr. Hardy says about it.

MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 47 was received into evidence.)

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Exhibit 48. This is a declaration again filed of record in this case of Mr. Browning describing the events of the morning of April 19, 1995, including seeing the FBI take possession of the surveillance cameras on the Murrah Building filed of record. I would again offer this not for the truth of the matter but just for the fact that it was information that Mr. Hardy received, and we would like to know what if anything he did to follow up on this.

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. This is not even a

question for the witness that he is asking. We object on grounds of hearsay again for the same reasons that we explained before this was provided in support of summary judgment arguments. It did not call upon Mr. Hardy to do anything in response.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The document is received. This doesn't seem to have anything to do with this witness, but I am going to receive it so we can move forward.

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 48 was received into evidence.)

MR. TRENTADUE: Let me check, Your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) If we could look at Exhibit 34. I think this has been offered into evidence. These are the documents, I believe, the 164 documents that the FBI provided to me and I think you said that you reviewed those -- those documents for responsiveness, correct, ma'am?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And if we look at the bottom of the page there is a number. If we look at Page 5?
 - A. I'm sorry, Bates number five?
- Q. It will be down at the bottom of the page, not at the top?
 - A. Bates number -- there is a --

1 Q. Bates number five, yes. 2 So it is a document dated 6/8/95. Α. 3 Yes. Q. 4 Α. Okay. 5 Now, this is a lead sheet, one of the ones Q. 6 produced to me. Do you see down at the bottom, ma'am, there 7 is a reference to it 174A OC-56120. That is the Oklahoma City bombing case number, isn't it, ma'am? 8 9 Main file, yes. Α. 10 Main file, yes. And then we talk about sub files Q. 11 the D-3413 refers to a sub file, doesn't it? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Q. And these are entered chronologically, aren't 14 they, in ascending order like the first entry in sub file D 15 would have been one, that is called serials? 16 Α. The serials. 17 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, lack of foundation. MR. TRENTADUE: Well, what do you understand the 18 19 serial to be, ma'am? 20 THE WITNESS: A serial is a document that is added to 21 the case file. 22 (By Mr. Trentadue) And they do them in ascending Ο. 23 order, don't they? 24 I don't know if they're always in date order. Α. 25 don't know that they're chronological, but the numbering

1	serialized numbers are in order.
2	Q. Okay. So the first one in the file gets a one?
3	A. Well, you could you could have more than one I
4	guess if you had sub files.
5	Q. I'm talking about sub files?
6	A. Sub files only?
7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. So one would be the first one.
9	Q. And then the second document entered would be
10	two?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. And three and four and so on?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And this sub file, this document, deals with
15	videotapes in the Oklahoma Post Office Building, for
16	example, doesn't it?
17	A. The post office.
18	Q. And that is sub file D?
19	A. That is
20	MS. WYER: Confusing, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what is your objection?
22	MS. WYER: What is sub file D is a confusing question,
23	Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. You clarify
25	the guestion so we don't have any ambiguity in the record.

1 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes. Yes. 2 (By Mr. Trentadue) The D number there refers to a Q. 3 sub file there? Refers to a sub file. 4 5 Yeah. And the number -- the number of this 6 document in that file 3413? 7 Α. That is correct. 8 And if you look at the next page on six, this is 9 another document related to the videotapes, and it is 10 talking about the Southwestern Bell parking lots, security 11 cameras. And if you look at the bottom it says, sub file D-652, correct, ma'am? 12 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 And if you look at the date it was acquired, the 15 investigation was, at the bottom, was -- it was dictated --16 the date of the transcription is at the top, that is May 4, 17 '95, but if you look at the bottom it is the date of the investigation is April 23rd, '95? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 MR. TRENTADUE: Okay. And this is document number 21 652. 22 MS. WYER: Objection relevance, Your Honor. 23 MR. TRENTADUE: Correct? 24 THE WITNESS: The serial -- the serial. 25 (By Mr. Trentadue) The serial? Q.

2 In sub file D? Q. 3 That is correct. Α. THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 4 5 Ο. (By Mr. Trentadue) And if you look at Bates 6 number 14, ma'am. Now this is a videotape and it is by the Kwik Stop in Norman, Oklahoma. That wasn't within the scope 7 8 of my request, was it, ma'am? 9 Your original request asked for any and all Α. 10 material for a particular date. This document falls within 11 that date. 12 Q. Okay. So then it talks about the VCR tape was 13 placed in 1-B folder? 14 It says that. 15 And 1-B folder is -- a B folder is where the 16 physical evidence is stored, isn't it, ma'am? 17 That is my understanding. But again, I am not involved in the case. 18 19 You may not be the person to ask about that. And 20 then when you look at the bottom, it says that the 2.1 investigation was on April 21st, '95, and this document is 22 sub file D and what is the number, serial number? 23 Α. Eight? 24 Eight. If I could have a moment, Your Honor, I Q. 25 might be finished. If you would look at Page 45?

652.

Α.

1

- A. The same exhibit?
- Q. The Bates number. This deals with some tapes from other locations. And again it says, "The aforementioned tapes were placed into the Evidence Control Room," correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. But this one has a different sub file number, doesn't it, E-803?
 - A. E is the sub file number or letter.
- Q. Yes. And these tapes seem to deal with locations other than what I had asked for out of Oklahoma City.

 You're not near the vicinity of the Murrah Building, I mean there are other locations apparently?
- A. All of the attachments that you're referring to in this exhibit were pulled based on your original request.
- Q. Yes, ma'am, but I'm -- what I'm saying is the ones that seem to be out of the Oklahoma City area seem to be in sub file E which you would expect. The sub files are set up for just different purposes, aren't they?
 - A. Each sub file is given a name.
 - Q. And there is an index for them too, isn't there?
 - A. What do you mean?
- Q. Wouldn't there be an index who would tell someone wanting to get into the sub files what is in each sub file?
 - A. Again, the field maintains the file, I don't

know.

MR. TRENTADUE: That is all of the questions I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Redirect?

MS. WYER: Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. WYER:

Q. Ms. Mitchell, first of all the plaintiff asked you about the tapes that you received from the field office.

Do you have any reason to believe that the field office edited or redacted any of the tapes that they had identified as responsive before sending those tapes to you?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, Your Honor, foundation and speculation.

MS. WYER: Well, Your Honor, the plaintiff asked --

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) The plaintiff also asked you about an ECF search in response to his request. Is there -- do you have any reason to think that an ECF search here would have identified records that were not found through the combination that occurred through your or your office's UNI search and the field office's ZyIndex search?
- A. No. The search in ECF would most likely have not have warranted information because OKBOMB happened prior to

the implementation of ACS which is another reason why the field performed a ZyIndex search which is text base as well as a search of her evidence database.

Q. So based on what you know, was the search that was done a more reliable method than the ECF search that the plaintiff was suggesting?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, I think that is a question for the court.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection for lack of foundation as to what basis she has to say whether or not it is more reliable.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Is part of your role to determine what kinds of searches are appropriate?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And in that role, would you consider an ECF search a more reliable way of searching than a search that was actually done?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Then why is that?
- A. Because, as I mentioned, OKBOMB happened in '95, in April of '95. It wasn't until October of '95 that ACS was implemented.
- Q. And what does that mean in regard to effectiveness of an ECF search?
 - A. ECF would require that information was uploaded

in order to search text. So the OKBOMB investigation happened prior to the implementation of ACS so there would be no material to search via text for OKBOMB.

- Q. And when you say that are you talking about ECF specifically?
 - A. ECF specifically.

- Q. The plaintiff asked you about the ELSUR system.

 Can you explain whether you would consider the ELSUR system an appropriate means of searching for records responsive to plaintiff's request?
- A. No. We did not search the ELSUR, the Electronic Surveillance Indices, because that indices maintains records or surveillance other than what Mr. Trentadue was seeking.

 Mr. Trentadue was seeking surveillance, if you will, from third-party or business locations which is not what the ELSUR indices is for.
- Q. Did the plaintiff at any time before today ask the FBI to search ELSUR or indicate in any way that he expected that kind of material to be included in response to his request?
 - A. No.
- Q. The plaintiff referred you to his Exhibit 44 and you stated -- are you looking at that?
 - A. I am.
 - Q. Do you remember when he was asking you about

that?

2.1

- A. Yeah, I don't remember -- I had never seen this.
- Q. You had answered that this information in the bottom paragraph would not interfere with your ability to do your job. Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And can you explain why that is?
- A. Well, again, it is talking about the upload of documents and the upload is through ECF. And for locating responsive material for Mr. Trentadue's request, we did not search ECF because we knew that OKBOMB happened prior to the implementation of ACS and therefore would not warrant the information he was seeking which again is why we went to the field who did multiple searches.
- Q. The plaintiff also asked about whether the Hoffman documents were provided to him. Did the plaintiff's FOIA request ask for the Hoffman documents?
- A. May I look at that? He did not request information from that file. He was just providing the case citation for information and assistance in identifying the actual information he sought.
- Q. And I just wanted to make sure your testimony is clear on one point in regard to the *Hoffman* documents.

Looking at Exhibit 244, again, and Bates stamp 398 that we had looked at before, and you had testified that

that -- that videotape identified on that page is in the list titled FBI Headquarters Requests. So can you conclude that that is the videotape that was mentioned as the one videotape at FBI Headquarters on the document that the plaintiff had showed you?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection speculation, Your Honor.

Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Is there any other -- do you have any --

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE COURT: Did she do anything to determine what was on that tape?

MS. WYER: Well, that tape is identified on this document, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That is my question. When she saw it identified on the document, did she do anything to determine what was included on that tape?

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Well, the title of the description -- can you understand what this tape was based on the description in the table?
- A. The table lists the description as tape from Channel 4 regarding the bomb site.
- Q. And so would you deem that description an indication that that tape was potentially responsive to the plaintiff's FOIA request in this case?
 - A. I would determine it to be nonresponsive.

Q. Why is that?

2

3

means the bombing had already occurred. And this is Channel

Well, it is talking about the bomb site. That

4

4 airing of or reference to this tape of the bomb site.

5

Thanks. The plaintiff also pointed you to a

6

number of newspaper articles and documents that he submitted in correspondence with the FBI. Can you explain, again, how

7 8

you -- how those communications from the plaintiff after he

9

received his response and continued to correspond and ask

10

questions and submit attachments, how those were handled and

11

how those were followed up on?

12

As I received copies of the letters and/or

13

correspondence from Mr. Trentadue, I reached out on several, actually numerous occasions to the field and confirmed that

14 15

again and again that there was no additional material

16

responsive.

17

THE COURT: Ms. Mitchell, numerous times you referred

18

to the field. I don't know who the field is.

19

THE WITNESS: The field is Oklahoma City where the

20

21

bombing occurred.

THE COURT: You must have talked to someone at that

22

location.

THE WITNESS: Linda Vernon.

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. When you're talking about the

25

field, was it Linda Vernon?

1 THE WITNESS: Linda Vernon. 2 THE COURT: Anyone other than Linda Vernon? 3 THE WITNESS: I have spoken to the other people at the field, yes. 4 THE COURT: But when you are referring that you 5 referred something or you followed up with the field, are 6 7 you talking about Linda Vernon? 8 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 9 THE COURT: Anyone else? 10 THE WITNESS: Um, for material responsive, no. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Mitchell, did you look at the documents such as the newspaper articles, for example, in 13 14 Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, what is Exhibit H to Mr. Hardy's 15 declaration which is at 60 -- at Page 39 of 96? 16 Α. 39? 17 Q. Yes. 18 Α. And the question, I'm sorry? Did you yourself look at this article to see if 19 20 it -- if you could tell whether it identified anything that 21 would assist in locating additional responsive tapes? 22 Other than the Hanger tape that we had already 23 processed and released in its entirety, no. 24 Q. Are you saying that it -- from looking at this 25 there is nothing that -- is there anything here that would

assist in locating another -- another copy of the tape or version of the tape or any other responsive material?

A. No.

THE COURT: Let me follow up on that. The letter specifically, excuse me, specifically refers to taping the arrest of Mr. McVeigh. You said, as I understand it, that you had reviewed the Hanger tape; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: No, I said I had not reviewed it until today when it was played.

THE COURT: So you didn't look at the tape to see whether or not it contained any of the information described in this Houston Chronicle Article.

THE WITNESS: I did not review the tape.

THE COURT: And you didn't ask anyone else to?

THE WITNESS: Well, um, Linda Vernon is familiar with what is on every tape.

THE COURT: So in terms of your level, to the best of your knowledge, nobody reviewed the Hanger tape to see if in fact it included the information Mr. Trentadue was requesting?

MS. WYER: Your Honor, I respectfully object to your question as calling for irrelevant information.

