

EXHIBIT G



Jen Nelson <jnelson@rcfp.org>

CBP-2017-049451

OYLER, MIRANDA <MIRANDA.OYLER@cbp.dhs.gov>
To: "Jennifer A. Nelson" <jnelson@rcfp.org>

Thu, Jul 13, 2017 at 5:51 PM

Actually, email works for me as well.

1. As you can imagine, there are a lot of documents to go through. I have come across numerous emails that are responsive to your request because they contain keywords that you listed and asked that we search. The records in question appear to be the result of multiple employees who signed up for news alerts. In fact there are multiple stories listed in the alert and the Twitter v DHS is just one of them. The employees in question are not the individuals you listed in your request and appear to have no other connection other than receiving an e-mailed news alert. The records do not have any additional comments or text other than what was written by the news agency prior to sending it out, which would be the same for anyone who subscribes to their service. Do you want these records as well? If so, it will more than likely delay the full release. Now there are some news alert emails where there are comments and/or they were forwarded to others, so it is not the original message from the news agency to the employee, but now that employee has forwarded the message to others. In those cases I have kept it with the current group I am redacting. I am going through each and every record, page by page to make sure I separate it correctly.

So please let me know if you would like the emailed news alerts that have no additional comments and were not forwarded.

2. The emails can get confusing when there are responses/forwards. So far I have noticed that there are records that start with the original email so it is a single page. The next page is a response to that email, and so on. Eventually I get to the page where the entire string is captured, which is easier to read. So what I have been doing is dividing the messages by subject line and going page-by-page. If everything is exactly the same, I have kept the record with the entire email string and attachments versus multiple repeating pages with the same information. Plus several of the duplicates are due to the cc's, so they are all identical copies. I have these "duplicates" saved in a folder, so if you want all of the emails, I can begin to redact them as well, but there are a lot of duplicate pages. Keep in mind there may be some duplication because if multiple people reply to a single email, I keep the full string on all of those emails.

I hope I adequately explained this! Again, I don't mind the extra work, but it will take longer to complete, plus it will still have to go through my chain of command for review. They will check my work prior to release. I would just like to complete this case as soon as possible with the best work product I can provide.

Please let me know if you agree with my suggestions.

Thank you,

Miranda Oyler

Government Information Specialist
Customs and Border Protection
FOIA Division

From: Jennifer A. Nelson [mailto:jnelson@rcfp.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2017 2:17 PM
To: OYLER, MIRANDA <MIRANDA.OYLER@CBP.DHS.GOV>
Subject: Re: CBP-2017-049451

Miranda,

Thanks for your e-mail, and apologies for missing your call earlier. I'm in and out of meetings this afternoon and in court tomorrow morning, so I think it would be most convenient for me to answer any questions you have via e-mail. Please let me know, and I'll be certain to respond to your questions as promptly as possible. Thanks so much for your call and for your work on my request.

Best,

Jen

Jennifer A. Nelson | Staff Attorney

Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press

jnelson@rcfp.org | Phone: (202) 795-9312 | PGP

From: "OYLER, MIRANDA" <MIRANDA.OYLER@CBP.DHS.GOV>
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 at 8:15 AM
To: "jnelson@rcfp.org" <jnelson@rcfp.org>
Subject: CBP-2017-049451

Ms. Nelson,

[Quoted text hidden]



Jen Nelson <jnelson@rcfp.org>

CBP-2017-049451

Jennifer A. Nelson <jnelson@rcfp.org>

Mon, Jul 17, 2017 at 3:51 PM

To: "OYLER, MIRANDA" <MIRANDA.OYLER@cbp.dhs.gov>

Miranda,

Apologies for the delay. As for #1, it is fine to leave out the records that only include the news alerts. We do want the records where there are comments and/or they were forwarded to others, please. As for #2, is it fine for you to remove duplicated e-mails from review for production. The way you are going about this makes sense to us. Thanks so much for your efforts on this, and let me know if there is any additional information you need from me going forward.

Best,

Jen

Jennifer A. Nelson | Staff Attorney

Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press

jnelson@rcfp.org | Phone: (202) 795-9312 | PGP

From: "OYLER, MIRANDA" <MIRANDA.OYLER@CBP.DHS.GOV>

Date: Thursday, July 13, 2017 at 5:51 PM

To: "Jennifer A. Nelson" <jnelson@rcfp.org>

Subject: RE: CBP-2017-049451

Actually, email works for me as well.

[Quoted text hidden]