THE COURT: Well, I'll have to be the decider of this issue and it seems relevant to me. So I would like you to answer. Did anyone at your level review the Hanger tape to

determine whether it was responsive to Mr. Trentadue's 1 2 request? 3 THE WITNESS: At RIDS, no. THE COURT: And when you got the additional 4 5 information, did you do anything to follow up to determine 6 whether given this additional information you had provided 7 the wrong tape? THE WITNESS: Your Honor, there was only one tape 8 9 located through our search regarding Mr. Hanger. 10 THE COURT: Did you do anything to determine whether 11 or not that tape was incomplete? 12 THE WITNESS: We provided a copy of the copy that we 13 maintained. 14 THE COURT: Did you go back to anyone in the field, Ms. Vernon or someone else, and say Mr. Trentadue says this 15 16 tape is incomplete, would you please verify that we have 17 given him everything that we have? THE WITNESS: I did not specifically go back, no. 18 19 THE COURT: Did anyone else from your office do that? 20 THE WITNESS: No. 21 THE COURT: So is it correct that in response to this, 22 you basically did nothing to determine, based on the 23 additional information, whether you had provided the correct 24 tape? 25 THE WITNESS: To say that we did nothing?

THE COURT: That is what I'm asking. Is it correct that did you nothing in response to this letter, Exhibit H?

THE WITNESS: We did nothing because we were competent in our search in what we located.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Mitchell, to clarify, um, did you say that you did go back to the field and ask them about these inquiries?
- A. Honestly, I have gone back and forth since 2008 on so many occasions in dealing with Linda Vernon about what, you know, all of the missing tapes or the inaccuracies or whatever the case may be. That to say that I specifically went back to her about this, I know that the Hanger tape was an issue as well as surveillance from the Murrah Building and that we have gone back and forth with the -- with Linda Vernon on numerous occasions. Did I specifically at this time go back to Linda Vernon? I can't answer that with an honest yes. I don't remember. But I know over the course of from 2008 to the present, we have discussed this case on numerous occasions and to confirm that everything we did is everything that we can do.
- Q. The plaintiff also asked whether you had provided search slips. Would search slips be responsive to the request that he submitted?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why is that?

1	A. We do not process our search slips unless
2	requested to do so. And in this case, he did not ask for
3	them.
4	Q. Now, the plaintiff also asked you about meetings
5	you had with Mr. Hardy. At any time did Mr. Hardy suggest
6	that you should answer less than truthfully in these
7	proceedings?
8	A. Absolutely not.
9	Q. And in the course of processing the response to
10	the plaintiff, did Mr. Hardy ever direct you to hide
11	evidence or not provide responsive material?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did Mr. Hardy ever prevent you from asking
14	questions of the field or sending on inquiries from the
15	plaintiff to the field office?
16	A. No.
17	MS. WYER: No further questions.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Trentadue, anything further from this
19	witness?
20	MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor.
21	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. TRENTADUE:
23	Q. Would you agree with me, Ms. Mitchell, that the
24	best evidence in this particular trial here about what you
25	did in terms of the search would be your search slips?

Um, the best evidence? 1 Α. 2 It would record what was done and when it was Q. 3 done, wouldn't it, ma'am? Well that would only -- no, I wouldn't agree with 4 5 that statement. 6 O. But the search slips would certainly record what 7 was done, who did it, and when it was done, wouldn't it, 8 ma'am? 9 Um, only from our location which we have already 10 said we have searched ACS. It wouldn't indicate all of the 11 other searching that had been done. 12 Okay. But you do have a search slip? 13 We have a search slip. 14 And I asked you about, um, ECF is Electronic Case Ο. 15 Filing and unless there is some reason to keep it out, the 16 FBI documents are uploaded there and it is a text based 17 search, correct? I am not sure I follow. 18 Α. 19 Did you search by text base, type in words and 20 search? 21 Α. ECF is text base. 22 And you said you didn't search ECF in this case Ο. 23 in my FOIA request? 24 And I have explained why, that is correct. Α.

And you said there would be no reason to?

25

Q.

- A. Um, not for your case.
- Q. Okay. Because it didn't come in until early in -- late I think it came in October 1995?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. The bombing took place in --
 - A. Six months.
 - Q. -- April of '95?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. And I wonder if you would look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 54, it is Mr. Hardy's Third Supplemental Declaration in Paragraph 20. Do you have it in front of you, ma'am?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Second -- third sentence Mr. Hardy says, quote,
 "While it is always a possibility that responsive documents
 might have been misfiled and thus could be located somewhere
 other than in the OKBOMB file, granted though it would be
 impossible to know where, paren, I am not aware that this is
 the case, and a reasonable search did not and would not
 locate any such documents, paren, if they exist, paren,
 because they would not be in a location likely to contain
 responsive documents," unquote.

One place to have looked for any misfiled documents would have been a text based search in ECF, wouldn't it have, ma'am?

A. I don't know.

1 Q. Well, I mean you said you can search all of the 2 files through ECF? 3 No, I said that the only -- that ECF is only as good as the information within it, not everything is 4 5 uploaded. 6 O. Okay. But if it were in fact misfiled in another 7 file in another case, you could have found it using ECF system had it been uploaded? 8 9 Α. Had it been uploaded. 10 Q. We would offer that language from Paragraph 20 of 11 Mr. Hardy's declaration, Your Honor, which is Exhibit 54. 12 THE COURT: It will be received as part of the 13 question. 14 MR. TRENTADUE: Just a couple of more. 15 (Whereupon, Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 54 was received into evidence.) 16 17 (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, I asked about -- we Q. talked about the Electronic Surveillance System? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 The separate system wasn't searched here? Q. 21 No and I have explained why. Α. 22 Okay. And you said well you didn't think my Ο. 23 request fell within the scope of that? 24 Α. It did not. 25 And when I asked you -- when we looked back at Q.

the Exhibit 203?

A. Plaintiff's?

- Q. I don't confine my request for that tape that shows security videotapes as showing the Ryder Truck pulling up to the Federal Building and then pausing 7 to 10 seconds before resuming into a slot in front of the building and a truck detonation three minutes and six seconds after the suspects exited the vehicle? I don't confine it to a building, do I, ma'am?
 - A. I'm sorry, which exhibit are you on?
 - Q. Exhibit 203, the second page.
 - A. Your question again?
- Q. My request isn't confined to a specific location, is it? Let me ask this. If this tape is out there, there is a tape that shows this. No matter where it is or who took it, I want it, correct?
- A. You want it but it relates to OKBOMB, does it not?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. So what is your question?
- Q. My question is, I don't confine that request to a specific building or a specific person who took it, I say in fairness I say, if it exists I would like that tape?
 - A. You're correct which is why we searched Ryder.
 - Q. As I understand it the -- and how do you

1 pronounce it the ELSUR? 2 ELSUR, the Electronic Surveillance. 3 And that is the surveillance arm of the database? Q. Surveillance meaning we're investigating an 4 5 individual or persons not in the sense of we want 6 surveillance of cameras from these areas, it is a different 7 type of surveillance, sir. Q. But if you had been, for example, surveilling 8 9 someone for a planned attack on the Murrah Building and had 10 a film of that, that would go into this ELSUR system, 11 wouldn't it? 12 MS. WYER: Objection, calls for speculation, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 THE WITNESS: I would assume. 16 THE COURT: You don't need to answer the question. 17 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 18 (By Mr. Trentadue) Have you ever done -- do you 19 know what goes in to ELSUR? 20 Well, I know that it is -- that it is 2.1 surveillance of individuals being investigated. 22 Do you know if it includes videotape Ο. 23 surveillance? 24 I, other than knowing that ELSUR exists and if a 25 request comes in we search for it, um, I don't know a great

detail of ELSUR.

- Q. You don't know whether it is phone taps?
- A. Um, again, I don't. It could be I think a number of things. I don't know.
- Q. If you look at Exhibit 45, ma'am, you were asked could you think of any reason that anyone within the FBI may have to conceal the existence of this tape and you said you could not possibly think of a reason, is that correct, ma'am?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. And I wonder if you would read -- and you recognize this as a 302?
 - A. It is a 302.
- Q. And it states in there, and it is blacked out, but it says, blank related that this document contained information which remove all doubt that the Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms, ATF, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI, had prior knowledge of the bomb which destroyed the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City on April 19, 1995. Blank stated that these agencies attempted to develop a sting operation and did not take this bomb threat seriously. If that was true, ma'am --
- MS. WYER: Objection, do you want me to wait until he asks the question?

THE COURT: Let him ask his question first, please.

MR. TRENTADUE: If what is printed in FBI 302 is true, ma'am, that would be certainly motive for someone to conceal the existence of this tape, wouldn't it?

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, this calls for speculation and this document contains inadmissible hearsay.

THE COURT: Well, I am going to sustain the objection on the grounds that there is no foundation as to whether or not this witness saw this document and knew of this allegation as a basis for her to believe that the search should be broader.

MR. TRENTADUE: What if I frame my question as a hypothetical. Assuming this is true, that would certainly be a basis for someone to misfile that tape or otherwise see that it couldn't be found?

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, same objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Um, until today, I don't -- I have never seen this document.

Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) But my question is you testified that you couldn't think of a reason anyone would want to keep the information from going public. And what I'm asking you, assuming this is true, that certainly is a reason not to see it go public, isn't it, ma'am?

MS. WYER: Objection, calls for speculation, Your Honor. This is blatant attempts to defame the FBI based on

1 baseless allegations in the interview report.

THE COURT: Overruled. If this were true, would that be a reason to hide information?

MS. WYER: Lack of foundation, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: So the question --

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, if this were true, then there would certainly be motive on the part, I'm not saying you, I'm not saying on the part of the people doing the search in Oklahoma, but there certainly would have been motive on the part of someone within the FBI to make sure that this tape never surfaced, that is true, isn't it?
 - A. If this were true.
- Q. Now, one last question for you, ma'am.

 Exhibit 55, and I won't ask you all of these, have you ever seen this one before?
 - A. 55?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I believe this is something that you provided as an exhibit.
 - Q. You have seen it before?
 - A. It looks familiar.
- Q. It refers to exactly Exhibits 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 65 and 66. It referred to an attempt, an alleged attempt, and the report of an FBI agent attempting to sell the tape of the Murrah bombing -- building bombing to the media for a

million dollars. Did you do any kind of follow up on this, ma'am?

MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, this was provided as a trial exhibit. It was not communicated to the FBI asking it to do something in response.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You need to lay some additional foundation.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Well, ma'am, you say that right up to almost the eve of trial you were constantly following up on leads in response to my FOIA request. Do you -- you received this information, did you do anything about it.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What did you do?
- A. Um, we reached out to -- first when I say we, it was the Office of General Counsel reached out to the LA field office because LA is, if I remember correctly, where this alleged sale potentially occurred. We reached out to the field and asked them if they were aware of anything. We also reached out to the Office of Professional Responsibility to seek whether or not there was any information they had on this alleged sell. All of our efforts came up with nothing.
 - Q. And when you say we reached out, who was we?
 - A. Um, the office -- again, it -- myself and as well

2 And who did you reach out to? 3 Um, I was asked to reach out to the Office of Α. Professional Responsibility in the absence of OGC counsel. 4 5 And did anyone reach -- but you didn't reach out 6 to the Los Angeles Field Office? 7 No, that was handled by the attorney of the Office of General Counsel attorney. 8 9 Your trial attorneys here or other attorneys? Q. 10 Α. Other -- other attorneys. Did you receive a report back on that? 11 Q. 12 Α. Um, again we found nothing. 13 Well, I asked you did you receive a report back? Ο. 14 Yes. From -- I was copied on an e-mail or a 15 conversation. We found nothing and I found nothing with the 16 research that I did. 17 MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Thank you, you may step down. May this 19 witness be excused? 20 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: You may be excused. It is about 12:30, so 22 why don't we take a half an hour lunch break. We'll resume 23 at 1:00. We'll be in recess. 24 (Recess.) 25 THE COURT: We are back in session in Trentadue versus

as the Office of General Counsel.

1 the FBI. The parties are present, counsel is present, so we 2 can proceed once the judge gets in the courtroom. I guess 3 the new courtroom believes they should lock the judge out of 4 the courtroom. 5 Ms. Wyer, you may proceed with your next witness. 6 MS. WYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Before we proceed 7 with that, I just wanted to raise a question about the schedule. This first witness took longer than we had 8 9 anticipated and we're wondering whether Your Honor would 10 extend today's session beyond 2:30 or is there --11 THE COURT: Unless Mr. Trentadue has an objection, I 12 don't have a calendar the rest of the afternoon we can go --13 we can go later if that works for you, Mr. Trentadue. 14 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I think the other 15 witnesses are going to go fairly quick. My cross on the 16 next witness will not be very long. I don't see any problem 17 with finishing their case by tomorrow. 18 THE COURT: Let's proceed and if you -- we can go as 19 long as we need to to complete this witness. 20 MS. WYER: Thank you, Your Honor. The FBI next calls 2.1 Linda Vernon. 22 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 23 LINDA VERNON, 24 called as a witness at the request of the FBI, 25 having been first duly sworn, was examined

1	and testified as follows:
2	THE WITNESS: I do.
3	THE CLERK: Thank you. All right, please state and
4	spell your first name for the record.
5	THE WITNESS: My name is Linda, L-I-N-D-A, Vernon,
6	V-E-R-N-O-N.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. WYER:
9	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Vernon. Do you see the
10	binder for you there with your name on it?
11	A. No. Hold on. Now I do. Sorry. Can I get this
12	one out of my way? Yes, ma'am.
13	Q. Ms. Vernon, please tell the court what your
14	position is?
15	A. I am a forensic accountant.
16	Q. Where do you work?
17	A. I work for the FBI in Oklahoma City.
18	Q. What does your job involve?
19	A. Um, I assist agents with the investigation of the
20	different classifications. I, um, have an accounting degree
21	which mainly means I analyze financial records but I analyze
22	almost any kind of records.
23	Q. And you do this in ongoing current
24	investigations?
25	A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And how long have you been in that position?
- A. I have been in the position since February of 1983.
 - Q. Is that when you started with the FBI?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Were you working in the Oklahoma City Field
 Office in April of 1995 when the bombing of the Murrah
 Federal Court Building occurred?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Did you have any role in the FBI's Oklahoma City bombing or OKBOMB investigation at the time that the -- after the bombing happened?
- A. I originally was in front of the building about noon that day assisting with one of the photographers and then because we were so shorthanded, I wound up working a night shift answering phones. And then once things sort of calmed down and we got a command post, I became part of the discovery team where I was collecting the subpoenaed information and doing the paperwork for it because it couldn't go to the command post because it didn't have a mailing address so the records would come into the FBI office and then I would do the paperwork and then I would make sure that, um, whichever team subpoenaed it got the records.

And then about August of 1995, I started assisting

basically full-time with the discovery team. And then when we moved up to Denver for the trial, I became the discovery coordinator because the lady at the time chose not to go to Denver.

- Q. So in your work and in those roles that you have described during the investigation and afterwards in Denver, did you become familiar with the evidence that was collected during the OKBOMB investigation?
- A. Yes, ma'am. As part of my discovery duties I would make sure that the records were provided or reviewed by the defense and made sure that whatever they requested copies of, or if it was a trial subpoena they received the copies. So I have seen almost every record in the Oklahoma City bombing case.
- Q. And how long were you in Denver as discovery coordinator?
- A. We went up April 1996 and I came back to Oklahoma City the end of June 1998. I stayed through both trials.
- Q. And are you familiar with a provision in the Freedom of Information Act that allows individuals to submit requests for information?
- A. I do now. I didn't know much of Freedom of Information before all of this.
- Q. Um, are you familiar with the FOIA request that the plaintiff in this case submitted?

1 Α. Yes, ma'am. 2 To the FBI that is at issue here? Q. 3 Yes, ma'am. Α. Let me show you what is marked as Exhibit 200. 4 Q. 5 Yes, ma'am. Α. 6 Have you seen that document before? Q. 7 Yes, ma'am. Α. 8 And do you recognize that document as the FOIA Ο. 9 request that the plaintiff initially submitted? 10 Α. Yes, ma'am. 11 Were you asked to do a search for records 12 responsive to this request? 13 Α. Yes, ma'am. 14 Now, are searches of OKBOMB records for FOIA a 15 regular part of your job responsibilities? 16 Α. No, ma'am. 17 So do you know why you were the one that was asked to do this? 18 Um, I was asked because I know -- I mean I have 19 20 institutional knowledge of the case because I was there for 21 the whole prosecution of the case and because I basically 22 provided the documents, reviewed the documents with the 23 defense. I know everything that is in the evidence also. 24 Q. Now since the plaintiff's request here primarily 25 concerns videotapes, can you explain to the court whether

you are specifically familiar with videotapes collected during the OKBOMB investigation?

- A. Yes, because several of the videos that Mr. Trentadue requested actually were trial exhibits, and because, again, I had to show all of the evidence to the defense for them to decide if they wanted a copy of it, I showed all of these videos to them.
- Q. Do you know approximately how many videotapes were collected during the OKBOMB investigation?
- A. Um, hundreds, but I found out recently it is over 700. I mean I have never took the time to count them. I just know there is a lot.
- Q. And what -- what kinds of videotapes are -- were collected during the investigation?
- A. Um, some videotapes like Mr. Trentadue requested were surveillance tapes that they took off of the buildings right around the Murrah Building. Others were like Michael Fortier's son's birthday party. If they gave a video like the search of Terry Nichols house, there is like -- like a movie, um, a lot of people gave malicious type videos. Mark Koernke and his series where he is a fake speaker that a lot of people had his tapes. We also got some -- we got a couple of cassette tapes like The Journal Record was auto recording a court case there, so there is a cassette tape that has that on it. But other than that, I mean almost

anything.

- Q. So basically are you saying that a videotape could be collected during any search that happened during the investigation and then it would become part of the evidence?
- A. Yes. I know that some of the videos came from the search of Terry Nichols' house. I think at least one of the copies of the tape of the birthday came from Michael Fortier. And I know we had like three or four copies of them, I'm not sure how they all came in.
- Q. Is there any single log or list that is devoted exclusively to videotapes?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Now, when you were asked to do a search for the tapes responsive to the plaintiff's request here, how did you start?
- A. First I reviewed his request and basically in my mind decided or knew which ones we had and which ones we didn't have. Like Charlie Hanger, it is weird how your mind works, but I knew that was 1-B 200. So then what I did is I went to my evidence database which is Excel, excuse me, Access Database, and I started searching in that first because I knew that the descriptions worked well in that one and then I just basically started compiling a list. And then um -- go ahead.

Q. Let me ask you. You mentioned this evidence database. Can you -- back up. Can you explain to the court what -- what that is and what -- where it came from?

- A. Um, a lot of the systems that we had in place for the FBI weren't as user friendly as you would like. So one of the things we did is we took all of the evidence and put it in an access database. But when we did that we made it separate for like the 1-B which is the bulky evidence and then 1-C which is not chain of custody per se bulky evidence and 1-As. We set up three separate databases. And then when I was like reviewing the documents with the defense, we looked and got better descriptions of them and put them all in those separate databases.
- Q. You used the terms 1-A, 1-B, 1-C. Are those all designations for different kinds of evidence?
- A. Yes. That is the bureau designations form. And 1-A could be paper documents, sometimes there was a video. Usually a 1-A was used like for interview notes, smaller things that literally fit in the file. 1-Bs are the bigger items which could be in the OKBOMB case could be videotapes, they could be telephone records, it could be hotel records, it could be crime scene like the tires. Tim McVeigh's car was a 1-B. And then 1-Cs are usually the larger pieces of evidence that would fit in a 1-A but they are too big, and usually are not grand jury obtained.

Q. And this evidence database, when was it first set up?

2.1

- A. It was set up shortly after the bombing. It was used more as an investigative tool where you could search it and find documents -- not documents but find evidence because sometimes agents especially had not used at the time FOIMS that well and didn't know how to search.
- Q. Could you explain to the court what you mean by FOTMS?
- A. FOIMS is the system before ACS. I'm not sure what the F-O-I-M-S stands for, but it was the previous file record for the bureau. And then in October of '95 is when we went to ACS.
- Q. So FOIMS was the system in place at the time that the bombing occurred?
- A. Correct. And it did not have text retrievable.

 No documents were uploaded in FOIMS.
- Q. Now, you were describing how data was input into this evidence database. Did that -- did the information in the database get updated while the investigation was going on and after?
- A. When we first started it we had to catch it up to the evidence that we had. And one of the duties like for the 1-A one was we got all of the 1-As out and went through them all. Same thing with the 1-Bs. And then as evidence

came in and got new numbers, we kept it current because lots of times I would charge out the evidence to show it to the defense so we would make sure that we had it and we had it correctly. Lots of time we could do a printout from ACS that just printed the evidence, but we still made sure it was correct and double checked it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And did you -- you seem to be referring to a process where you verified information in the database or corrected it or made corrections as you went along?
- Yes. Because sometimes everybody makes an error Α. and sometimes they might have just said bank records from ABC Bank while what we tried to do is list like the people that the records pertained to. We tried to be a little bit more detailed. And one of the other things that I didn't mention is that the 1-A, the 1-B and the 1-C they -- a printout was done every month at the beginning we did monthly discovery productions. Every month we gave them a printout of our evidence so that they would have searchable -- they could look through it and find what they needed. And it was up to them if they wanted to load it on a computer. Actually, we provided them -- we provided the access database on a floppy so they could add it, so they would have search, they could retrieve stuff, too. Because of the volume of this case, it was hard for the defense to keep track of what they were getting.

1 So when you say they you mean the defense counsel Q. 2 in the Oklahoma City bombing prosecution? 3 Yes, I'm sorry. Timothy McVeigh and Terry Α. Nichols defense teams were given the databases so that they 4 5 could do searches also. 6 Ο. And this was this evidence database that you --7 Correct. Α. Q. Okay. So did -- did you personally use the 8 9 evidence database when you were discovery coordinator in the 10 OKBOMB during your role during the OKBOMB investigation and 11 prosecutions? 12 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13 So are you very -- are you familiar with the 14 material in that database? 15 Yes, ma'am. 16 And can you say whether this evidence database Q. 17 identifies every piece of evidence that was collected during 18 the OKBOMB investigation? In between the three, yes. The bigger 1-B 19 20 evidence would have been in the bulky one, but each separate database contains current to the end of the investigation. 21 22 So when you take the 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C together, 0. 23 it identifies every piece of evidence collected? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. How do you know that?

- A. Because each time we got a new 1-B or 1-A or 1-C we updated the database. And then if for some reason when we reviewed it and there was -- it didn't -- for what we had didn't match what it -- we actually had in front of us we corrected it. Also, they used -- they tied in the trial exhibit database with the 1-B database and they used those two to make the trial exhibits.
- Q. So can you -- can you continue explaining why did you begin your search with this database?
- A. I knew my database -- the main reason is I'm lazy and it was the easier one for me to use. But I used that because I knew it had all of the information in it and it would be the retrievable aspect of ACS was harder. You -- you couldn't search it as easy. And like I said, I was more knowledgeable about my evidence database.
 - Q. Okay. And where is this evidence database?
 - A. Right now it is on my computer in Oklahoma City.
- Q. Does anyone else in the Oklahoma City Field
 Office have this database on their computer?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And who has access to the database?
- A. Me or one of the tech guys if they needed to they could go to the server and look at it. But you have to -you have to sign on as me. You can't just sign on my
 computer, it has to be me. It is part of my drive.

- J

- Q. So this is essentially your tool at this point?
- A. At this point yes it is only my -- it has been removed off of all of the other computers.
- Q. So can you explain to the court how you went about the search of the evidence database?
 - A. I --
- MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this line of questioning. I don't recall the witness's database being identified as a source of a search in this case until today.
 - THE COURT: And your objection is --
- MR. TRENTADUE: It is irrelevant. I mean they listed what they searched and Mr. Hardy did repeat it in his deposition, and I don't believe he mentioned anything about this witness's database. It was the ZyIndex in Oklahoma City.
- THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection but allow you to lay additional foundation to correct any confusion as to which databases were actually searched in response to the request.
- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Um, do you -- Ms. Vernon, do you consider this database that you have access to part of your institutional knowledge?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - MS. WYER: Your Honor, we did identify institutional

knowledge as a source that was referred to for the search and this kind of thing that is only because Ms. Vernon has specialized knowledge is what we were referring to there. And this is the search that occurred. We are presenting this case to explain to the court the search that actually occurred for responsive records and this is what occurred.

THE COURT: Mr. Trentadue?

MR. TRENTADUE: I am going to object, Your Honor.

This is not what was identified as the database searched.

I'm hearing the witness say that she has created her own

private database and that becomes a source of the FOIA

search. And we are hearing -- I'm hearing this for the

first time.

THE COURT: What I'm confused about, Ms. Wyer, is we referred to something as the OKBOMB database and I don't know how that connects with what she has just testified to whether it is the same database, a different database, whether there is just some confusion on how it is referred to. So again, I am going to sustain the objection, but you can lay some foundation so we all know what we're talking about.

Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Vernon, you were describing before your role in the Oklahoma City bombing investigation and as discovery coordinator and the work that you did providing evidence to the defense counsel and your use of a

database in undertaking that job and providing that 1 2 information to defense counsel. 3 Can you explain how that database that you are describing relates to the database that you're saying now 4 5 that you did a search on? 6 The OK database would be my evidence database. 7 It is one in the same. It was just one of the tools that we 8 used for the investigation. It just happens to be under 9 access. I mean it is the OKBOMB database. 10 Q. And is this an official FBI record system? 11 No, but neither is Zy. 12 Q. Um, so --13 MR. TRENTADUE: Renew my objection, Your Honor. It is 14 not being an official system. May I ask a couple of 15 questions on voir dire in aid of this objection. 16 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. 17 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. TRENTADUE: 19 Ma'am, we have heard testimony and seen 20 affidavits about the ZyIndex. Are you familiar with that? 21 Α. Yes, sir, I use that second. 22 And that is a -- we understand that was set up as Ο. 23 a stand-alone system to handle the record in the OKBOMB

24

25

case; is that correct?

A. It was part of it, yes.

Q. Okay. The part of it being what is uploaded into it, correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would -- they said this is not an official database of the FBI. We are hearing this now and this is the place we bring the witness on and say well I looked and can't find the tapes. I don't think that is proper and I object to it.

THE COURT: Is it your proffer that this is the base, the database of the search to be responsive to the FOIA request?

MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled. You may proceed.

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. WYER:

- Q. So Ms. Vernon, can you just elaborate on why -- why this database is now only on your computer?
- A. Well, it is only on my computer because it is not used for anything else but OKBOMB and nobody else would use it at this time. I mean, if they search it, they can still search it through ACS. It was just the one I was familiar with and we used it as an investigative tool during the bombing investigation, sorry.
- Q. And the OKBOMB investigation is now -- is it now still an open case?

1 It is a closed case, ma'am. Α. 2 Do you know when it was closed? Q. 3 It was closed after the state prosecution. I Α. want to say probably 2005-ish. 4 5 Q. Can you continue explaining to the court how you 6 went about searching the evidence database? 7 I reviewed Mr. Trentadue's letter. THE COURT: Can you establish when she did this I 8 9 think. 10 MS. WYER: When? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 (By Ms. Wyer) Please explain -- you testified earlier that you received this request that is Exhibit 200. 13 14 Did you receive that request -- do you remember when you 15 were contacted about that request? 16 I can't remember specifically when I was 17 contacted, but I am sure it was shortly after Mr. Trentadue's letter. So it would have -- I would have 18 19 done it as soon as Mr. Trentadue sent his letter and then 20 they forwarded it to me. So more than likely it was done in 2.1 2008. 22 Q. Can you continue explaining how you started your 23 evidence database search? 24 What I did is I looked through his letter and Α.

looked at the key words and started basically searching for

items I knew existed. I knew we had a tape from the Regency Tower, I knew we had a tape from Charlie Hanger. And then I started using the word video or tape or surveillance or VHS or beta and kept searching it into the evidence database. And then what I would do is take and make notations of it and look at it and then I started a spreadsheet because once I used the word video I get hits. And then if I put the word tape in, I could get the same 1-B more than once. So to keep track of it and not have so much duplication, I went through and then I made the spreadsheet.

- Q. So in your -- in the process of using general terms like tape and video, did you look at the database entries for every instance where a piece of evidence was identified as a tape or a video?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Or a beta or a --
- A. Every time that I got a hit on my search, I reviewed it and wrote it down. I started with a real big universe and just put down every tape.
- Q. And do you know from your experience working with the OKBOMB database whether videotapes in the evidence are described in the database using the terms video, tape?
- A. Sometimes they were, sometimes they weren't. Sometimes they said just tapes, sometimes it just said video.

Q. So but one or the other of those words?

- A. Most of the time but every once in a while you would get where they didn't explain it with either word and it might say beta or VHS or obtained from surveillance camera. You sort of had to use all of the search terms because you weren't sure how the person actually put it into evidence.
- Q. And did you account for all of that when you were selecting the terms to use?
- A. Yes, I tried to think of every possible way you could describe it and just kept reusing those terms.
- Q. And you said you created a chart to document the results?
- A. I started a spreadsheet so I wouldn't keep re-looking at the same ones over and over.
- Q. When you were doing the search, did you -- you had previously mentioned 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C as separate databases in the evidence database. Did you look at all of those or only one?
- A. No, I -- I am pretty sure I started with 1-B because I knew that that is where most of the tapes would be. I did all of my search terms through the 1-Bs and then I did all my search terms through the 1-A database and then I did it again through the 1-C database.
 - Q. And did you finally come up with a -- how did you

determine then whether the tape that you found -- whether a particular hit in your results should be included on the -- on the chart of responsive tapes?

- A. After I got them all, I sat down and read them completely. So if it said it was obtained in Kansas City and it was a surveillance tape of Equity Standard

 Numismatics, I did not include it on my list. If it said it was a surveillance tape of the Total Pride or something that was downtown in Oklahoma City, I included it on my list.

 I -- if it was anywhere down in Oklahoma City I kept it. If it was way out there or like Mr. Mark Koernke giving a speech I knew that wasn't a surveillance tape so I just cut it out.
- Q. And if you had any doubt about whether there was any question whether it might be responsive?
 - A. I put it on the list.
- Q. And let me show you what is marked as Exhibit 211 which has already been admitted into evidence. Is this the chart you're talking about that -- does this chart represent the final results of that process that you were describing?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And can you explain to the court what the different columns are on the chart?
- A. The first column is the evidence number and that is basically where I found it in the system and gave its

evidence number. Q or K number is what the lab puts on it. The date of the video is the actual date on the video if we had it. Acquired from was who provided it to us. And then the description shows -- explains what the tape was. And then relevance to Trentadue request, I put that column in there so the people that provided it could pick if it was relevant or not. And then the report referencing possession of the video is where I went later and searched for whoever picked it up, if they did a 302 or some type of documentation that they picked up the tape.

- Q. And looking at the second to the last column, relevant to Trentadue request, could you further explain who that column was for?
- A. At the time I knew I was sending them to Monica but I realized that Freedom of Information would make the FOIPA would make the final reduction. I concluded a bigger universe because I did not want to miss something.
- Q. So Monica, meaning Ms. Mitchell, who just testified?
 - A. Yes. Yes, sorry.

- Q. So you were leaving it to that office to make the final determination?
- A. Yes. Because Freedom of Information really isn't my job category, so if I didn't want to second guess I gave everything I thought was relevant, but it doesn't mean that

I know what is relevant.

- Q. But if you had any doubt, you would put it there?
- A. I know that this eight page spreadsheet is more than what was asked on his request.
- Q. And looking at the first columns, some of these numbers start with 1-A and some start with 1-B. Is that -- do those indicate what you were talking about earlier with 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C?
- A. Yes, ma'am. That is -- I got those numbers by searching the different databases and then that is how I kept track of it if I had it or not.
- Q. So the items identified here as 1-A would be results that you found through searching the 1-A system?
- A. Yes, ma'am. And in the 1-A database not only does it have the main file, it has the sub-files also. So if there was a tape in a sub-file it would show sub like in the report thing where it says sub W 1-A 13, if there was a video in a sub file it would have been caught when I did the 1-A search, 1-A database search.
- Q. And the descriptions under description of video, where did those descriptions come from?
 - A. They came from the database.
- Q. And where is -- okay. And so after you completed this search of the database, what did you -- did you do any further steps in your search?

- A. After I finished the database searches of the 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C, I then searched ACS because I didn't want to miss anything. I didn't want to not get a tape in case I just messed up the search. So after I did that, I basically went into ACS and started searching.
- Q. And when you say ACS, could we look at what has been admitted as Defendant's Exhibit 248 which is in your binder is called ACS diagram.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Looking at this, can you explain to the court which system in here you are referring to when you say ACS?
- A. The evidence is under the Investigative Case

 Management and I went into there and then I went into the

 collected item and then I went into list collected items.

 And when you do list collected items, you can ask for 1-A,

 1-B or 1-C. And I did the same thing, I went through every

 one of them. But you can go down in the bottom and there is

 a field that is called description. So I put my same search

 words that I used for my database in tape, video and

 searched and then you can print screen where it just tells

 you what 1-B it is and what the description is. So I

 printed those out and then put them aside and sat there and

 double-checked them against what was on my spreadsheet.
- Q. And through that process did you identify any additional tapes?

- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And then did you expect that you would be able to locate additional tapes through that search?
 - A. Didn't really expect to find anything new, no.
- Q. And then after you did that search, did you do any additional search?
- A. Because Mr. Trentadue requested documentation of how we got the possession of the videos, I then went into Zy and started searching the same way. But after I had my spreadsheet I started first searching for the specific places we had found tapes because to put the word video in or tape gives you so many hits it is hard. I was trying to narrow the search at first to find these but then I also just started searching all of it. And then I would sit and review the documents that were in there to see if they pertained to this.
- Q. And so looking at the chart, did you record those results?
- A. Yes, ma'am, I did. That is where the -- where the D-3413 came in. It is the sub file that the report was in.
- Q. And are these like the serial numbers here in the last column of this chart?
- A. Yes. I mean if you wanted to be more correct, you would put 174A-0C-56120-D dash then the serial number

but we knew they all came out of the OKBOMB file that is why
I only put the sub file and the serial number.

- Q. And if there had been additional tapes identified in the -- let's back up so you can explain to the court what the ZyIndex system is?
- A. The ZyIndex was a system used where we would download the text of documents so that you could do text retrievable searches.
- Q. And was that system set up specifically for the OKBOMB investigation?
- A. It can be used by any investigation. I mean you can request it. People come in and set it up and they basically pick which files they want in there and they just upload it. Because FOIMS had no upload capability, you couldn't do text searches, they chose to use Zy because they knew it was going to be a big case and have so many people looking at it. Zy was on everyone's computer in the command post so people could do their own Zy searches.
- Q. And the ZyIndex system that you're talking about, was it devoted exclusively to the OKBOMB investigation?
- A. Yes, that is the only case that was in the Zy that we had.
- Q. So ZyIndex system is something that is not like a category of things that can be set up and then devoted exclusively to particular subject matter or set of records?

It is usually case specific. I mean there could 1 2 be five instances of Zy being run in the OK -- in the FBI, 3 but we only had the OKBOMB one, we didn't have any others. What kind of documents are in the OKBOMB ZyIndex? 4 5 OKBOMB ZyIndex has teletypes, which we no longer 6 use, ECs, 302s, inserts, and lab reports. 7 Can you explain to the court what you mean by a Ο. 302? 8 9 A 302 is an interview of someone. Α. 10 And who prepares a 302? Q. The 302 is prepared by the agent once he 11 Α. 12 interviews someone. 13 And does the agent put in that document his 14 assessment of the accuracy of the information? 15 No, ma'am. He just -- he just basically writes a 16 report of what the person told him. 17 So if the FBI had interviewed someone during the bombing who said their mother-in-law had committed the 18 19 bombing, would that be recorded in a 302? 20 Α. Yes, it would have. 21 And would that mean that that was accurate? 22 It is what she told him. I mean it would be wrong, yes, but that is what she believed. And 302s are put 23 24 in the sub B file. 25 Q. So, again, going back to the search that you did,

um, why did you choose to -- I think you explained this but why did you choose to search the ZyIndex at that point?

A. Because I needed the text to find out how they obtained the videos. My database was just the evidence, it was no 302s or inserts. There was a 302 insert database, same deal it was made so we could provide it to the defense, but also what it had was basically the date, the person who was interviewed, the serial number, how many pages, the agent that interviewed them. It was just like in my case if they interviewed me it would say Linda Vernon, April 19, serial whatever. It didn't have any text in it. So I needed Zy to find the 302 or insert of where they picked up the video.

- Q. And if the ZyIndex had information about tapes that you had not found through your evidence database search, would you have found those through the ZyIndex search that you did?
- A. If there was a tape that I didn't find, yes, I could have found it in Zy. It wouldn't have the evidence number, it would have the interview, lack of better term report of picking it up, but more than likely they didn't always tell you what 1-B it went back to. So I would have had to search back either in my -- in the ACS or my evidence database for it.
 - Q. And did you find any additional tapes through

that search?

- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So essentially now you have described three different searches that you did of the evidence database, the ACS collected items, and the ZyIndex. Is there any other search that you did at that time?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And after you did that search and compiled this list in Exhibit 211, what did you do?
- e-mailed it to Ms. Mitchell so they could start looking at it. And basically I was like saying okay you start telling me what you want copies of because we still had to copy all of the videos. And in Zy, there is a report but it is not the official report of a 302 or insert, so we still had to go to the warehouse and copy the official reports where it had the agent's signature and the serial numbers and all. Sometimes Zy -- it had all of the information but sometimes it just didn't look right when you printed it out.
- Q. So when you say report, are you saying that the FBI record that you found was actually there in the ZyIndex?
- A. It was a copy of it. I mean it was the upload of it but the original was at the warehouse. So I wanted to make sure we gave him exact copies of the original of the reports so we went to the warehouse and pulled these and

copied them.

- Q. Now, this is the first time you have mentioned the warehouse. Can you explain to the court what you mean by the warehouse?
- A. Because the Oklahoma City bombing case was so big it wouldn't fit in the Oklahoma City Field Office. So when it was brought back from Denver, it was put in its own stand-alone warehouse.
- Q. And is all of the evidence that is identified in your evidence database in that location?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what about the paper documents that you were just describing?
- A. The warehouse is set up to two sides. One side is the file which has all of the files and all of the 1-As with them and it is in filing cabinets on one side. And then on the other side is the evidence. And because it is under lock and key and only certain people can go in there, it has its own separate locked section of the warehouse.
- Q. And the last part where you were referring to it, did you mean the evidence side that it has its own lock and key?
- A. Yes, I'm sorry. The evidence side which contains all of the 1Bs and 1Cs has its own side which is under lock and key, and only evidence control technicians can go in

there or have a key to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And your understanding, based on your experience, how -- is it likely that anything related -- any OKBOMB materials are in any other location?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So you were describing a process of collecting all of the items that you had identified. Could you continue explaining what was done?
- After we realized what tapes we needed, I then Α. went to the warehouse and took the -- some of the tapes -most of the tapes had been copied for discovery. So when we made them for discovery, we made an exact copy of the tape and kept it for us in case there was a question with the defense attorneys. So basically I went to the warehouse and started pulling all of the tapes we had copies of to save time because I knew if it is a two-hour tape, it takes two hours to copy it. It is not high speed, we didn't have a high speed copier. So what I did is started pulling the tapes. And then I gave the spreadsheet to one of the employees in the office and said we're going to need -- I am going to find all of the tapes I can, but we're going to need all of the chains of custody on the evidence so they can be provided, too.
 - Q. And by that do you mean what is known as a 198-2?
 - A. The FD-192 is like the cover that shows how they

got it and then behind it is where everybody signs for it to take the evidence out of the bulky room.

- Q. So did you provide -- and what did you do after you -- did you gather copies of every item that is identified in this chart?
- A. After I pulled all of the tapes I had in discovery, then I went through and gave the list to them and said hey, we need to pull these tapes to make a copy for Mr. Trentadue or for headquarters to send to Mr. Trentadue. Once we get all of the tapes copied and all of the interview notes or reports and then all of the chains of custody, I boxed them up and sent them to Monica Mitchell.
- Q. And just to clarify what you were saying about the tapes, you first looked for discovery copies?
- A. Yes, because I knew it was an exact copy of the original. Because when we did it for discovery, we made sure we made a copy and I was just trying to save time.
- Q. So if you found a discovery copy, was that the actual tape that you then sent?
 - A. I put in the box to send to them.
- Q. And then if you did not find a discovery copy, is that when you would --
- A. We pulled it, we would have usually the lady go get them, we take them down. It happens to be in the ELSUR room because that is where they had -- ELSUR, E-L-S-U-R, the

ELSUR room is where the video copy machines are. So we went in there and we copied them and then we took that copy, the exact copy, and put it in the box for -- to be provided to Mr. Trentadue and then the originals were put back in the -- sent to the warehouse.

- Q. And were any edits or redactions made to those tapes before they were provided to RIDS?
- A. No, ma'am, but some of the tapes had already been redacted for the fact that the Regency Tower tapes are in realtime which means that it bounces like there are four things and it bounces real quick. During the investigation, those had been copied to slow down or as they call it realtime so can you see it. So some of them had been already reproduced so you could review them. Also at that time we realized that we didn't have a copy of 1-B-200.
 - Q. And 1-B -- what did you say 1-B-200 was?
- A. 1-B-200 was the Charlie Hanger or tape, the Oklahoma Highway Patrol Tape.
- Q. So what did you do at the time that you realized you did not have -- what do you mean by you did not have that tape?
- A. For some reason I didn't have it in my discovery, we didn't have it in the trial exhibits, we didn't have it in the office. It was found out that it had been returned to the Highway Patrol.

1 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, speculation, foundation.

THE COURT: Yeah, this is a sensitive issue so would you lay careful foundation how she discovered what she is about to testify to.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Could you just explain to the court exactly how -- what you -- from your -- from what you know, what was the situation with that particular tape?
- A. Okay. Um, like I said, first I checked for it where places where I thought I could find it. I asked for it from evidence. It wasn't in evidence. And then I went into ACS and looked at the chain of custody and realized it had been returned to the Highway Patrol.
- Q. And again, could you identify which part of ACS you were looking at?
- A. I went in to the case -- let me use my chart. I --
 - Q. Looking at again at --
- A. I looked at -- yeah, I went to ICM, then I went to collected items, and then I put in 1-B-200 and then I looked at the list chain of custody and then I viewed the chain of custody and realized that the tape had been returned to the Highway Patrol. Actually, at the time, it was returned to a Highway Patrol officer that was tasked in our office and they gave it to him to take it back to the Highway Patrol.

- Q. And so what did you do at that point in regard to trying to provide the plaintiff with some -- with that tape?
- A. Um, it was returned by the CDC at the time and we went to him to reach out to the Highway Patrol to get a copy of the tape.
- Q. So when you provided material to RIDS, was that tape included in what you provided?
- A. That is how it got put on the CD. That is how they provided it to us. That is why it is only on a CD. We put it in the box with the rest of them and it was shipped off to Monica Mitchell.
- Q. And did the FBI Field Office make any edits or redactions to that tape before it was sent to RIDS?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So after you sent the material and your list to RIDS at FBI headquarters, were you later asked to provide additional assistance in regard to the plaintiff's request?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And what were you asked at that time?
- A. At that time, I was advised that Mr. Trentadue had amended his original request and I was provided a copy of the amended request.
- Q. Could we look at Defendant's Exhibit 203. Again, this is a letter from Mr. Trentadue to the FBI dated April 13, 2009. Is this what you were provided?

Α. Yes, ma'am.

2

Or at least the description that is on it here?

- 3
- Um, actually, I think they e-mailed it to me, but Α.
- 4
- this is the search terms I remember using.

included in my original search.

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- So can you explain what you did at that point in
- order to -- what were you asked to do in regard to this?
- Um, I was asked to search again for these specific tapes and then see if I had them or, you know, make sure that these tapes were included, these places were
- And what did you do in responding to that Q. request?
- I basically took the 11 places and searched again and specifically looked for tapes for these places and then double checked against my spreadsheet and told them which ones were on the spreadsheet.
- Were you able to identify tapes responsive to Q. this request using your spreadsheet?
 - Α. Yes, ma'am.
 - And did you then communicate the results? Ο.
- let -- numbered them exactly the same way, 1 through 11, and told them why I didn't have a tape or if I didn't have a tape and then 1-B, 1-A was responsive to their numbers.

I sent an e-mail to Monica, Ms. Mitchell, and I

matched it back that way.

Α.

1 Q. And looking at Defendant's Exhibit 213, I wanted 2 to show you exactly -- you have your list in Exhibit 211, I 3 wanted to show you a highlighted version? 4 Α. Okay. And I guess just as a highlighted version just as 5 6 a demonstrative to illustrate something. We would mark this 7 as Defendant's Exhibit 249. 8 MS. WYER: May I approach? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 (By Ms. Wyer) Now, looking at this highlighted Q. 11 version of your chart, can you explain whether the 12 highlighted items here are the items that you identified to Ms. Mitchell as responsive to the narrowed request from the 13 14 plaintiff? 15 Yes, ma'am, they are. 16 MS. WYER: And defendant moves to admit Exhibit 249. 17 MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 249 is received. 18 19 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 249 was received 20 into evidence.) 2.1 (By Ms. Wyer) Did you keep track of the time Q. 22 that you spent performing any part of the search? 23 Back when the first request came in, yes, I did. Α. 24 And let's look at Defendant's Exhibit 212? Q. 25 Yes, ma'am. Α.

2 Yes, ma'am. Α. 3 Let the record reflect that this is a chart or a Q. spreadsheet titled Time Worked on Trentadue III by FA Linda 4 Vernon. And what does this reflect? 5 6 Α. This reflected the times that I worked on the 7 request from November 2000 -- November 6, 2008, to January 23rd, 2009. 8 9 And did you prepare this and keep this? Q. 10 Α. Yes, ma'am, I did. 11 And how, according to your records, how many 12 hours did you spend on the search up through January 23rd, 2009? 13 14 A. 85 and a half. 15 MS. WYER: Defendant moves Exhibit 212 into evidence. 16 MR. TRENTADUE: No objection, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Exhibit 212 is received. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 212 was received 18 19 into evidence.) 20 Q. (By Ms. Wyer) And then since this spreadsheet 21 stops there, did you spend additional time that is not 22 recorded? 23 Yes, ma'am. Α. 24 And have you actually been -- after you Q. 25 identified the records responsive to the narrowed request,

Do you recognize that document?

1

Q.

have you been contacted over time since 2009 to the present, have you been contacted about other inquiries from the plaintiff?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And have you been provided with material that the plaintiff has sent to the RIDS office or to counsel?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And have you attempted to review that material to see if it provides any way -- or what have you done when you have received that material?
- A. Um, some of the material I would read it and see if it would give me an idea how to search again. Some of it I would search it to see what the, for instance, news article if it was accurate or true or any way actually fit our records. So then I would search into Zy basically and see if I could find any information that was close to what was in the report.
- Q. And through those processes, did you identify any other responsive tapes?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Did you find that those documents provided information that could be used to locate responsive tapes?
 - A. Not really.
 - Q. And can you explain why that is?
 - A. For instance, um, on the Charlie Hanger tape,

what that tape that you saw is what it is Mr. -- after we reviewed it several times, somebody asked Mr. Hanger and he said that he had just got that dashboard camera and he truly didn't know --

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection hearsay, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled because it is relevant to the information they were acting upon.

THE WITNESS: Um, so finally somebody basically asked Mr. Hanger why it doesn't show the arrest of Mr. McVeigh.

And he said I honestly didn't know how to work the camera.

I thought I turned it on earlier. And if you will notice that he has a couple of different times at the beginning.

MR. TRENTADUE: Renew the objection on hearsay.

THE COURT: Could we lay a foundation exactly who it was that told this witness that information. Did she interview Mr. Hanger or is she getting this second or third hand from somebody else that interviewed Mr. Hanger?

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Ms. Vernon, do you recall how you heard about what you're describing?
 - A. The CDC at the time contacted Mr. Hanger.

THE COURT: Who is the CDC?

THE WITNESS: John Mabry. He is no longer an FBI employee. He contacted Mr. Hanger because he is the one who gave it back to the Highway Patrol in asking what happened. I also saw Mr. Hanger at an event at the memorial and he

told me the same story. He just didn't know the new technology.

MR. TRENTADUE: Renew the objection. Hearsay. Move that the testimony be stricken.

THE COURT: I'm going to receive it not for the truthfulness of the information, but for the fact that that is what this witness was operating on.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) Now, how confident are you that the searches that you did located every tape that could be found if it existed that would match the descriptions that the plaintiff provided?
 - A. Completely confident.
- Q. So is your testimony that if -- if, um, going to the other tape that the plaintiff specifically was seeking showing a bomb detonation at the Murrah Building that the search that you did, would that -- would that have found a tape matching that description if that tape existed?
 - A. Yes, it would.
- Q. Now, let me show you Exhibits 245 and 246 that have been already admitted into evidence. Could you explain -- do you recognize those documents?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And how do you -- let the record reflect that these are the exhibit lists for the McVeigh and Nichols prosecutions. How do you recognize those documents?

A. Um, because I stayed up in Denver the whole time these are the trial exhibits. And as I said earlier, part of the evidence database had part of it was the trial exhibits. Um, I helped them get the trial exhibits together for each of the trials. And at some point I also printed out the trial exhibits to give to the State of Oklahoma when they prosecuted Terry Nichols. So I am not sure if -- I don't know who printed them out, but I had the capability of printing them out.

10

Q. Do you recognize these as the trial exhibits for those $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$

12

11

A. Yes, ma'am.

13

Q. -- for those cases?

14

A. Yes, ma'am.

15

16

Q. And is there anything on these exhibits that suggest any other method that could be used here to find additional records responsive to the plaintiff's request?

17

A. No, ma'am.

19

20

18

Q. And do these lists identify any other location that would be likely to contain tapes matching plaintiff's descriptions?

21

A. No, ma'am.

23

24

22

Q. Now, did any of the tapes on your list in Exhibit 211 contain footage from cameras on the Murrah

25

Building?

- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Did any of the tapes contain footage showing the bomb detonation?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And have you ever seen or heard of such footage during your experience working with OKBOMB evidence?
 - A. In the evidence, no.
- Q. And what do you mean? Are you qualifying that in some way?
- A. I now heard some news reports that there is supposedly a tape, but I have never seen it. And I have never heard it in the FBI record.
- Q. Do you think that you would have heard about it as -- if the FBI had collected a tape?
 - MR. TRENTADUE: Objection foundation.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) As discovery coordinator for the -- for the McVeigh and Nichols prosecutions, do you think that you would have heard of it or become aware of it if such a tape had been collected by the FBI?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Based on your experience with OKBOMB records and evidence, were the searches that you did and that you have described the only ways of searching that would be likely to locate records responsive to the plaintiff's request?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, that calls for legal 1 conclusion. That is for the court to decide. 2 3 THE COURT: Sustained. (By Ms. Wyer) Would you, after locating the 4 5 tapes and documents that you found through their search, can 6 you think of any other search method based on your 7 experience that you would expect to locate additional 8 material that would be responsive to the plaintiff's 9 request? 10 Α. No, ma'am. 11 And can you identify any other office or location 12 within the FBI that you would expect to have additional 13 responsive records? 14 Α. No, ma'am. 15 MS. WYER: No further questions. 16 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 17 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. If I could impose upon the court, I think we can finish this witness today, if 18 19 we could have about a 15 minute recess. 20 THE COURT: Sure. Let's take a 15 minute break. 2.1 MR. TRENTADUE: Could we make it 20 so I can go 22 retrieve some evidence that has become relevant from this 23 testimony. 24 THE COURT: We will take a 20 minute recess.

MR. TRENTADUE: Thank you.

1 (Recess.)

THE COURT: We are back in session in Trentadue versus the FBI. You may proceed with your cross-examination.

MR. TRENTADUE: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TRENTADUE:

- Q. Ms. Vernon, if you would look in the blue binder at Exhibit 10, page 13, paragraph 35. If you would read that paragraph into the record for me, ma'am, this is from -- first of all this is already in evidence I think.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. This is in Mr. Hardy's declaration, I want to get it right, describing the search that was conducted in Oklahoma City. If you would read that allowed for me, please.
- A. "In an effort to locate material responsive to plaintiff's requests, OCFO personnel conducted burdensome text searches of the ZyIndex using the following search terms, including spelling and wording variations: Murrah Federal Building; Journal Record Building; Regency Tower Apartment Building; U.S. Post Office; Water Resource Building; South West Bell Building; YMCA Building; U.S. Federal Courthouse; Old U.S. Federal Courthouse; Former Oklahoma City Main Library Building; parking lot at the northwest corner of 6th Street and Hudson, N.W.; Ryder

truck; Hanger; Oklahoma Highway Patrol; OHP. OCFO personnel also conducted burdensome "generic" text searches using terms: surveillance; video; tape; and camera. These generic text searches produced hits that OCFO personnel then reviewed for responsiveness."

- Q. Now, ma'am, you described a search you did, but you also told me that, and I don't want to mislead you or misrepresent what you said, but the bulk of the search was your own private database?
- A. Yes, sir, I did say that but I also searched Zy and I searched it as much as I searched my database.
- Q. I'm not saying you didn't. But this is what
 Mr. Hardy says was done. And you tell us that your private
 database and --
- A. It is not my private database, sir. I didn't build it.
 - Q. But it is yours now, I take it?
- A. It is mine because it is -- actually I don't know that it worked on another computer, but yes, it is on my computer.
 - Q. No one else has it?
 - A. Not that I know of, no.
- Q. And I -- also, as I understood it, you found a lot of material, and to be fair to me, you said that

 Mr. Hardy says that a generic text searches produced hits

that OCFO personnel then reviewed for responsiveness. I take it from what you testified to is you were not going to make that call? You gathered up the documents that you thought were responsive, and you sent it back to Ms. Mitchell?

- A. I did review it for responsiveness, yes, sir.
- Q. You weren't making the final call?
- A. No, I wouldn't make the final call. That is not my job classification. So I am not sure -- I do not know all of the particulars of Freedom of Information request or what you're supposed to get. So no, sir, I would never make the final call.
- Q. No. And what I heard you say, and again I don't want to mischaracterize your testimony, is if there was any doubt you included as responsive and you sent it to them so they could make the call?
- A. Yes, sir. Because I didn't want to narrow your scope and misunderstood what you were actually asking for.
- Q. Now, we have heard here today for the first time that the Hanger videotape, the original tape, was returned to Mr. Hanger?
- A. No, it was returned to the Oklahoma Highway Patrol.
- Q. Okay. Well we heard for the first time that the FBI no longer has it?

2 And you discovered that? Q. 3 I discovered it when I -- it showed the chain of Α. custody we no longer had it. 4 5 Ο. How long ago was that? 6 I think it was returned in 2006. 7 But when did you discover that it had been Ο. 8 returned? 9 When we had to find a copy of the tape to provide Α. 10 to you so it would have been in 2008 or 2009. 11 And I am assuming you told Ms. Mitchell or 12 someone back at FOIA headquarters that you no longer had the 13 original? 14 Um, I don't remember specifically me telling her 15 that, but I am sure somebody told her. I don't know. Q. And you don't know personally what the original 16 17 looked like? I remember seeing parts of it during -- while the 18 19 investigation was going on, no, I have never -- I didn't sit 20 down and review the whole thing, no. 21 Q. Okay. 22 I will be honest with you, sir, I had people that 23 helped me copy stuff. I know my discovery team copied it. 24 But no, I was not in the room when it was copied. 25 Q. Okay. But you had to go back to -- years later

1

Α.

Correct.

you go back to the Oklahoma Highway Patrol and obtained the original and make a copy?

- A. I am not sure how Mr. Mabry got it. I know that is where the copy came from. I mean that is what I was told but I did not do it.
- Q. No. And I am not saying you did. And you also told me that some of the other surveillance tapes that I had been given had been redacted?
 - A. No, I did not say that they were redacted.
 - Q. Well, they had been edited?
- A. They had been -- a lack of a better term made so you could view them and they were made years ago when the bombing happened because I am not sure if you have ever -- one of the -- have you reviewed the Regency --

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, Your Honor, nonresponsive.

Move to strike.

THE COURT: Let me just again caution you just listen carefully to the question and answer only the question. If there is a further explanation needed, Ms. Wyer will ask you about it.

THE WITNESS: No, sir, they weren't redacted.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Okay. But whatever was done to them you didn't do it?
 - A. No.

Q. Okay. And so you really don't know what was done

to them? 1 2 No, sir, I don't. Α. 3 Q. And there has been a lot made about the time you spent and I appreciate the time you spent on my FOIA 4 5 request, but I was charged for that time and paid it 6 accordingly, didn't I? 7 A. I assume. I don't know. They just --8 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. Assumes facts not 9 in evidence. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 MS. WYER: And relevance. 12 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, it sounds like you work 13 very closely with the, for lack of a better word, the OKBOMB 14 file? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 You said you have seen about every document in Q. 17 there? Yes, sir. 18 Α. 19 And you are still working on the file, I assume? 20 Um, not unless it is for a request like this. I 21 am back to doing my normal job. 22 I wonder if you could look at Plaintiff's 23 Exhibit 44. Do you recognize this document? 24 A. I have just seen it recently, yes. I didn't see 25 it before then.

1	Q. You say recently. How recently? Just today?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did I show it when I showed it to you now?
4	A. No, actually I saw it yesterday.
5	Q. Okay. What do you understand this to be?
6	A. I understand it looks like a teletype, I mean
7	an EC, sir.
8	Q. And it says, "It has been brought to my attention
9	that the OKBOMB file is restricted and some of you have not
10	been able to upload documents." DTOU unit, what is that?
11	A. Honestly I don't I don't know that unit.
12	Q. "Chief blank advised that we are to e-mail all
13	documents related to the Nichols search and any related
14	entities to blank at OKC. Also follow this with hard copies
15	of the documents. She will upload them there. Any problems
16	call me."
17	Do you have any idea who they're referring to when
18	they say she?
19	A. I am going to assume an Oklahoma City employee.
20	Q. Had you known that the OKBOMB file was a
21	restricted file?
22	MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor, this is
23	mischaracterizing the document.
24	THE WITNESS: Well
25	THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection on the

1 grounds that the way it is phrased it assumes a fact that is 2 not yet in evidence. But you can -- you may rephrase the 3 question. Ask if it was a restricted file. (By Mr. Trentadue) Um, do you know whether or 4 Q. 5 not it is a restricted file? A. Um, actually, sir, I think it is a closed file. 6 7 And the date on this is April 6th, 2005. This was long after the OKBOMB investigation was finished. This relates 8 9 to another matter. 10 I think you said that about your familiarity with Q. 11 the file, the documents in the file? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Now, I want you to look at Exhibit 35 in the 14 plaintiff's section? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Do you recall seeing this document before? Q. 17 Yes, sir. Α. It has all of the markings, would you agree with 18 me, of being an Oklahoma City bombing OKBOMB document? It 19 20 has a case number which is 174A-OC-56120 sub D serial 453 --21 4553. Do you know John Hippard? 22 He is a retired agent, sir. 23 Yes. Is this one of the documents that you Ο. 24 recovered as potentially responsive and sent on to

25

Ms. Mitchell?

- A. Sir, I don't think it is.
- Q. Well, if you look, ma'am, if you look here it has how many of the different terms. It says Journal Record Building, videotape, Journal Record Building, camera, camera, Murrah Federal Building, video camera, video, video, videotape, videotape, videotape, video, videotape, video, video One of those terms should have kicked out if I understood your testimony?
- A. Oh, I got this document, but you asked for the documents that provided it to the FBI. This document shows one agent providing it to another agent. I provided in your request the 302 of Mr. Legleiter putting it into evidence.
- Q. Well, this says that Mr. Payne provided the videotape?
- A. To Mr. Hippard. Mr. Hippard didn't put it into evidence, Mr. Legleiter did.
 - Q. So this was one that wasn't sent?
- A. Not to my knowledge. I don't think it is on my spreadsheet, no.
 - Q. And if you would look at Exhibit 37 --
- THE COURT: Let me make sure I'm clear on this. Is this a document that you found during your search or not? That is Exhibit, Plaintiff's Exhibit 35?
- THE WITNESS: One second, Your Honor. When I did my search, yes, I found it. I did not include it.

1 THE COURT: Did you -- and you didn't send it to the 2 -- to Ms. Mitchell? 3 THE WITNESS: No, sir, I did not. THE COURT: You made a decision yourself not to 4 5 forward this document? 6 THE WITNESS: Because I read his description and he 7 asked for when it was provided to evidence. THE COURT: A little while ago you testified that you 8 9 always erred in the side of including documents if there was 10 any doubt. What was it that led you to believe that this 11 document should not be sent and let someone who understood 12 the Freedom of Information Act make the decision? 13 THE WITNESS: Just the way it was worded, sir. It 14 showed that it was just mainly Mr. Payne gave it to Mr. Hippard. It doesn't really say that it -- I mean in 15 16 Mr. Legleiter's 302, I'm assuming it had more information 17 that is why I picked it at that point. 18 THE COURT: But this document seems very much on point 19 as to the request that was made, does it not? 20 THE WITNESS: And he got the videos for this document, 21 yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: But you made the decision, on your own, 23 without consulting with anyone else, to exclude this 24 document; is that correct? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, the way I read it in 2008. Ι

mean I am not going to say I completely didn't read it differently there but that is how I see it now.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) And if you look at the last sentence in the first paragraph, "Payne noted that the video camera in question was blown off the wall, so there is no there is likely no video after the time of the blast." And he also states in there, does he not, ma'am, Hogan you say is the other agent, "Hogan thought that the camera on that side of the building may have obtained some type of photograph of the persons responsible for the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building on that date." It says that, doesn't it?
 - A. It says it in the interview, yes, sir.
- Q. And you knew from at least reading my request, that that is what I was looking for, wasn't it?
 - A. But we provided the tape, sir.
 - Q. You provided a tape, ma'am?
 - A. I --

- Q. I am not quarreling, I'm not attacking you, ma'am. I'm just saying --
 - A. We provided a tape from The Journal Record.
- Q. If you look at 37, please, and this is another one that you didn't give me, isn't it, ma'am?
 - A. 302, sir?
 - Q. Number 37?

- A. Yes, the number -- Plaintiff's Number 37, the
 - Q. Yes. It says, "On October 26, 1995, SA William Eppright the Third reviewed the contents of sub file W 1A23. The 1A envelope contains an Oklahoma City Police Department report dated 4/24/95 by Sergeant Ritch L. Willis. The report states that Sergeant Willis recovered a videotape from the security camera at the Regency Towers Apartments." And this is one I didn't get also, isn't it, ma'am?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Did you forward this -- did you forward this particular 302 onto Ms. Mitchell?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. And you say this was turned over to me?
- A. There were Regency tapes turned over. I'm not exactly sure. I would assume that if there is a video from the Regency, yes, this is one of them.
- Q. No, ma'am, I'm not asking about the tape, I'm asking about this document.
- A. No, sir, I didn't. I already answered your question.
 - O. You answered it for number 35. This is 37?
 - A. No, sir, I didn't provide it to you.
- Q. Okay. And again, it is talking about taking the tape into evidence?

1 Correct. And the police report is dated 2 April 24th. You wanted everything to April 19th. The day 3 the police report is the 24th within the day they did their investigation. 4 5 Q. Well, the tape was of the 19th though, wasn't it, 6 ma'am? 7 It doesn't say that, sir, does it. But I thought you erred on the side of being all 8 9 inclusive? 10 A. I was inclusive. I used your dates, sir. It 11 does not say in this report that it was on April 19th the 12 tape was taken. MR. TRENTADUE: Could you look at Defendant's 13 14 Exhibit 203, ma'am. Before we leave that, Your Honor, I 15 would offer Exhibits 35 and 37. 16 MS. WYER: Objection relevance, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 35 and 37 will be received. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibits 35 and 37 were 18 received into evidence.) 19 20 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Could we see Defendant's 21 Exhibit 20 -- I think was it 201 is the original --22 Exhibit 200 was I think the original FOIA request. If you 23 would look at that, ma'am? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 200, excuse me. And if you look at that, it is

Q.

dated October 12th and I am asking for, again, I would like

-- also like copies of all reports including 302s that
describe and/or reference the FBI's taking possession of
these tapes. And that is at the last sentence in the first
paragraph on Page 2. It says that, doesn't it, ma'am?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And if I could see Defendant's Exhibit 2000, I think, 3 -- I mean 203, I believe that is the final request. And that is where I narrow the request to these specific buildings, and I also said I want all tapes, FBI documents currently in my possession. Anyway, I said in addition to these buildings, I wanted the tape if you had it of the Ryder Truck being delivered to the federal building and the bomb detonating three minutes and six seconds after the suspects exited the vehicle. And those were the two -- the two requests you reviewed in deciding what the scope of my FOIA request was; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Beg your pardon?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. TRENTADUE: Thank you very much.
- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) If we could go back on my screen. If you would look at Exhibit 62. Again, this document has Murrah Federal Building, surveillance cameras, cameras, video, tape, tapes, cameras, cameras, cameras,

1	surveillance, tape. This would have been another document
2	you would have found in your text based search, wouldn't
3	you, ma'am?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. But this wasn't turned over either, was it?
6	A. I don't know. I have to take your word for it.
7	MR. TRENTADUE: Well, the documents I was given are in
8	Exhibit 34 and the court can look there itself. But I would
9	offer Exhibit 62, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Any objection?
11	MS. WYER: Um
12	THE WITNESS: Mr. Trentadue, can I read the document?
13	MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, you can. I don't mean to rush
14	you through it.
15	MS. WYER: We object on grounds of relevance.
16	THE COURT: Overruled on that ground. The document is
17	received.
18	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 62 was
19	received into evidence.)
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. I have read it.
21	Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) If you look at Exhibit 60?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. In this this is one that talks about SA Pamela
24	A. Matson. Do you know who Pamela Matson is?
25	A. Yes, sir, I do.

- Q. Who is Pamela A. Matson?
- A. She was an agent with the FBI and assigned to the OKBOMB task force.
- Q. And it says that Agent Matson reviewed the tapes for images relating to any of the main subjects in the OKBOMB investigation and sounds and images of the explosion. When you come down to Q7 it refers to the Southwest Bell Building, the Regency Tower, the Journal Record Building, and it comes back positive, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And this was a record you found, too?
 - A. Yes, I found it.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I didn't provide it because it is the review of the tape it is not the acquisition of the tape.
- Q. Okay. And if we look at the next page, it says, Q77, it says that the Southwest Bell Building tested positive?
- A. It says that it tested positive. I don't know what positive and negatives mean. I don't know what her reference is in it.
- MR. TRENTADUE: Okay. Your Honor, we would offer -- Plaintiffs would offer Exhibit 60.
- MS. WYER: Objection, this document is not relevant to the FBI's search.

1 THE COURT: Overruled on that ground. It is received. 2 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 60 was received 3 into evidence.) (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, if you would look at 4 5 Exhibit 36. This is another report by Ms. Matson about 6 examining the tapes for positive images of the subject or 7 explosion? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Again, Journal Record, positive; Southwest Bell, Q. 10 positive. And that wasn't given to me either, was it, 11 ma'am? Same reasoning, sir. It is a review of the tape. 12 MR. TRENTADUE: Okay. Your Honor, move to admit 36. 13 14 MS. WYER: Objection. This document is not relevant 15 to the FBI's search. 16 THE COURT: Overruled. The document is received. 17 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 36 was received into evidence.) 18 (By Mr. Trentadue) Now, if you look at 19 20 Exhibit 55, ma'am. Do you recognize this document? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 And it is a report of an attempt by an unknown Ο. 23 FBI agent to sell a copy of the Murrah Building bombing tape 24 to the media for approximately a million dollars, using 25 surveillance tape Murrah Federal Building, Ryder Truck, and

1 this wasn't turned over to me either, was it, ma'am? 2 No, sir. Α. 3 Did you send this to Ms. Mitchell? Um, no, sir. 4 Α. 5 Well, it certainly talks about there is a Ο. 6 possible source for locating this tape in the efforts of 7 somebody to sell it, isn't there, ma'am? 8 MS. WYER: Objection, argumentative. 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what is the objection? 10 MS. WYER: Argumentative. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. You can rephrase. (By Mr. Trentadue) Okay. It would certainly, 12 13 ma'am, be a lead as to a possible source to find out about 14 the existence of the tape, wouldn't it, ma'am? 15 It could be a lead, yes, sir. 16 Okay. And if you look at Exhibit 56, this is 17 another report dated October 30th, 1995, about the efforts to track this attempt to sell the tape. And you found this 18 document, too, didn't you, ma'am? 19 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 And if you would look at Exhibit 57, that is --22 you had another report, what is the date on this one, ma'am, 23 about the efforts that track --24 A. It is dated November 3rd, 1995. 25 Okay. And an effort to sell the tape. If you

Q.

look at Exhibit 58? 1 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 That is yet another November 7th, 1995, Confidential Source Report about monitoring this effort to 4 5 try to sell that tape? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 And if you look at Exhibit 65, this is yet Q. another October 31st, 1995, report monitoring the stories 8 9 the bureau suspects is coming out on the videotape being 10 sold depicting the Murrah -- that says depicting the arrival 11 of the Ryder Truck at the Alfred P. Murrah Building? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Q. And lastly 66 --14 THE COURT: I didn't understand. Is Exhibit 65 a 15 document that you found? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 17 (By Mr. Trentadue) And Exhibit 66 is a November Q. 18 6th report again monitoring the attempts to sell the 19 videotape depicting the arrival of the Ryder Truck to the 20 Alfred P. Murrah Building in Oklahoma City? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 So Exhibits 55, 56, 57, 58, 65, and 66 you Ο. 23 located in your search? 24 Yes, sir. Α. 25 Q. And they were culled out by you?

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Now you said
3	THE COURT: Mr. Trentadue, you did not offer any of
4	those documents?
5	MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. I would offer them all, 55,
6	56, 57, 58, 65, and 66.
7	THE COURT: Any objection?
8	MS. WYER: Objection on grounds that these are not
9	relevant to the search since they're not responsive.
10	THE COURT: Overruled. Plaintiff's Exhibits 55, 56,
11	57, 58, 65 and 66 are received.
12	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibits 55, 56, 57, 58, 65
13	and 66 were received into evidence.)
14	Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Ma'am, you testified that you
15	went back and used the ACS or Automated Case Support System
16	to do another check?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. And then in that system you used the ICM or the
19	Investigative Case Management portion of it?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. And you didn't search the Electronic Case File,
22	did you?
23	A. No, sir.
24	Q. And you didn't do the Universal Index, did you?
25	A. No, sir.

MR. TRENTADUE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You may. Is this one of the documents that you found also, ma'am?

THE WITNESS: Probably, sir. I mean I can't remember exactly, but it would have been -- it would have hit on one of my search terms.

(By Mr. Trentadue) It would have. And it is -it says, "telephonic contact was established with Trooper Charles J. Hanger, Oklahoma Highway Patrol via the OHP Command Post in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Hanger, who is assigned Badge Number 598, advised he is currently assigned to Troop K based in Pawnee, Oklahoma." Then you read the second paragraph it says, "Hanger stated he was previously interviewed at length by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, regarding his arrest of Timothy J. McVeigh on April 19, 1995, near Perry, Oklahoma. When asked about the possibility of a pickup truck traveling in tandem with the McVeigh vehicle, Hanger responded he had no recollection of any such vehicle. He did report that just prior to stopping the automobile occupied by McVeigh, he had stopped on I-35 to assist the driver of a disabled van." Last sentence, "also, when asked about the observation of an object on the ground near the stopped McVeigh vehicle automobile, Hanger was confident this was the gun he had

1 recovered from the McVeigh vehicle." 2 Now that observation, ma'am, do you know of any other 3 source that could have come from but a videotape of the McVeigh stop and arrest? 4 5 MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. This document does 6 not reference any tape. 7 THE COURT: Do you have a response? MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. It doesn't reference a tape 8 9 but if we are to believe that there is no tape of the actual 10 stop and arrest of McVeigh, then where does this observation 11 come from of a gun on the ground by the vehicle? MS. WYER: Well, Your Honor, this document is 12 13 recording an interview with Mr. Hanger. 14 MR. TRENTADUE: It says also, when asked about the observation of an object on the ground near the stopped 15 16 McVeigh automobile, Hanger was confident this was the gun 17 that he had recovered from the McVeigh vehicle. 18 THE COURT: I will receive it. You can argue its 19 significance. 20 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 was received 21 into evidence.) 22 MR. TRENTADUE: Approach the witness, Your Honor? 23 THE COURT: You may. 24 Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Do you recognize Exhibit 69, 25 Ms. Vernon?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And was this one of the documents that was produced in your search, not produced but discovered?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it says, second paragraph, "On April 24th,
 1995, at 01:00:00 hours, the FBI examined by video footage
 from an ATM machine located at the Regency Hotel, Oklahoma
 City, Oklahoma, in which the Ryder Truck used in the bombing
 is seen stopping in front of the Regency Hotel for 25 to
 30 seconds at 08:56:00 hours and then heads east.
 Additional information indicates that a four-wheel drive
 vehicle had been used by the conspirators. The video shows
 a four-wheel drive vehicle Ford Explorer passing five
 seconds prior to the Ryder Truck." This wasn't produced to
 me, was it, ma'am?
 - A. No, sir, it wasn't.
- Q. And do you know whether or not I received the videotape from the ATM machine?
- A. I know you received all of the Regency Hotel tapes, so it would be part of it.
 - Q. Did you look at the tape to see?
- A. I have looked at the Regency tapes. It doesn't specifically say one is from the ATM machine.
 - MR. TRENTADUE: We would offer Exhibit 69, Your Honor.
 - MS. WYER: Objection, this document is not relevant to

1	the search.
2	THE COURT: Overruled. Exhibit 69 is received.
3	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 69 was received
4	into evidence.)
5	Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Finally, ma'am, if you would
6	turn to Exhibit 45. You recognize this document, don't you?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. And it was part of the OKBOMB file?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Do you know whether this was ever turned over to
11	defense counsel?
12	MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. That is not
13	relevant to this FOIA search.
14	MR. TRENTADUE: I will connect it up, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Subject to being connected, objection is
16	overruled.
17	Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) But it is an authentic
18	document out of the file?
19	A. Yes, sir, it is.
20	MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Redirect.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. WYER:
24	Q. Ms. Vernon, I want to just go through some of
25	these same documents. If we look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 35?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Now, you were explaining why you did not consider this document responsive to the plaintiff's request; is that right?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Could you elaborate on that?
- A. When I reviewed the documents, it was my understanding or review Mr. Trentadue's request, it was my understanding that he wanted the documents when the FBI put the videos into evidence. So this is Mr. Hippard providing this video to another agent. He is not the one who put it into evidence.
- Q. When you say put into evidence, are you saying what does that mean?
 - A. I am saying that --
 - O. Collected?
- A. That the chain of custody for this tape would start with Mr. Legleiter, it wouldn't have started with Mr. Hippard. Because Mr. Legleiter would have physically taken it to the evidence room, did the FD-192 chain of custody, filled out the paperwork, and provided it to the evidence techs.
- Q. Could you look at Defendant's Exhibit 222. Do you have -- do you have those exhibits there? I'm not sure you have those.

- A. Yeah, I do. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Are you able to identify whether this -- can you recognize this document as something that you did provide?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And can you identify whether there is a connection between this document and Plaintiff's Exhibit 35?
- A. It looks like Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 is where Mr. Hippard got it from Mr. Payne. And this Exhibit 222 is where Mr. Legleiter got it from Mr. Hippard. And if I -- and the thing about this one that is sort of funny it says journal paper.
- MR. TRENTADUE: Objection to the narrative, Your Honor.
- THE WITNESS: But I'm saying this sequence of events would have been 35, 222, and then 222 would match the chain of custody for whatever 1-B this is.
- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) And looking at your charts at Exhibit 211, can you identify whether this document -- actually, let's look at the highlight. Do you have the highlighted version of 211 there which is Defendant's Exhibit 240 --
 - A. I have the highlighted one, ma'am.
 - O. Is that Defendant's Exhibit 248?
 - A. The one I have is still 211.
 - Q. Yeah, that doesn't have it on it. Um,

Defendant's Exhibit 249, looking at that document, can you identify whether the plaintiff received the tape associated with Defendant's Exhibit 222 which is marked as -- let the record reflect that this document has a sub file number at the bottom D-226?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection speculation, Your Honor, as to whether or not I'm confused. Maybe it is not.

THE COURT: I won't take judicial notice of that.

Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I have Exhibit 211 and on the bottom of Page 4 it shows D-226 as responsive to 1B1355 which is from the Journal Record.

- Q. (By Ms. Wyer) So the plaintiff received or you had identified 1B1355 as responsive?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And you had identified D-226 as responsive?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. So this other document, can you identify whether that actually refers to the same tape?
- A. It sounds like it does since the names are blacked out. In 222 I can't say specifically but that does look like Mr. Legleiter's initials on the bottom.
 - Q. Now, could we look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 37.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Does this document describe the collection of a

1 tape by the FBI?

- A. No, ma'am, it describes the collection of a tape by the Oklahoma City Police Department.
- Q. So in your understanding of the plaintiff's request would this be covered by his request?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. And why is that?
- A. Because the way I understood his request is when the FBI obtained the tapes and put them into evidence, this is someone else, some other agency.
- Q. And just to clarify your understanding of the dates that the plaintiff was referring to in his request, did you understand the plaintiff to be looking for surveillance footage where the footage itself had been from April 19th?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And would that include instances where the footage was not actually collected on April 19th but was not collected until some later time?
 - A. Repeat that question.
- Q. If, for example, a building had footage that was recorded on the morning of April 19th, but the FBI did not collect that footage until April 25th or April 30th, would you still have understood that to be responsive?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

And could we now look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 62. 1 Ο. 2 And keeping that page open, could you also look at 3 Defendant's Exhibit 221. Do you have that? Yes, ma'am. 4 Α. 5 Let the record reflect that Defendant's 6 Exhibit 221 has the sub file number E-8981 at the top. 7 Ms. Vernon, is that the same sub file number that is on the top of Plaintiff's Exhibit 62? 8 9 Yes, ma'am. Α. 10 And let the record reflect that Defendant's 11 Exhibit 221 has a Bates stamp at the bottom right hand 12 corner of Page 58. 13 Α. Yes, ma'am. 14 Looking at Defendant's Exhibit 221, does that --15 do you recognize that exhibit as something that you had 16 identified as responsive to the plaintiff's request? 17 Yes, ma'am. Α. Now, can we look at defendant's -- Plaintiff's 18 19 Exhibit 60? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 21 For this, would you consider this document on 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 60 which has the file number at the 23 bottom something 3396, would you consider this responsive to 24 the plaintiff's request? 25 No, ma'am. Α.

- 5 wai 6 tha 7 1B1

- ___

- Q. And looking at the specific items that the plaintiff pointed out as having positive results, Q7 and Q77, could you look again at the highlighted Exhibit 211 which is Defendant's Exhibit 249. Are Q7 and Q77 -- I'll wait until you find that. Are Q7 and Q77 highlighted on that document and if you look at the Line 1B22 and then 1B1355?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, they are.
- Q. So does that indicate that you had identified those as responsive to the plaintiff's request?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Could we look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 36. Let the record reflect that Plaintiff's Exhibit 36 is the document with the serial number at the bottom 5112, the plaintiff again pointed out to you, um, the items identified for the results in the third column marked positive as Q77 and Q7. So, again, are those items tapes that you had identified on your chart as responsive to the plaintiff's request?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And could we now look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 55.

 And Plaintiff's Exhibit 55 is the document with the serial number at the bottom E-8508. Looking at that document, is there any reference in this document to the FBI's collection of a tape?
 - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. Looking at this document, does it provide any information that you would be able to use to locate any tape that you had not already found that would be responsive to the plaintiff's FOIA request?
 - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. And why is that? Can you elaborate?
- A. Um, all of the terms that he kept pointing out to me were terms I searched. So if this tape existed, I would have found it in my -- either my evidence database or ACS or the Zy search. This is relating to a source trying to -- trying to say an agent is trying to sell the tape. I didn't think that was responsive to his request.
- Q. And looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 56, this is the document with the serial number on the bottom E-8507.

 Again, does this document contain any reference to the FBI's collection of a videotape?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Neither does this provide any information that you could have used to do any further search that would -- that you would expect to be able to find an additional tape that would match the description of the tape plaintiff is looking for?
- A. No, ma'am, it doesn't give me any new search terms.
 - Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 57, which is the

document with the serial number at the bottom E-8505, again,
does this document reference the FBI's collection of a tape?

A. No, ma'am.

- Q. And does it contain any search terms that you could have used that you had not already used to help you find a tape that you hadn't already found?
 - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. And looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 58, which is the serial identified as E-8503, does that document reference the FBI's collection of a tape?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Does it contain any new search terms that you could have used?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 65, Your Honor, I guess I just didn't write it down but the plaintiff -Plaintiff's Exhibit 65, which is the document with the serial number E-8506, does this document contain any reference to the FBI's collection of a tape?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And does it contain any additional search terms that you could have used?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And we now look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 66. Does this document contain any reference to the FBI's collection

1 of a
2
3
4 you o
5
6
7 is th
8
9
10 you o
11 be -12 plain

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of a tape?

- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Does it contain any additional search terms that you could have used?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 68, which is the one he just handed out?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Does this document contain any information that you could have used to locate an additional tape that would be -- that would match the description of the tapes plaintiff was looking for?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Does this document, in your view, provide any indication that there was another version or other footage from Trooper Hanger's patrol car other than what the FBI provided to the plaintiff?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. If we look at exhibit -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 69, which again he just provided, what does the sub -- this document is identified at the top as sub ATF. What does that indicate?
 - A. There was a sub file that was titled ATF.
- Q. And I am actually not sure whether this document was provided. Did you -- do you know if you considered this

document responsive to the plaintiff's request?

- A. I wouldn't have because it doesn't show the acquisition of the tape. It shows the relaying of information from the morning briefing.
- Q. Does it contain any information that you could have used to do an additional search that you had not already done to find an additional tape that you had not already found?
 - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 45, is this -this document on the upper left corner is labeled FD-302.

 Does that mean that this document is a 302?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And so you had testified earlier that 302s are interview reports that -- do you recall that?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And based on your understanding of what a 302 is, does the information in this document, is this information meaningful or provide any kind of a clue in your view of about where videotapes might be responsive to the plaintiff's request or --
- A. This 302 doesn't pertain to anything about videos.
- Q. And what would you say the significance of the information in this 302 is?

- A. That there was a possibility there was a sting operation and a possibility of a bomb threat.
- Q. Is that -- would that be a report that someone had provided that was being documented in this document?
- A. It looks like it was actually a person that requested their identity to be protected that provided the report.
- Q. And so based on your description of what a 302 is, does the existence of this language in this document mean anything in regard to the accuracy of the information there?
- A. It is just them reporting what -- whoever the protected identity gave them.
 - MS. WYER: No further questions.
 - MR. TRENTADUE: I have a couple.
- THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 45 was not offered.

 Did you intend to offer that into evidence, Mr. Trentadue?
- MR. TRENTADUE: I have just laid the foundation for it, Your Honor, and I would offer it when I connect it up.
 - THE COURT: All right. Any re-cross?
- MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. And if I could go one or two questions beyond the scope of the examination here, I would not have to recall this witness. It would just be a short question that I should have thought to ask in the initial rounds.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TRENTADUE:

Q. Ma'am, I don't want to beat this into the ground, but Exhibit 200, my FOIA Request, it doesn't say documents showing the FBI placing the tapes into evidence, does it?

If you look at 200 it says, I would like copies of all reports including 302s that describe and reference the FBI taking possession of the videotapes, doesn't say --

- A. Taking possession, yes.
- Q. Okay. And if I read your interpretation of my

 FOIA Request that if a tape is never -- if they take

 possession of it but -- if they take possession of evidence

 and never place it into evidence then I wouldn't get a

 report of any documentation on that event?
 - A. Say that again, I'm sorry.
- Q. I mean, for example, if they took a videotape, took possession of it but never logged it into evidence, then under your interpretation I would not get that documentation?
 - A. I assume so, yes.
- Q. And you were asked about Exhibits 55, 56, 57, 58, 65, 66. These all relate to that attempt, reported attempt, to sell the tape. You didn't report that to Ms. Mitchell?
 - A. No, sir, I didn't.
 - Q. Okay. But it didn't occur to you and you have to

1 admit that those reports are fairly detailed, they give 2 names and dates and events. You didn't suggest to your 3 superiors or anybody else that they should look into that? I'm sure during the investigation they were 4 5 looked into, but when your Freedom of Information request 6 came in the investigation was closed. 7 MR. TRENTADUE: Now, a couple of questions I would 8 like to go over if I could, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 (By Mr. Trentadue) Are you familiar with what Q. 11 they call ELSUR? 12 Α. Yes, sir. And that is for surveillance information and 13 14 evidence? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 And if the FBI, for example, was going a 17 surveillance on suspects, that is where that type of 302 information and tapes would go, wouldn't it? 18 No, sir. Usually ELSUR is court ordered. They 19 20 have to go and ask for the authority to do that type of surveillance. 21 22 O. But I mean --23 Officers just not -- it is usually court ordered.

It is not just a regular surveillance.

Q. Okay. But even if it is court ordered, that is

24

1 where that documentation goes? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 And if it is a video surveillance, that would go in there, too, wouldn't it? 4 5 In a normal case. What I understand about ELSUR, I apologize I don't know much about ELSUR I don't normally 6 7 do that in my job. Okay. But you never searched ELSUR? 8 9 No, sir, because there were no tapes in ELSUR for 10 the Oklahoma City bombing. 11 But you don't know that for a fact? 12 Yes, sir, I do. Because in that timeframe, ELSUR 13 was involved in the 1-Bs. Since then, there has been a 14 change in our policy and ELSUR has their own place for their 15 tapes, they get a different number, a different letter type characterization. 16 17 Q. But you never searched ELSUR? 18 No sir, I didn't need to. Didn't have any. 19 MR. TRENTADUE: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony. You may 21 step down. May this witness be excused? 22 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: You are excused. We will recess for today 24 and plan to begin tomorrow at 8:30. Any preliminary or 25 procedural issues we need to deal with before tomorrow?

MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. MS. WYER: Your Honor, I just feel that I need to raise again the issue of time. Given the length of time that the testimony is taking, um, I would just like to inquire of the court whether there is a possibility in your schedule if the testimony continues to go beyond Wednesday, would it be something that it would be possible --THE COURT: We will make arrangements to accommodate the completion of the evidence this week. So if we need to go beyond Wednesday, we will. MS. WYER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: We will be in recess. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 3:42 p.m.)

1	STATE OF UTAH)
2)ss
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
4	
5	I, Laura W. Robinson, Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public
7	within and for the County of Salt Lake, State of Utah, do
8	hereby certify:
9	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
10	me at the time and place set forth herein and were taken
11	down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
12	typewriting under my direction and supervision;
13	That the foregoing pages contain a true and
14	correct transcription of my said shorthand notes so taken.
15	In witness whereof I have subscribed my name and
16	affixed my seal this 16th day of September, 2014.
17	
18	
19	Laura W. Robinson
20	RPR, FCRR, CSR, CP
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	